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## County of Orange 2021 Redistricting

Table 1. Adjusted 2020 Census Total Population by Race/Ethnicity

| District | Total Population | Hispanic or Latino of any Race | Hispanic <br> White | Non-Hispanic Black or AfricanAmerican | Non-Hispanic American Indian or Alaska Native | NonHispanic Asian | Non-Hispanic Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | NonHispanic Some Other Race |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 609,950 | 140,330 | 233,495 | 8,100 | 1,071 | 196,491 | 2,192 | 2,811 | 25,460 |
|  | 100.0\% | 23.0\% | 38.3\% | 1.3\% | 0.2\% | 32.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 4.2\% |
| 2 | 664,667 | 115,776 | 311,408 | 10,916 | 757 | 186,813 | 1,105 | 3,698 | 34,194 |
|  | 100.0\% | 17.4\% | 46.9\% | 1.6\% | 0.1\% | 28.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.6\% | 5.1\% |
| 3 | 669,419 | 129,452 | 403,401 | 7,656 | 1,157 | 88,180 | 876 | 3,392 | 35,305 |
|  | 100.0\% | 19.3\% | 60.3\% | 1.1\% | 0.2\% | 13.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 5.3\% |
| 4 | 640,323 | 291,323 | 159,011 | 14,987 | 1,347 | 149,780 | 1,999 | 2,878 | 18,998 |
|  | 100.0\% | 45.5\% | 24.8\% | 2.3\% | 0.2\% | 23.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 3.0\% |
| 5 | 608,651 | 413,400 | 92,813 | 8,284 | 993 | 78,003 | 1,565 | 2,308 | 11,285 |
|  | 100.0\% | 67.9\% | 15.2\% | 1.4\% | 0.2\% | 12.8\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 1.9\% |
| COUNTY TOTAL | 3,193,010 | 1,090,281 | 1,200,128 | 49,943 | 5,325 | 699,267 | 7,737 | 15,087 | 125,242 |
|  | 100.0\% | 34.1\% | 37.6\% | 1.6\% | 0.2\% | 21.9\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 3.9\% |

Table 2. Difference from 2021 Target Supervisorial District Population of 638,602

| District | Number | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $-28,652$ | $-4.49 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 26,065 | $4.08 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 30,817 | $4.83 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 1,721 | $0.27 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $-29,951$ | $-4.69 \%$ |

$\frac{\text { Percentage Spread (Largest - Smallest) }}{9.52 \%}$

## Split Cities/Census Designated Places (CDPs) -

Anaheim (3)
Garden Grove (2)
Laguna Hills (2)
Lake Forest (2)
Orange (3)
Tustin (3)

Percent shares calculated using unrounded numbers, but table displays only to tenths; therefore, percents displayed may not sum to $100 \%$. Number in () indicates number of Supervisorial Districts the city/CDP falls within.
The U.S. Census Bureau misassigned three (3) census blocks to the City of Tustin that are actually in the City of Santa Ana with a total of 260 population. Since the effected area is fully assigned to District 5 , no splits are created and no correction is necessary.

PROPOSAL 5
County of Orange 2021 Redistricting

Table 3. Adjusted Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP) by Race/Ethnicity, 2015-2019 5-Year Estimates

| District | Total Estimated $\qquad$ | Hispanic or Latino of any Race | NonHispanic White | Non-Hispanic Black or AfricanAmerican | Non-Hispanic American Indian or Alaska Native | NonHispanic Asian | Non-Hispanic Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | Non- Hispanic Two or More Races |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 434,168 | 73,835 | 211,585 | 7,090 | 1,161 | 127,765 | 1,284 | 10,312 |
|  | 100.0\% | 17.1\% | 48.9\% | 1.6\% | 0.3\% | 29.5\% | 0.3\% | 2.4\% |
| 2 | 422,853 | 56,967 | 257,535 | 7,665 | 656 | 87,069 | 901 | 10,880 |
|  | 100.0\% | 13.5\% | 61.1\% | 1.8\% | 0.2\% | 20.6\% | 0.2\% | 2.6\% |
| 3 | 483,662 | 69,137 | 338,970 | 6,857 | 759 | 55,969 | 602 | 11,128 |
|  | 100.0\% | 14.3\% | 70.1\% | 1.4\% | 0.2\% | 11.6\% | 0.1\% | 2.3\% |
| 4 | 392,835 | 133,933 | 148,026 | 11,995 | 814 | 88,338 | 1,731 | 7,147 |
|  | 100.0\% | 34.2\% | 37.8\% | 3.1\% | 0.2\% | 22.5\% | 0.4\% | 1.8\% |
| 5 | 332,158 | 177,043 | 90,445 | 5,948 | 543 | 52,251 | 1,049 | 3,586 |
|  | 100.0\% | 53.5\% | 27.3\% | 1.8\% | 0.2\% | 15.8\% | 0.3\% | 1.1\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { COUNTY } \\ \text { TOTAL } \end{gathered}$ | 2,065,676 | 510,915 | 1,046,561 | 39,555 | 3,933 | 411,392 | 5,567 | 43,053 |
|  | 100.0\% | 24.8\% | 50.8\% | 1.9\% | 0.2\% | 20.0\% | 0.3\% | 2.1\% |

