

Attachment B: Redistricting Map Proposal Public Comment

Received November 15, 2021 – November 19, 2021 Noon

For a complete list of all public comments received please visit –
www.ocgov.com/redistricting

From: [Elana Greville](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Date: Thursday, November 18, 2021 6:54:32 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Hello. I am writing in support of a fully independent process with regard to redistricting. Please do not target a political party or political figure. Thank you.

Elana Greville

From: [GREEN, BRENDA](#)
To: [Do, Andrew](#); [Wagner, Donald](#); [Fourth District](#); [Bartlett, Lisa](#); [Foley, Katrina](#); [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: City of Costa Mesa adopted Resolution regarding Redistricting
Date: Thursday, November 18, 2021 3:21:54 PM
Attachments: [Scanned from a Xerox Multifunction Printer.pdf](#)

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Hello,

Please find attached a resolution the Costa Mesa City Council adopted on Tuesday, November 16, 2021, regarding redistricting.

Best,

Brenda Green
City Clerk
City of Costa Mesa
714/754-5221

City Hall is open to the public. For expedited service, [appointments](#) are strongly encouraged.

~ The City of Costa Mesa serves our residents, businesses and visitors while promoting a safe, inclusive and vibrant community ~

E-mail correspondence with the City of Costa Mesa (and attachments, if any) may be subject to the California Public Records Act, and as such may, therefore, be subject to public disclosure unless otherwise exempt under the act.

RESOLUTION NO. 2021-45

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA, OPPOSING ADOPTION OF ANY REDISTRICTING PLAN FOR THE COUNTY OF ORANGE WHICH SPLITS COSTA MESA INTO TWO DISTRICTS, REMOVES IT FROM SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT NO. 2, AND/OR CHANGES ITS ELECTION CYCLE

THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF COSTA MESA DOES HEREBY RESOLVE AS FOLLOWS:

WHEREAS, the County of Orange is currently in the process of drawing new district boundaries for its five Supervisorial Districts as a result of the Decennial Census; and

WHEREAS, California Elections Code section 21500 (c)(2) provides: "To the extent practicable, the geographic integrity of any local neighborhood or local community of interest shall be respected in a manner that minimizes its division. A 'community of interest' is a population that shares common social or economic interests that should be included within a single supervisorial district for purposes of its effective and fair representation. Communities of interest do not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates; and

WHEREAS, California Elections Code section 21500 (c)(3) provides: "To the extent practicable, the geographic integrity of a city or census designated place shall be respected in a manner that minimizes its division"; and

WHEREAS, California Elections Code section 21500 (d) provides; "The board shall not adopt supervisorial district boundaries for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against a political party"; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors has not obtained draft maps from a professional demographer to consider in its required redistricting; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors initially received and considered only eight maps in connection with the redistricting of the County; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors has narrowed the selection of maps for further consideration in the redistricting process to a few that harm the interests of the citizens of the City of Costa Mesa; and

WHEREAS, of the maps the Board of Supervisors has selected for further consideration in the redistricting process, to the extent that one or more of them splits Costa Mesa, Newport Beach and Huntington Beach into different districts, those map(s) violate the statutory requirements that communities of interest should be respected in the redistricting process, and that the geographic integrity of a city shall be respected in a manner that minimizes its division; and

WHEREAS, of the maps the Board of Supervisors has selected for further consideration in the redistricting process, all of them move the City of Costa Mesa into different districts from District No. 2, in which it has been located for decades, completely reconfiguring the district lines of the region in which Costa Mesa is located in the County; and

WHEREAS, none of the current maps the Board of Supervisors are considering in the redistricting process keep the City of Costa Mesa in the same district as both the majority of Huntington Beach and Newport Beach, its two nearest neighbors, both geographically and socio-economically, with which it has shared legislators for decades, and with which Costa Mesa shares or borders upon numerous regional assets such as Banning Ranch and both Newport Boulevard and the 16th Street corridor, the John Wayne Airport flight path, contractual relationships such as Costa Mesa and Huntington Beach for helicopter services, and Costa Mesa and Newport Beach for the Bridge Shelter, service districts such as Mesa Water District, and educational facilities through the Newport-Mesa Unified School District, completely reconfiguring the district lines of the region in which Costa Mesa is located in the County; and

WHEREAS, the distinct composition of the City's neighborhoods creates unique priorities for our communities that closely aligns the City's interests with that of central coastal cities in Orange County. Costa Mesa, Newport Beach and Huntington Beach are all part of the Central Special Planning Area (SPA) in the County; and

WHEREAS, of the maps the Board of Supervisors has selected for further consideration in the redistricting process, all of them separate the City of Costa Mesa from either Huntington Beach or Newport Beach, moving all three contiguous cities into different districts from District No. 2, thus violating the statutory requirements that communities of interest should be respected in the redistricting process: and


WHEREAS, of the maps the Board of Supervisors has selected for further consideration in the redistricting process, the maps that call for moving Costa Mesa into District No. 1 would completely disenfranchise the entire Costa Mesa citizenry by forcing them into a district whose Supervisor was elected by citizens of other cities and depriving them of the opportunity to vote for their own Supervisor for two full years, in 2024 instead of 2022; and

WHEREAS, maps that will be considered in the final selection process have not been published and made publicly available for the required period of time to allow citizen input; and;

WHEREAS, many citizens have expressed concern that the selected proposed maps, and particularly those which move Costa Mesa from District No. 2 to District No. 1, which have different election cycles, demonstrate that the Board of Supervisors intend to and will adopt supervisorial district boundaries for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against a political party, which is impermissible;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Costa Mesa that the City of Costa Mesa opposes adoption of any redistricting plan for the County of Orange which splits Costa Mesa into more than one district, separates it from both Newport Beach and Huntington Beach, its closest regional and demographically similar partners, removes Costa Mesa from District No. 2, or changes its election cycle by moving it to District No. 1, thus disenfranchising its voters for two years.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 16th day of November, 2021:


John Stephens, Mayor

ATTEST:

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Brenda Green
Brenda Green, City Clerk

Kimberly Hall Barlow
Kimberly Hall Barlow, City Attorney

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
COUNTY OF ORANGE) SS
CITY OF COSTA MESA)

I, BRENDA GREEN, City Clerk of the City of Costa Mesa, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the above and foregoing is the original of Resolution No. 2021-45 and was duly passed and adopted by the City Council of the City of Costa Mesa at a regular meeting held on 16th day of November, 2021, by the following roll call vote, to wit:

AYES: COUNCIL MEMBERS: CHAVEZ, GAMEROS, HARLAN, HARPER, REYNOLDS,
MARR, AND STEPHENS.

NOES: COUNCIL MEMBERS: NONE.

ABSENT: COUNCIL MEMBERS: NONE.

ABSTAIN: COUNCIL MEMBERS: NONE.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereby set my hand and affixed the seal of the City of Costa Mesa this 17th day of November, 2021.

Brenda Green
BRENDA GREEN, CITY CLERK

From: [Bev Sansone](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: Redistricting
Date: Wednesday, November 17, 2021 8:49:20 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

As a resident of Orange county, I am very concerned about the upcoming redistricting vote. I am in favor of the creation of a majority Latino district, as is true of the two major maps. I am concerned about Proposal 4C1 map, however, as it separates Costa Mesa from Newport Beach. These two cities are linked in several ways beyond just being neighbors. They share a school district as well as a homeless shelter. They are used to working together and have been able to accomplish mutual goals.

I feel Proposal 5A1 fits the needs of the community while creating fair districts for the County.

Thank you,
Bev Sansone
92648

From: [karen Clark](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: Redistributing map
Date: Wednesday, November 17, 2021 7:40:38 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

We are Newport Beach residents and support plan 5A-1.
Karen and Bruce Clark

From: [Alice Apkarian](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: Redistributing
Date: Wednesday, November 17, 2021 4:03:57 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I oppose any redistricting that divides my city, Irvine. Splitting us between districts only serves to diminish our community voice. We are a community. Leave us intact.

Alice Apkarian, PhD
Irvine

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Wednesday, November 17, 2021 3:01:59 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Wed, 11/17/2021 - 15:01

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Ellen Lapointe

Organization

none

Phone Number

[9497063010](tel:9497063010)

Email

elapointe1@gmail.com

City/County

Corona del Mar/Orange County

My ideas/comments are as follows

I urge the OC Board of Supervisors to adopt redistricting maps that will keep the cities of Newport Beach and Costa Mesa - wholly - in a district with John Wayne Airport. I do not know enough to urge approval of a specific proposed map, nor do I know how to best represent the many interests expressed by residents throughout OC, but I hope the Board will keep communities of interest (eg. NB & CM, Placentia & Yorba Linda) together and try to keep as many cities as possible whole within the five supervisorial districts. Thank you.

From: [Sue Quam](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: Fwd: I oppose Map 4-C1 eliminating Katrina by carving her out of the District
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 7:09:43 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

As a resident of Orange County, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the AAPI and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.”

I support Map 5 and 2 A-1. Both of these maps give a voice to a large AAPI Community as well as the Latino Community and keep as many Cities as possible together.

Sue Quam
Laguna Woods, CA

--

Sue Ellen Quam
suequam@gmail.com

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 7:06:43 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Tue, 11/16/2021 - 19:06

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Harry Mangalam

Email

hjmangalam@gmail.com

City/County

Irvine

My ideas/comments are as follows

As a resident of Irvine (University Hills, 92617), I object to the proposed Map 4C1 as it violates the California Fair Maps Act regarding politically targeting a sitting candidate.

This map also dilutes the API and Latino representation much more than other maps.

The map also unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts. This makes little sense - if at all possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district.

I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.

From: [Ara Apkarian](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: Do not divide cities
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 3:35:50 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

As a resident of Irvine, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1.

This map artificially splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts. Diversity of a city population should not be split based on any differential consideration, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district.

I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.”

V. Ara Apkarian, Citizen

Irvine

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 3:22:48 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Tue, 11/16/2021 - 15:22

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Mark Hopkins

Organization

Homeowner and former President of Bluffs Community Homeowners Assn (647 residences in the City of Newport Beach)

Phone Number

[714.272.4914](tel:714.272.4914)

Email

mhopkinslaw@att.net

City/County

SANTA ANA

My ideas/comments are as follows

One of the proposed re-districting maps proposes to remove Newport Beach from the district that John Wayne Airport is in.

Out 647 homes are directly under the takeoff flight path of all departing aircraft, and the noise diminishes our quality of life and property values. We are very impacted by this airport and if we are cut out of the supervisorial district that also includes the airport, our input and voices are further weakened.

I am urging you to vote / press for acceptance of Revised Map Proposal 2A1 which is appropriate to provide our homeowners (who live within 1/4 mile of the end of the takeoff runway) with input and a voice designed to reduce takeoff noise at John Wayne Airport now, and in the future.

I am writing in support of Revised Map Proposal 2A-1 because it ensures that the cities directly impacted by John Wayne Airport remain within a contiguous Supervisorial District. It is imperative that the cities most impacted by JWA – Newport Beach, Costa Mesa, Laguna Beach, Irvine and Huntington Beach -- remain in the same Supervisorial District so that their interests may be properly and appropriately represented.

Thank you for your understanding and support.

Best regards.

Mark Hopkins

301 Esperanza.

Newport Beach, NA 92705

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 2:26:09 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Tue, 11/16/2021 - 14:26

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Hildy Meyers

City/County

Huntington Beach

My ideas/comments are as follows

As a resident of Orange County, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the AAPI and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.”

I support Map 5 and 2 A-1. Both of these maps give a voice to a large AAPI Community as well as the Latino Community and keep as many Cities as possible together.

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 2:21:44 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Tue, 11/16/2021 - 14:21

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Carla Montgomery

Phone Number

[9493754628](tel:9493754628)

Email

carlajeanm@gmail.com

City/County

Costa Mesa Orange County

My ideas/comments are as follows

It has come to my attention that there is a possibility that the city of Costa Mesa in district 2 will be split and separated into a different district. I feel since I live in the city of Costa Mesa that it would be inappropriate to split my city and put half of it in a different district. I feel this would cause much confusion and further complicate things in my city.

Thank you

From: [TOMLU BAKER](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Cc: [TOMLU BAKER](#)
Subject: Support for Proposed Map 2A-1
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 2:14:32 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Honorable OC Board of Supervisors

SUBJECT : Support for Proposed Map 2A-1

We are residents of Newport Beach.

We support Proposed Map 2A-1 because it provides the most equitable and fair option for Orange County at large. Proposal 2A-1 defines Districts which avoid City splitting except when appropriate, combine communities with similar interests, contain a significant Latino District, contain a significant Asian District and appear to be nonpartisan.

I am strongly in opposition to Proposed Map 4C-1. It separates Costa Mesa and Newport Beach which are coupled with a school district, a Homeless Shelter and issues about John Wayne Airport, removes Laguna Beach from contiguous coastal communities and places a section of Huntington Beach in District 5.

Sincerely,
Lu and Tom Baker

Sent from my iPhone

Joey,

Since we weren't allowed inside the chambers today, I wanted to share this with you. This photo should speak for itself. We, the Korean Americans urge you to vote for SA or SR. Thank you.

Joey Ahn
President, OC Korean US Citizens League



From: [Bonnie Robinson](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: #37 Public Comment on Proposed 2021 Redistricting Maps
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 1:00:19 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Supervisors Do, Chaffee, Foley, Wagner, and Bartlett,

The Board of Supervisors has a responsibility to represent all residents of Orange County with equity. Therefore:

1. Avoid dividing cities into more than one district for a more responsive and cohesive relationship between cities, their residents and the County of Orange.
2. Neighborhoods of ethnic, linguistic and religious minority groups should not be divided in such a way that their voices and interests are lost, resulting in no representation. The great diversity of Orange County brings a benefit of richness and multiple perspectives to our county and everyone deserves to have their voices heard.

Sincerely,
Bonnie Robinson
5907 E Valley Forge Drive
Orange, CA 92869

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:33:24 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Tue, 11/16/2021 - 12:33

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Natalie Rokos

Phone Number

[\(714\) 349-1652](tel:(714)349-1652)

Email

nrokos28@gmail.com

City/County

Huntington Beach

My ideas/comments are as follows

I support redistricting map 2A-1 as being the most inclusive and equitable map brought forth for redistricting.

From: [Linda May](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: Keep communities of interest together
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 11:14:10 AM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I am a 25 year resident of Silverado and have been active in the community, serving on the Parks Board, the Inter Canyon League, and other volunteer groups.

Please keep these canyons together with their logical related communities. Please do not approve any map that puts Silverado and the other canyons in a district that reaches Los Angeles.

Maps 5 and 5A keeps our canyons with neighboring communities and also best embraces keeping ethnic communities and city boundaries county wide.

Thank you,
Linda May

From: [Susan Klein](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: redistricting comments
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 10:34:19 AM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

As a resident of Irvine, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1.

I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act by politically targeting a sitting candidate. The map also dilutes the API and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district.

I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.

Thank you.