Source: Statewide Database 2015-2019 Citizen Voting Age Population, adjusted to reflect reallocated incarcerated persons, on 2020 Census Blocks, Revised 9/27/2021 https://statewidedatabase.org/redistricting2021/counties.html
Notes: Percentages are calculated from sum of individual categories, not Total Estimated CVAP.
Because this is a special tabulation of data and not part of the standard data products shown on the Census Bureau's data.census.gov website, these estimates are rounded. Therefore, individual categories may not exactly add to the total.
For example, the sum of each of the race groups for non-Hispanics may not be the same as the estimate given for non-Hispanics. These estimates will not match counts from the 2020 Census.

The original data source for the Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP) is the American Community Survey (ACS). The ACS is an ongoing survey by the U.S. Census Bureau sent to approximately 250,000 households each month.
The ACS estimates used to develop these data were collected from January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2019 utilizing the 2010 Census block groups, which were disaggregated to the 2020 Census blocks by the Statewide Database.

For more information about the CVAP products, visit https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/decennial-census/about/voting-rights/cvap.html and https://www2.census.gov/programs-surveys/decennial/rdo/technical-documentation/special-tabulation/CVAP_2015-2019_ACS_documentation.pdf

## PROPOSAL 5 <br> County of Orange 2021 Redistricting

Table 4. Adjusted 2020 Census Population by District and 2020 Census Place

DISTRICT 1

| Place | Total <br> Population | Percent of <br> District |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Cypress | 50,235 | $8.2 \%$ |
| Fountain Valley | 57,120 | $9.4 \%$ |
| Garden Grove* | 136,982 | $22.5 \%$ |
| Huntington Beach | 199,033 | $32.6 \%$ |
| La Palma | 15,597 | $2.6 \%$ |
| Los Alamitos | 11,795 | $1.9 \%$ |
| Midway City CDP | 8,845 | $1.5 \%$ |
| Rossmoor CDP | 10,634 | $1.7 \%$ |
| Seal Beach | 25,283 | $4.1 \%$ |
| Unincorporated | 3,343 | $0.5 \%$ |
| Westminster | 91,083 | $\mathbf{1 4 . 9 \%}$ |
| District 1 Total | $\mathbf{6 0 9 , 9 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
|  |  |  |

DISTRICT 2

| Place | Total <br> Population | Percent of <br> District |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Aliso Viejo | 52,222 | $7.9 \%$ |
| Costa Mesa | 112,139 | $16.9 \%$ |
| Irvine | 307,958 | $46.3 \%$ |
| Laguna Beach | 23,061 | $3.5 \%$ |
| Laguna Hills* | 16,901 | $2.5 \%$ |
| Laguna Woods | 17,658 | $2.7 \%$ |
| Lake Forest* | 16,406 | $2.5 \%$ |
| Newport Beach | 85,338 | $12.8 \%$ |
| Tustin* | 30,955 | $4.7 \%$ |
| Unincorporated | 2,029 | $0.3 \%$ |
| District 2 Total | $\mathbf{6 6 4 , 6 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0} \%$ |

DISTRICT 3

|  | Total <br> Population | Percent of <br> District |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Place | 46,881 | $7.0 \%$ |
| Anaheim* | 14,723 | $2.2 \%$ |
| Coto de Caza CDP | 33,144 | $5.0 \%$ |
| Dana Point | 26,188 | $3.9 \%$ |
| Ladera Ranch CDP | 14,498 | $2.2 \%$ |
| Laguna Hills $^{*}$ | 64,417 | $9.6 \%$ |
| Laguna Niguel $_{\text {Lake Forest }}{ }^{*}$ | 69,559 | $10.4 \%$ |
| Las Flores CDP | 6,004 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Mission Viejo | 93,760 | $14.0 \%$ |
| Modjeska CDP | 632 | $0.1 \%$ |
| North Tustin CDP | 25,749 | $3.8 \%$ |
| Orange | 33,354 | $5.0 \%$ |
| Rancho Mission Viejo CDP | 10,385 | $1.6 \%$ |
| Rancho Santa Margarita | 48,000 | $7.2 \%$ |
| San Clemente | 64,384 | $9.6 \%$ |
| San Juan Capistrano | 35,271 | $5.3 \%$ |
| Silverado CDP | 932 | $0.1 \%$ |
| Trabuco Canyon CDP | 1,020 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Tustin* | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Unincorporated | 6,160 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Villa Park | 5,850 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Williams Canyon CDP | 93 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Yorba Linda | 68,415 | $10.2 \%$ |
| District 3 Total | $\mathbf{6 6 9 , 4 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