~~Susan Klein
sbklein@uci.edu
949-232-2122

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 9:31:57 AM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Tue, 11/16/2021 - 09:31

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Van Jacobsen

Organization

none

Phone Number

[9496446072](tel:9496446072)

Email

sailrvan@pacbell.net

City/County

Newport Beach

My ideas/comments are as follows

I am a resident of Newport Beach and am writing in support of Revised Map Proposal 2A (2A-1) on the basis that it:

- Satisfies all state and federal law, and
- Ensures that the cities directly impacted by John Wayne Airport remain within a contiguous Supervisorial District. It is imperative that the cities most impacted by JWA – Newport Beach, Costa Mesa, Laguna Beach, and Huntington Beach -- remain in the same Supervisorial District.

From: [Senait Forthal](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: Public comment re: Map 4C1 (and also in Maps 5a1 and 5b1)
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 9:22:37 AM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear OC Board of Supervisors,

As a resident of Irvine, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the API and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.

Thank you,
Senait Forthal
Irvine Resident

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 8:54:34 AM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Tue, 11/16/2021 - 08:54

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Calvin Capelle

Phone Number

[949-540-8983](tel:949-540-8983)

Email

irishpinoy@gmail.com

City/County

San Juan Capistrano

My ideas/comments are as follows

As a resident of San Juan Capistrano, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the API and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.”

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 8:53:39 AM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Tue, 11/16/2021 - 08:53

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Michael Capelle

Phone Number

[310-383-9431](tel:310-383-9431)

Email

mcapelle@gmail.com

City/County

San Juan Capistrano

My ideas/comments are as follows

As a resident of San Juan Capistrano, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the API and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.”

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 8:53:03 AM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Tue, 11/16/2021 - 08:52

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Sarah Hunter

Organization

Resident of OC

Phone Number

[404-769-5385](tel:404-769-5385)

Email

sarahehunter@gmail.com

City/County

Huntington Beach, CA - Orange County

My ideas/comments are as follows

As a resident of Orange County, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the AAPI and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.”

I support Map 5 and 2 A-1. Both of these maps give a voice to a large AAPI Community as well as the Latino Community and keep as many Cities as possible together.

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 8:52:28 AM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Tue, 11/16/2021 - 08:52

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Amabel Capelle

Organization

Self

Phone Number

[310-383-9438](tel:310-383-9438)

Email

amylaguna@gmail.com

City/County

SAN JUAN CAPISTRANO

My ideas/comments are as follows

As a resident of San Juan Capistrano, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the API and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1."

From: [Sarah Hunter](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: Redistricting
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 8:50:54 AM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

As a resident of Orange County, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the AAPI and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts, and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.”

I support Map 5 and 2 A-1. Both maps give a voice to a large AAPI Community as well as the Latino Community and keep as many Cities as possible together.

Sarah Hunter
Huntington Beach, CA 92648

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 8:44:38 AM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Tue, 11/16/2021 - 08:44

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Bob Moosmann

Phone Number

[19497608281](tel:19497608281)

Email

bobmoosmann@yahoo.com

City/County

Newport Beach

My ideas/comments are as follows

I think it is not right to move so quickly on such a large issue for the county. Most especially since the proposed redistricting would remove the most impacted city from any further discussion. Talk about alienating the voters and sowing seeds of

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 8:39:59 AM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Tue, 11/16/2021 - 08:39

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Robert Hunter

Email

robjosephhunter@gmail.com

City/County

Huntington Beach, CA - Orange County

My ideas/comments are as follows

As a resident of Orange County, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the AAPI and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.”

I support Map 5 and 2 A-1. Both of these maps give a voice to a large AAPI Community as well as the Latino Community and keep as many Cities as possible together.

Robert Hunter
Huntington Beach

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 8:27:49 AM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Tue, 11/16/2021 - 08:27

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Annamaria Ferree

Phone Number

[\(714\) 756-0543](tel:(714)756-0543)

Email

a.ferree@icloud.com

City/County

Huntington Beach

My ideas/comments are as follows

As a resident of Orange County, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the AAPI and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.”

I support Map 5 and 2 A-1. Both of these maps give a voice to a large AAPI Community as well as the Latino Community and keep as many Cities as possible together.

Annamaria Ferree

From: [Anne Caenn](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: Redistricting
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 8:24:05 AM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I'm a resident of Orange County and I object to the proposed Map 4C1. This map violates the California Fair Maps Act in political link targeting a sitting candidate. Please vote NO on Map 4C1.

I support Map 5 and 2 A-1. Both of these maps give a voice to a large AAPI Community as well as the Latino Community and keep as many Cities together as possible.

Anne Caenn
Laguna Beach, CA 92651

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 8:17:05 AM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Tue, 11/16/2021 - 08:16

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Alan Boinus

Organization

Private Citizen

Phone Number

[949-497-1556](tel:949-497-1556)

Email

anb92652@gmail.com

City/County

Laguna Beach / Orange

My ideas/comments are as follows

I am a 32-year resident of Orange County. I am writing in strong SUPPORT FOR MAP 5 AND 2A-A and to express my strong OBJECTION TO MAP 4C1.

Map 4C1 is concerning because:

- It unreasonably splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts – Cities should remain in the same supervisor district for obvious reasons, unless there is reasonable justification otherwise. Map 4C1 provides no reasonable justification
- Has the appearance of gerrymandering in likely violation of the California Fair Maps Act .
- Appears to be politically motivated, as it writes out a sitting supervisor from her own district, in apparent violation of the California Fair Maps Act
- Unreasonably dilutes the AAPI and Latino representation that may face Constitutional challenges
- Is not above reproach and will likely face costly court challenges at the expense of the taxpayer

The Board of Supervisors should vote NO on Map 4C1 and SUPPORT Map 5 and 2 A-1.

Sincerely,

Alan Boinus

Orange County

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 8:15:43 AM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Tue, 11/16/2021 - 08:15

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Barbara Schilling

My ideas/comments are as follows

As a resident of Orange County, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the AAPI and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.”

I support Map 5 and 2 A-1. Both of these maps give a voice to a large AAPI Community as well as the Latino Community and keep as many Cities as possible together.

Barbara Schilling, Huntington Beach

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 8:14:32 AM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Tue, 11/16/2021 - 08:14

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Catherine Liu

Organization

UC Irvine

Phone Number

[9492015638](tel:9492015638)

Email

liu@uci.edu

City/County

Irvine

My ideas/comments are as follows

As a resident of Irvine, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the API and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 8:08:42 AM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Tue, 11/16/2021 - 08:08

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Maureen Ramer

Phone Number

[9497237878](tel:9497237878)

Email

maursie1947@gmail.com

City/County

Newport Beach/Orange

My ideas/comments are as follows

I am a resident of Newport Beach and am writing in support of Revised Map Proposal 2A (2A-1) on the basis that it:

- Satisfies all state and federal law, and
- Ensures that the cities directly impacted by John Wayne Airport remain within a contiguous Supervisorial District. It is imperative that the cities most impacted by JWA – Newport Beach, Costa Mesa, Laguna Beach, and Huntington Beach -- remain in the same Supervisorial District.

From: [Suzanne Gauntlett](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: RE: Support of Redistricting Proposed Map 2A-1
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 7:40:46 AM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Orange County Board of Supervisors,

After reviewing the 133 page redistricting document posted this morning, I am writing you to support Proposal Map 2A-1.

The law requires that a number of criteria must be met and Proposal 2A-1 provides, in my opinion, the fairest option that creates an inclusive, equitable, and defensible Voting Rights Act and Fair Maps Act compliant map that ensures all regions of Orange County are justly represented.

It also provides that the cities of Costa Mesa and Newport Beach remain intact as well as leaving the Newport- Mesa Unified School District whole as well.

Please support Proposal 2A-1 at your Board of Supervisors meeting today November 16, 2021 and again on Monday November 22, 2021.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Gauntlett
Newport Coast

From: [Anne Gordinier](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: Please do NOT vote for Map 4C1
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 7:38:43 AM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please do not vote for Map 4C1. It is not equitable and disenfranchises certain communities of interest. It targets a sitting member of the board, violating the California Fair Maps Act and it splits 14 cities.

The best, and most equitable map is map 5. We are counting on you to makes sure all OC communities are represented fairly.

Thank you, Anne Gordinier 92660

Sent from my iPad

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 7:30:50 AM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Tue, 11/16/2021 - 07:30

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Susan Tate

Phone Number

[9494940232](tel:9494940232)

Email

state71@gmail.com

City/County

Newport Beach/Orange

My ideas/comments are as follows

I am writing in support of revised map 2A (2A-1) because it meets all the criteria of state and federal law and keeps the city of Costa Mesa intact.

More importantly, it ensures that the cities directly impacted by JWA remain within a contiguous district, which I believe is in the best interest of all the residents in those cities.

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 7:22:54 AM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Tue, 11/16/2021 - 07:22

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Jone Pearce

City/County

Irvine

My ideas/comments are as follows

I strongly disagree with the proposed Map 4C1. This map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate and invites expensive law suits that I will have to pay for. Also, this map also dilutes the API and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 7:21:07 AM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Tue, 11/16/2021 - 07:20

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Nancy Conklin

Phone Number

[9492300987](tel:9492300987)

Email

nconklin@thepegasusschool.org

City/County

Newport Beach

My ideas/comments are as follows

I am a resident of Newport Beach and am writing in support of Revised Map Proposal 2A (2A-1) on the basis that it:

- Satisfies all state and federal law, and
- Ensures that the cities directly impacted by John Wayne Airport remain within a contiguous Supervisorial District. It is imperative that the cities most impacted by JWA – Newport Beach, Costa Mesa, Laguna Beach, and Huntington Beach -- remain in the same Supervisorial District.

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 7:15:40 AM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Tue, 11/16/2021 - 07:15

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

ROBIN BUCK

Organization

None- private citizen

City/County

Irvine/ Orange

My ideas/comments are as follows

As a resident of Irvine, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the API and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 7:04:08 AM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Tue, 11/16/2021 - 07:03

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Mary Julienne

Email

[mjuliennhb@gmail.co](mailto:mjuliennhb@gmail.com)

My ideas/comments are as follows

Please do not change the district within Map 4C-1 as it dilutes the AAPI community and reduces out district representation. This is not a move that I support and as a voter I will make sure to vote against anyone supporting this redistricting. I am a community member since 1968 and have voted each and every time since attaining voting age.

From: [Carol Nilsen](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: NO 4C-1, 5A1 and 5B1; YES 5 and 2-A1
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 6:55:15 AM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Supervisors,

As a resident of Orange County, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the AAPI and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.”

I support Map 5 and 2 A-1. Both of these maps give a voice to a large AAPI Community as well as the Latino Community and keep as many Cities as possible together.

Carol and Roger Nilsen
Laguna Beach

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 6:51:49 AM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Tue, 11/16/2021 - 06:51

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Jessica Pratt

Phone Number

[949-872-5072](tel:949-872-5072)

Email

jessicadpratt@gmail.com

City/County

Irvine/Orange

My ideas/comments are as follows

As a resident of Irvine, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the API and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.

From: [Karol Gottfredson](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: NO on 4C1
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 6:40:26 AM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

As a resident of Irvine, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1.

I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the API and Latino representation much more than other maps.

Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district.

I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.

Please show integrity and avoid politically motivated redistricting.

Sincerely,

Karol Gottfredson
14 McClintock Ct.
Irvine, CA 92616

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Laura Oatman](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: OC Redistricting - In Support of Proposed Map 2A-1
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 6:31:33 AM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Letter of Support of Proposed Map 2A-1 - OC Redistricting

Several proposals are worthwhile, but one: Proposal 2A-1 seems to provide the most fair and equitable option for Orange County.

1. Proposal 2A-1 complies with the Federal Voting Rights Act by creating a Latino Minority Majority District in Central Orange County.
2. Proposal 2A-1 creates a Non-Hispanic Asian Plurality District in Western Orange County.
3. Proposal 2A-1 does not bypass areas of population to favor more distant populations
4. Proposal 2A-1 is contiguous and compact.
5. Proposal 2A-1 unites communities of interest (social, cultural, economic, environmental, and educational).
6. Proposal 2A-1 reduces city splits and only considers city splits when bringing together a community of interest or balancing population.
7. Proposal 2A-1 appears as not drawn for purposes of favoring or discriminating against political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.

Proposal 2A-1 ensures that the cities directly impacted by John Wayne Airport (JWA) remain within a contiguous Supervisorial District. It is imperative that the cities most impacted by JWA – Costa Mesa, Huntington Beach, Irvine, Laguna Beach, and Newport Beach -- remain in the same Supervisorial District.

Proposal 2A-1 creates an inclusive, equitable, and defensible Voting Rights Act and Fair Maps Act compliant map that ensures all regions of Orange County are fairly represented.

Please support Proposal 2A-1 on Tuesday 11/16/21 and Monday 11/22/21.

Respectfully,
Laura Oatman, Newport Beach
949-698-2043

From: [abriscoe](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: Vote no on proposed Map 4C1
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 6:10:21 AM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

To Whom It May Concern,

As a resident of Irvine, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the API and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.

Adriana Briscoe
17 Twain Street
Irvine, CA 92617

From: [Kim Huxman](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: objection to Map 4C1
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 5:57:05 AM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Hello,

I live in Irvine and strongly object to the proposed redistricting Map41C because it violates the California Fair Maps Act. Map41C politically targets a sitting candidate and dilutes the Latino and API representation more than the other proposed maps. Finally, the map splits apart cities into different supervisor districts.

Please vote NO on Map 41C.

Sincerely,

Kim Huxman
Irvine Resident

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 5:33:21 AM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Tue, 11/16/2021 - 05:33

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Dianne Jones

Email

djonesre@gmail.com

City/County

Newport Beach

My ideas/comments are as follows

I am a resident of Newport Beach and am writing in support of Revised Map Proposal 2A (2A-1) on the basis that it:

- Satisfies all state and federal law, and
- Ensures that the cities directly impacted by John Wayne Airport remain within a contiguous Supervisorial District. It is imperative that the cities most impacted by JWA – Newport Beach, Costa Mesa, Laguna Beach, and Huntington Beach -- remain in the same Supervisorial District.

From: [hillbert7](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: Redistricting issue
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 5:30:16 AM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

As a resident of Orange County, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the AAPI and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.”

I support Map 5 and 2 A-1. Both of these maps give a voice to a large AAPI Community as well as the Latino Community and keep as many Cities as possible together.

Hillary Postman, Laguna Woods

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 5:23:48 AM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Tue, 11/16/2021 - 05:00

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Gregory Weiss

Email

gregoryweiss@cox.net

City/County

Irvine, Orange County

My ideas/comments are as follows

I'm writing as a resident of Irvine to strongly oppose the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the minority group representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 4:57:55 AM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Tue, 11/16/2021 - 04:57

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Linda Tacy

Email

lrtacy@gmail.com

City/County

Irvine -- Orange

My ideas/comments are as follows

As a resident of Irvine, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the API and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.