DISTRICT 4

|  | Total | Percent of <br> Population |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| District |  |  |


|  | Total | Percent of <br> Population |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| District |  |  |

## DISTRICT 5

[^0]
## PROPOSAL 5

## County of Orange 2021 Redistricting

Table 5. Adjusted 2020 Census Population 18 Years and Over by Race/Ethnicity

| District | Total <br> Population 18 and Over | Hispanic or Latino of any Race | Hispanic <br> White | Non- <br> Hispanic Black or AfricanAmerican | Non-Hispanic American Indian or Alaska Native | NonHispanic Asian | Non-Hispanic Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | Non- Hispanic Some Other Race | Non- Hispanic Two or More Races |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 493,894 | 102,097 | 202,026 | 6,671 | 937 | 161,436 | 1,779 | 2,208 | 16,740 |
|  | 100.0\% | 20.7\% | 40.9\% | 1.4\% | 0.2\% | 32.7\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 3.4\% |
| 2 | 536,073 | 87,002 | 265,986 | 9,094 | 606 | 147,476 | 865 | 2,743 | 22,301 |
|  | 100.0\% | 16.2\% | 49.6\% | 1.7\% | 0.1\% | 27.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 4.2\% |
| 3 | 529,829 | 92,238 | 334,079 | 6,435 | 978 | 71,154 | 718 | 2,509 | 21,718 |
|  | 100.0\% | 17.4\% | 63.1\% | 1.2\% | 0.2\% | 13.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 4.1\% |
| 4 | 500,127 | 208,850 | 138,425 | 12,215 | 1,138 | 123,134 | 1,603 | 2,085 | 12,677 |
|  | 100.0\% | 41.8\% | 27.7\% | 2.4\% | 0.2\% | 24.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 2.5\% |
| 5 | 465,756 | 297,330 | 82,887 | 7,052 | 843 | 66,663 | 1,239 | 1,585 | 8,157 |
|  | 100.0\% | 63.8\% | 17.8\% | 1.5\% | 0.2\% | 14.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 1.8\% |
| COUNTY <br> TOTAL | 2,525,679 | 787,517 | 1,023,403 | 41,467 | 4,502 | 569,863 | 6,204 | 11,130 | 81,593 |
|  | 100.0\% | 31.2\% | 40.5\% | 1.6\% | 0.2\% | 22.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 3.2\% |

Percent shares calculated using unrounded numbers, but table displays only to tenths; therefore, percents displayed may not sum to $100 \%$.

Source: Statewide Database; Adjusted, incarcerated persons reallocated P.L. 94-171 2020 Redistricting Data, Revised 9/27/2021

## PROPOSAL 5

Contact Name: Jonathan Paik

Organization:
Submitted Description:

Orange County Civic Engagement Table / People's Redistricting Alliance "Plan Objectives
A multiracial coalition based in Orange County, the People's Redistricting Alliance (PRA) was established in early 2021 to ensure a community voice in statewide and local redistricting processes. The PRA's Orange County Board of Supervisors plan centers federal Voting Rights Act compliance and communities of interest that reflect the needs of the county's most impacted residents. The culmination of over seven months of community engagement, the plan:

Respects the federal Voting Rights Act. The PRA plan creates a required Latinx Section 2 district in and around Santa Ana.

Respects communities of interest. The PRA plan centers numerous communities of interest, including AMEMSA, Asian American, housing cost-burdened, immigrant, Latinx, limited English proficient (LEP), lowincome, nail salon worker, Pacific Islander, environmentally impacted, uninsured, and other populations.

Respects population equality. The PRA plan includes districts whose total populations are $5 \%$ above or below the ideal population of 638,601 persons per Supervisorial district, with an overall percentage spread less than 10\%.

Respects district contiguity. The PRA plan draws contiguous Supervisorial districts.

Respects the integrity of city boundaries. City boundaries were crossed for only three reasons: to ensure population equality, comply with the federal Voting Rights Act, and respect the integrity of communities of interest that cross city lines.

Respects the requirement of compactness. The PRA plan draws compact districts, each with a population polygon score of 0.50 or greater.

The PRA will provide additional supporting narrative describing the plan to the Board and county redistricting staff via redistricting@ocgov.com."




[^0]:    *City split by proposed district.
    Percent shares calculated using unrounded numbers, but table displays only to tenths; therefore, percents displayed may not sum to $100 \%$.