From: [Magda El Zarki](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: Redistricting Map
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 2:55:56 AM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

As a resident of Irvine, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the API and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Tuesday, November 16, 2021 12:38:50 AM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Tue, 11/16/2021 - 00:38

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Carolyn Shammy Dingus

Organization

Self

Phone Number

[8087784013](tel:8087784013)

Email

shammyd@mac.com

City/County

Huntington Beach

My ideas/comments are as follows

As a resident of Orange County, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the AAPI and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.”

I support Map 5 and 2 A-1. Both of these maps give a voice to a large AAPI Community as well as the Latino Community and keep as many Cities as possible together.

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 11:53:32 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 23:53

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Joan Nichols

Phone Number

[9498743112](tel:9498743112)

Email

jonibnichols@gmail.com

City/County

Orange

My ideas/comments are as follows

All of Costa Mesa needs to remain as one district and the cities most affected by JWA (Costa Mesa and Newport Beach) need to be in the same supervisorial district.

Since Laguna Beach and Huntington Beach share coastline they need to be included too.

I am opposed to Map 4 C1; it is both inequitable and disenfranchises certain communities of concern.

Which map do I think best? Map 5 which is supported by the ACLU of southern California, OCAPICA and the UCLA Voting Right Project.

Thank you

Joan Nichols

Balboa Island

From: [Pam Carrie](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: Redistricting in Orange County, California
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 11:39:03 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Committee,

As a residents of Orange County, we would like to voice our strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1 We believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the AAPI and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and we believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. We strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.”

We support Map 5 and 2 A-1. Both of these maps give a voice to a large AAPI Community as well as the Latino Community and keep as many Cities as possible together.

Thank you for taking our opinions into consideration.

Pam and Doug Carrie

11 Taylor Place

Laguna Niguel, CA 92677

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 10:54:26 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 22:54

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Mohammed Ghazi

City/County

Newport Beach

My ideas/comments are as follows

I support revised map 2A-1

From: [Carol Crane](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: Please Vote In Favor of Map 2A-1
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 10:52:48 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Chairman Do and Honorable Members of the Board of Supervisors,

Last week, I had written to you regarding the redistricting process. My main appeal then, as you were deliberating, was to highly encourage you to retain Newport Beach and Costa Mesa in the same Supervisorial District. A separation of the two cities would create grave administrative repercussions for NMUSD and its families.

Tonight, and with the creation of new redistricting map options, I am reaching out once again in order to maintain my previous argument from above (of keeping Newport Beach and Costa Mesa together under one District) AND to further request that you adopt Proposal 2A1 for additional reasons enumerated below:

Proposal 2A-1 ensures that the cities directly impacted by John Wayne Airport remain within a contiguous Supervisorial District. It is imperative that the cities most impacted by JWA – including Costa Mesa and Newport Beach -- remain in the same Supervisorial District. Numerous NMUSD schools are under the flight path of John Wayne Airport-which creates a plethora of communities of interest who are affected by the airport, thus creating the need for representation at the County Supervisorial level. Proposal 2A-1 would achieve this goal

Proposal 2A-1 creates an inclusive, equitable, and defensible Voting Rights Act and Fair Maps Act compliant map that ensures all regions of Orange County are fairly represented.

Thank you for your consideration of adopting Map Proposal 2A-1 and thank you for your civil service.

Best Regards,

Carol Crane
Newport Mesa Unified School District School Board Trustee- Area 3

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 10:44:02 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 22:43

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Frederick Crim

Phone Number

[7149690334](tel:7149690334)

Email

fred.crim@gmail.com

City/County

Huntington Beach

My ideas/comments are as follows

I am a resident of Huntington Beach and am writing in support of Revised Map Proposal 2A (2A-1) on the basis that it:

- Satisfies all state and federal law, and
- Ensures that the cities directly impacted by John Wayne Airport remain within a contiguous Supervisorial District. It is imperative that the cities most impacted by JWA – Newport Beach, Costa Mesa, Laguna Beach, and Huntington Beach -- remain in the same Supervisorial District.

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 10:39:18 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 22:39

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Priscilla Huang

My ideas/comments are as follows

As an Orange County resident, I would like to voice my objection to proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes Asian American and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly support map 5 and strongly oppose map 4C1.

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 10:29:59 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 22:29

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Stephanie Bernardy

Email

bern1294@aol.com

City/County

Corona Del Mar / Newport Beach

My ideas/comments are as follows

I am a resident of Newport Beach and am writing in support of Revised Map Proposal 2A (2A-1) on the basis that it:

- Satisfies all state and federal law, and
- Ensures that the cities directly impacted by John Wayne Airport remain within a contiguous Supervisorial District. It is imperative that the cities most impacted by JWA – Newport Beach, Costa Mesa, Laguna Beach, and Huntington Beach -- remain in the same Supervisorial District.

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 10:23:49 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 22:00

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Gary Crane

Phone Number

[9493942209](tel:9493942209)

Email

gscrane98@gmail.com

City/County

Newport Beach- orange

My ideas/comments are as follows

Please do not vote for Map 4C1. It does not provide equitable representation and it will be disruptive to communities of interest.

Thank you for your consideration.

From: [rwhartman2](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: Your new redistricting maps!
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 10:10:38 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Sirs;

These new maps that have been drawn are not fair or legal. These maps will end up in a lawsuit!

As a resident of Orange County, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the AAPI and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.”

I support Map 5 and 2 A-1. Both of these maps give a voice to a large AAPI Community as well as the Latino Community and keep as many Cities as possible together.

Rose Lee Jordan
Laguna Beach. CA 92651

Sent from my T-Mobile 5G Device

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 10:05:06 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 22:04

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Kelly Davis

Phone Number

[\(714\) 606-0897](tel:(714)606-0897)

Email

kellydx@gmail.com

City/County

CM

My ideas/comments are as follows

First, gerrymandering is for losers. Period.

As a resident of Orange County, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the AAPI and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.”

I support Map 5 and 2 A-1. Both of these maps give a voice to a large AAPI Community as well as the Latino Community and keep as many Cities as possible together.

Thank you. Btw, Trump lost.

From: [Bob Hartman](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: Redistricting Maps!
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 10:03:00 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Sirs;

As a resident of Laguna Beach and Orange County, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the AAPI and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1. Keep Costa Mesa and all cities intact and complete wherever possible!

I support Map 5 and 2 A-1. Both of these maps give a voice to a large AAPI Community as well as the Latino Community and keep as many Cities as possible together.

Thank you,

Bob Hartman
Laguna Beach. CA 92651



Bob Hartman
Laguna Beach, CA 92651
Cell: 949-235-6603

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 10:00:09 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 22:00

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Ulrike Luderer

Phone Number

[949-856-4686](tel:949-856-4686)

Email

uluderer@cox.net

City/County

Irvine/Orange

My ideas/comments are as follows

As a resident of Irvine, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the API and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 9:55:12 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 21:55

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Alexandria Helmer

Phone Number

[7149690334](tel:7149690334)

Email

alexon7th@gmail.com

City/County

Huntington Beach

My ideas/comments are as follows

I am a resident of Huntington Beach and I am writing in support of Revised Map Proposal 2A (2A-1) on the basis that it:

- Satisfies all state and federal law, and
- Ensures that the cities directly impacted by John Wayne Airport remain within a contiguous Supervisorial District. It is imperative that the cities most impacted by JWA – Newport Beach, Costa Mesa, Laguna Beach, and Huntington Beach -- remain in the same Supervisorial District.

From: [Wendy Leece](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: City of Costa Mesa
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 9:53:19 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Honorable Supervisors,
Please do not SPLIT up COSTA MESA into different Supervisorial districts.
We are one unified CITY.
You will be creating an unnecessary burden on citizens and cause confusion.
Citizens already have to remember districts and boundaries for city council, NMUSD, sanitary and water, plus State assembly, State senate and Congress.
Do no add another burden! Keep COSTA MESA WHOLE!
Thank you,
Wendy Leece
Former Costa Mesa City Council Member
Former NMUSD Trustee

Wendy Leece

"The test of the morality of a society is what it does for its children." Dietrich Bonhoeffer

From: [Debra Quam](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: Redistricting Maps
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 9:51:42 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

As a resident of Orange County, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the AAPI and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.”

I support Map 5 and 2 A-1. Both of these maps give a voice to a large AAPI Community as well as the Latino Community and keep as many Cities as possible together.

Best regards,
Debra Quam
Huntington Beach

Sent from my iPad

From: [Naz Hamid](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#); [Page, Leon](#)
Cc: [Susan Lew](#)
Subject: Redistricting
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 9:51:04 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Mr Leon Page,

Please read this during agenda item regarding redistricting

NOTE: I am permanently disabled and cannot make the trip to Orange to log my comments in person. With the rights afforded to me under the ADA, I respectfully submit the following comment that I would request to have you read into record.

PLEASE BEGIN READING HERE:

As a resident of Irvine, I would like to voice my objection to proposed **Map 4C1**. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the AAPI and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. **I strongly support map 5 and strongly oppose map 4C1.**

Sincerely,

Naz Hamid

Naz4iusd@gmail.com

949.302.2030

6 Twin Branch, Irvine 92620

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 9:48:50 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 21:48

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Francine Jacome

Email

fmjacome4change@gmail.com

City/County

Newport Beach/Orange

My ideas/comments are as follows

As a resident of Newport Beach, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the API and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.

From: [Audrey Prosser](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: NO ON MAP 4-C1
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 9:44:55 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Supervisors,

As a resident of Huntington Beach, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the AAPI and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.”

I support Map 5 and 2 A-1. Both of these maps give a voice to a large AAPI Community as well as the Latino Community and keep as many Cities as possible together.

Audrey Prosser

Huntington Beach

From: [Cathey Ryder](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: Constituent Feedback
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 9:37:50 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I have studied all of the proposed maps. I support maps 5 and 2-A1.
Cathey Ryder
Costa Mesa Homeowner.

Sent from my iPhone

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 9:35:17 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 21:35

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Patricia McNally

Organization

Registered voter /property owner

Phone Number

[8058134511](tel:8058134511)

Email

patty.mcnally@gmail.com

City/County

Newport Beach /Orange

My ideas/comments are as follows

I am in favor of the redistricting plan 2A-1 because it meets state and federal criteria and keeps the close knot cities of Costa Mesa, Newport Beach Laguna Beach in the same district. Thank you Patricia McNally

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 9:32:14 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 21:32

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Kirby Adams

My ideas/comments are as follows

As a resident of Irvine, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the API and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 9:31:33 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 21:31

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Michele Guindani

Phone Number

[7138595423](tel:7138595423)

Email

mguindani@gmail.com

City/County

Irvine

My ideas/comments are as follows

Gerrymandering is the last desperate option of those that do not have the support of the People. It basically highlights the idea that citizens have no input in America today. However, don't expect that to be always the case. History has set his trend, and any advantage one may obtain today, they are set to lose without appeal in a few years.

As a resident of Irvine, I would like to voice my forceful objection to the proposed Map 4C1. This map clearly violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the API and Latino representation. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts. That's utterly senseless and contrary to any logic of good government. Where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly request the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 9:26:24 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 21:26

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Claudia Benavente

Email

cbenavente@rocketmail.com

City/County

Irvine

My ideas/comments are as follows

As a resident of Irvine, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the API and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 9:26:18 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 21:26

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Mika Savani

Email

mikasavani@yahoo.com

City/County

Santa Ana/orange

My ideas/comments are as follows

As a resident of Santa Ana,I would like to voice my objection to proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the AAPI and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly support map 5 and strongly oppose map 4C1."

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 9:25:23 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 21:25

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Rob Selway

Phone Number

[7143968749](tel:7143968749)

Email

selwa100@alumni.chapman.edu

City/County

Corona Del Mar

My ideas/comments are as follows

I am a resident of Newport Beach and am writing in support of Revised Map Proposal 2A (2A-1) on the basis that it:

- Satisfies all state and federal law, and
- Ensures that the cities directly impacted by John Wayne Airport remain within a contiguous Supervisorial District. It is imperative that the cities most impacted by JWA – Newport Beach, Costa Mesa, Laguna Beach, and Huntington Beach -- remain in the same Supervisorial District.

From: [Charles Victorio](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#); [COB Response](#)
Subject: Public Comment About Redistricting
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 9:24:23 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Hello there, my name is Charles Victorio and I live in Irvine. I want map 5 because we need to follow the Voting Rights Act and California Fair Maps Act and we should not dilute the latino votes. Map 5 is supported by the ACLU of Southern California, Orange County Asian and Pacific Islander Community Alliance (OCAPICA) and the UCLA Voting Rights Project.

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 9:23:52 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 21:23

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Martina Schwenk

Organization

Private resident

Phone Number

[9496441350](tel:9496441350)

Email

martina.schwenk@sbcglobal.net

City/County

Newport Beach

My ideas/comments are as follows

I am a resident of Newport Beach and want JWA to remain in the same district as the citizens who are the most impacted by daily airport operations.

The revised Map Proposal (2A) satisfies all state and federal law, and ensures that the cities directly impacted by John Wayne Airport remain within a contiguous Supervisorial District. It is imperative that the cities most impacted by JWA – Newport Beach, Costa Mesa, Laguna Beach, and Huntington Beach -- remain in the same Supervisorial District.

From: [KMSH](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: Redistricting comment
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 9:23:23 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

As a resident of Irvine, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the API and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.

Kristy Hanselman

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 9:21:29 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 21:21

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Judy Stamm

City/County

Laguna Beach/Orange

My ideas/comments are as follows

As a resident of Orange County, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the AAPI and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.”

I support Map 5 and 2 A-1. Both of these maps give a voice to a large AAPI Community as well as the Latino Community and keep as many Cities as possible together.

From: [Maya Matkin](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: Redistricting
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 9:14:31 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

As a resident of Irvine, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the API and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.

Maya Matkin
Sent from my iPhone

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 9:05:46 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 21:05

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Carole Uhlaner

Phone Number

[9498561535](tel:9498561535)

Email

cuhlaner@gmail.com

City/County

Irvine

My ideas/comments are as follows

As a resident of Irvine, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the API and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 9:04:30 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 21:04

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Nancy Stack

My ideas/comments are as follows

Please do not vote for Map 4C1. It is not equitable and disenfranchises certain communities of interest. The best, most equitable map is map 5. Thank you!

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 8:58:34 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 20:58

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Email

juliestewartjohnson@gmail.com

City/County

Corona Del Mar/Orange County

My ideas/comments are as follows

I am a resident of Newport Beach and own residential property in Costa Mesa. I am writing in support of Revised Map Proposal 2A (2A-1) because it:

- Meets all of the criteria with state and federal law
- Keeps the City of Costa Mesa intact
- Most importantly, it ensures that the cities directly impacted by John Wayne Airport remain within a contiguous Supervisorial District. It is imperative that the cities most impacted by JWA – Newport Beach, Costa Mesa, Laguna Beach, Irvine and Huntington Beach -- remain in the same Supervisorial District.

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 8:57:31 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 20:57

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Michael McNally

Phone Number

[9498248462](tel:9498248462)

Email

mmcnally@uci.edu

City/County

Irvine

My ideas/comments are as follows

I am an Irvine resident and I am voicing my strong objection to the proposed redistricting Map 4C1. This map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate and also dilutes the API and Latino representation much more than other maps. This map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts when cities, where ever possible, should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 8:53:11 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 20:53

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Canyon Lew

Organization

none

Email

canyon_lew@yahoo.com

City/County

Newport Beach

My ideas/comments are as follows

Dear Board of Supervisors, I want to thank you for listening to the public as you redraw the county supervisor lines. I strongly support map 5 because it keeps the most communities of interest together. I strongly oppose map 4c1 because I believe that it violates the CA Fair Maps Act and it breaks up too many cities. Thank you.

Canyon Lew

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 8:50:38 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 20:50

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Susan Lew

Organization

none

Email

bucketsue@gmail.com

City/County

Newport Beach

My ideas/comments are as follows

As a resident of Newport Beach, I support map 5 because it makes the most sense for natural communities of interest and keeps the most cities together. I strongly oppose plan 4c1 because it unnecessarily breaks up so many cities, and I believe that cities should be kept together when possible. Thank you.

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 8:47:04 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 20:46

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Nancy Alston

Organization

SPON (Still Protecting Our Newport)

Phone Number

[949-294-8000](tel:949-294-8000)

Email

nanalston@gmail.com

City/County

Newport Beach / Orange County

My ideas/comments are as follows

I am a longtime resident of Newport Beach and an community activist.

I am writing because I support Revised Map Proposal 2A (2A-1)

Why?

Newport Beach and Costa Mesa are by far the cities most impacted by John Wayne Airport. In fact, the plane departure path down Back Bay affects both cities. Thus, the two cities should remain in the same contiguous Supervisory District. To even consider separating JWA from these cities seems strangely bizarre considering that Newport Beach is the ONLY city that is a signer on the Settlement Agreement in Orange County. Eastside Costa Mesa has also been active in efforts to mitigate aviation's effect.

Of equal importance, the coastal cities of Newport Beach, Costa Mesa, Laguna Beach, and Huntington Beach have much in common by their very proximity to the beach/ocean and should remain together in the same district because of their common interests.

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 8:44:36 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 20:44

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Patrick Guidotti

My ideas/comments are as follows

As a resident of Irvine, I would like to voice my strong support to the proposed Map 4C1. Very well thought out! I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote YES on Map 4C1."

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 8:38:54 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 20:38

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Linda Ly

Phone Number

[7142777252](tel:7142777252)

Email

grandsoirees@gmail.com

City/County

North Tustin 92705

My ideas/comments are as follows

As a resident of North Tustin, I would like to voice my objection to proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the AAPI and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly support map 5 and strongly oppose map 4C1.

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 8:38:22 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 20:38

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

David Brodbeck

Email

sh67882@gmail.com

City/County

Irvine/Orange

My ideas/comments are as follows

As a resident of Irvine, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the API and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.

From: [Summer Bailey](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: I Support Revised Map 2A-1 and Map 5
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 8:37:24 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

My name is Summer Bailey and I live in Newport Beach, Orange County, CA.

I am writing in support of Revised Map Proposal 2A-1 as first choice and Map 5 as a second choice.

2A-1 Meets all of the criteria with state and federal law

2A-1 Keeps the City of Costa Mesa intact

2A-1 Most importantly, it ensures that the cities directly impacted by John Wayne Airport remain within a contiguous Supervisorial District.

It is VITAL that the cities most impacted by JWA John Wayne Airport - Newport Beach, Costa Mesa, Laguna Beach, Irvine and Huntington Beach -- remain in the same Supervisorial District so that collectively we can continue to work to reduce noise in these communities as they are directly impacted by JWA.

From: [Judy Kaufman](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: Redistricting Comment
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 8:36:02 PM
Attachments: [Redistricting comment.pdf](#)

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Attached

Name: (required) Judy Kaufman

Optional Information¹

City/County: Irvine, CA

Phone Number: _____

Email: judykaufman7@gmail.com

Organization (if any): _____

Please write any ideas or comments you would like to share with the County of Orange on redistricting. If you are sharing ideas about proposed district boundaries or communities of interest (including, but not limited to information on boundaries of your community whether defined by city, school area or other criteria, and what bonds your community, please include descriptive information about the area in mind, such as streets or landmarks. The comments you provide will be posted online as part of the public comment and public input process.

A “community of interest” is a population that shares common social or economic interests that should be included within a single supervisorial district for purposes of its effective and fair representation. Communities of interest do not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.

Please use additional pages if you require more room to write.

My ideas/comments are as follows:

As a resident of Irvine, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the API and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1

¹ If this information is provided, the County may use it to contact you with questions. The information may be subject to disclosure under the Public Records Act

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 8:35:59 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 20:35

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Roberta Lessor

City/County

Newport Beach

My ideas/comments are as follows

I am a resident of Newport Beach and own my home. I am writing in support of Revised Map Proposal 2A (2A-1) because, importantly, it ensures that the cities directly impacted by John Wayne Airport remain within a contiguous Supervisorial District. It is imperative that the cities most impacted by JWA – Newport Beach, Costa Mesa, Laguna Beach, Irvine and Huntington Beach -- remain in the same Supervisorial District.

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 8:35:34 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 20:35

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Kristen Jamet

Phone Number

[9493706695](tel:9493706695)

Email

cricketljamet@gmail.com

City/County

Dana Point

My ideas/comments are as follows

As a resident of Orange County, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the API and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.

From: [Bonnie Eastman](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: redistricting comment
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 8:33:02 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

As a resident of Orange County, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the API and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts, and I believe that, where possible, cities should remain in the same supervisor district. There are obviously common interests shared by residents of each city. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to **VOTE NO ON MAP 4 C1.**

Sincerely,
Bonnie Eastman

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 8:30:57 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 20:30

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Frederic Jamet

Phone Number

[9494637612](tel:9494637612)

Email

fredjamet@cox.net

City/County

Dana Point

My ideas/comments are as follows

As a resident of Dana Point, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the API and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 8:30:45 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 20:30

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Sue Ellen OConnor

Organization

Not Employed

Phone Number

[949 8873198](tel:9498873198)

Email

Sueellen.oconnor@gmail.com

City/County

Newport Beach

My ideas/comments are as follows

I would like to ask the board to support map 5. After studying the various maps (which wasn't easy,) I think Map 5 is the most inclusive of the various communities). I would also like to state that map 4C1 is by far the most, off the rails. It does not to adhere to the laws of creating fair and equal districts.

From: [Nina Macdonald](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: Vote no on Map 4C1
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 8:30:06 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

As a resident of Irvine, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the API and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.

Nina Macdonald
139 Schubert Ct
Irvine 92617

From: nigel@jeei.com
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: support of Revised Map Proposal 2A-1 as first choice and Map 5 as a second choice.
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 8:29:43 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I am Nigel Bress resident of Newport Beach, Orange County.

I am writing in support of Revised Map Proposal 2A-1 as first choice and Map 5 as a second choice.

2A-1 Meets all of the criteria with state and federal law

2A-1 Keeps the City of Costa Mesa intact

2A-1 Most importantly, it ensures that the cities directly impacted by John Wayne Airport remain within a contiguous Supervisorial District.

It is VITAL that the cities most impacted by JWA John Wayne Airport - Newport Beach, Costa Mesa, Laguna Beach, Irvine and Huntington Beach -- remain in the same Supervisorial District so that collectively we can continue to work to reduce noise in these communities as they are directly impacted by JWA.

Best regards,

Nigel Bress

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: [Dennis Bress](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: I am writing in support of Revised Map Proposal 2A-1 as first choice and Map 5 as a second choice : Dennis Bress
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 8:28:40 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

I am Dennis Bress, resident of Newport Beach, Orange County.

I am writing in support of Revised Map Proposal 2A-1 as first choice and Map 5 as a second choice.

2A-1 Meets all of the criteria with state and federal law

2A-1 Keeps the City of Costa Mesa intact

2A-1 Most importantly, it ensures that the cities directly impacted by John Wayne Airport remain within a contiguous Supervisorial District.

It is VITAL that the cities most impacted by JWA John Wayne Airport - Newport Beach, Costa Mesa, Laguna Beach, Irvine and Huntington Beach -- remain in the same Supervisorial District so that collectively we can continue to work to reduce noise in these communities as they are directly impacted by JWA.

=====



Best, -Dennis Bress Jr.
714-878-1276

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 8:28:30 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 20:28

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Susan Anderson

Phone Number

[9492782626](tel:9492782626)

Email

akubiak@cox.net

City/County

Irvine/ Orange County

My ideas/comments are as follows

As an Irvine resident, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act by politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the API and Latino representation much more than other maps. In addition, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts; I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.”

From: [Kia Westhead](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: Redistricting Issues
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 8:27:40 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Orange County Board of Supervisors,

I am a resident of Orange County and have seen several redistricting proposals put forth. I am especially concerned about Map4C1. I am objecting to this map because it appears to politically target a sitting candidate thus violating the California Fair Maps Act. In addition, it splits 14 cities among the supervisor districts. Cities should be kept together where possible. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to **vote NO on Map 4C1.**

Sincerely,

Kia Westhead

From: [Julia Gomez](#)
To: [COB Response: 2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Cc: [Cynthia Valencia](#)
Subject: Nov. 16 2021 BOS Meeting, Agenda Item 37: Partisan Gerrymandering
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 8:26:15 PM
Attachments: [2021-11-15 - ACLU Letter to OCBOS re Partisan Gerrymandering.pdf](#)

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors:

Attached please find correspondence regarding the supervisorial redistricting process.

Best,

Julia

Julia Gomez, Staff Attorney
ACLU of Southern California
1313 W 8th Street, Suite 200
Los Angeles, CA 90017
(o) 213.977.5258

[aclusocal.org](#) || [facebook](#) || [twitter](#) || [blog](#) || [app](#)

ACLU SoCal: *STAND FOR JUSTICE* >> Download our mobile app at [mobilejusticeca.org](#)

THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL AND EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAW. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THE MESSAGE TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED.

November 15, 2021

Sent via email

Orange County Board of Supervisors
Hall of Administration, Board Hearing Room
333 West Santa Ana Boulevard
Santa Ana, CA 92701
response@ocgov.com
redistricting@ocgov.com

**Re: Partisan Gerrymandering
November 16, 2021 Board of Supervisors Meeting, Agenda No. 37**

Dear Members of the Orange County Board of Supervisors:

We are deeply concerned with the extreme partisanship of some of the draft supervisorial district maps that the Board is considering, including some of the maps released today. Democratic voters make up the plurality of registered voters in the County and it is possible to draw a map that complies with state and federal law. Nonetheless, some proposals, especially versions of Proposals 2 and 4, unnecessarily break up communities of interest, crack cohesive Latinx communities, split cities, and clearly discriminate against Democratic voters by making it difficult, if not impossible, for these voters to elect candidates of choice in all but one or two districts. If adopted, these maps would violate not only the Fair and Inclusive Redistricting for Municipalities and Political Subdivisions Act (hereinafter, the Fair Maps Act),¹ but also the California Constitution, which prohibits the Board from infringing on the equal protection, speech, association, and free election rights of its citizens. We request that the Board reject these maps and instead adopt a fair map that permits all Orange County residents to have a real opportunity to engage in the political process. We further request that the Board and County staff immediately conduct a thorough assessment of all proposed maps to ensure that they are not improper partisan gerrymanders.

I. Prohibition Against Partisan Gerrymandering

The Board does not have unfettered discretion when drawing new lines. Instead, the Fair Maps Act places mandatory, non-discretionary duties on the Board. This includes creating districts that are contiguous, maintain communities of interest, and are compact so that, if drawn properly, districts facilitate political organization, electoral campaigning, and constituent representation. *See* Cal. Elec. Code § 21500(c). The Act excludes incumbency protection from the list of line drawing criteria and is clear that “[c]ommunities of interest *do not* include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.” *Id.* § 21500(c)(2) (emphasis added). Because of the danger partisan gerrymandering poses to

¹ The provisions of the Fair Maps Act that apply to county redistricting can be found in sections 21500 to 21509 of the California Elections Code.

fair maps, the Act is explicit that the Board “shall not adopt supervisorial district boundaries for the purpose of favoring or discriminating against a political party.” *Id.* § 21500(d).

The California Constitution also places constraints on the Board, including the obligation that the Board permit free and fair elections, provide all people with equal protection under the law, and not restrict people’s rights of association and political expression. Cal. Const. art. II, § 3 (providing for free elections); *id.* art. I, § 7 (guaranteeing equal protection of the laws); *id.* art. I, §2(b) (guaranteeing freedom of speech and association); *see also Jauregui v. City of Palmdale*, 226 Cal. App. 4th 781, 800 (2014) (noting that the state’s free speech and association constitutional provision provides voting protections and that the state’s equal protection clause “quite naturally applies to voting related issues” and protects voters “against dilution of their votes because of the manner in which elections are conducted”). The cornerstone of free elections is to ascertain the will of the people, and a map that discriminates against a certain group of voters or creates barriers to the free expression of the will of the people infringes on all three of these rights. There is a history of state courts finding that partisan gerrymandering violates state constitutional rights, and it is likely that a California court would come to the same conclusion with respect to versions of Proposal 2 and Proposal 4 as well as Proposals 5A-1 and 5B-1. *See, e.g., League of Women Voters v. Commonwealth*, 645 Pa. 1, 8 (S. Ct. Pa. 2018) (Pennsylvania Supreme Court holding that “the 2011 [Congressional] Plan violates Article I, Section 5—the Free and Equal Elections Clause—of the Pennsylvania Constitution” because it is a partisan gerrymander); *Common Cause v. Lewis*, 2019 WL 4569584, at *128 (S. Ct. N.C. Sept. 3, 2019) (holding that the partisan gerrymander at issue “violate[d] the fundamental constitutional rights of free elections, equal protection, speech, assembly and association”).

II. Some Draft Proposals are Partisan Gerrymanders

For at least the past decade, Democratic voter registration in the County has been trending upward and Republican registration has been trending downward. For example, in October 2010, Democratic voters made up 31.9% of Orange County registered voters and Republican voters made up 43.1%.² Today, Democratic voters make up 37.1% of all active registered voters and Republican voters have dropped down almost ten percentage points to 33.5%.³ While voters are increasingly choosing to associate with parties other than the Republican Party and are consistently voting in favor of Democratic candidates,⁴ some proposals seek to again entrench Republican control of the Board for the next ten years⁵ by splitting up concentrations of Democratic voters and submerging them into redder districts, impermissibly diluting their vote.

Although we should expect to see at most one to two districts in the final map with higher shares of Republican voters, all versions of Proposal 2 are maps where Republicans have an advantage in three out of the five districts. What’s more, all versions of Proposal 2 place incumbent Supervisor Andrew Do, a registered Republican,⁶ in a district that only slightly has more Democratic voters. This gives Republican voters an advantage in four out of the five districts, much more than their size merits. Public

² California Secretary of State, Report of Registration as of October 18, 2010: Registration by County at 3, *available at* <https://elections.cdn.sos.ca.gov/ror/ror-pages/15day-gen-10/county.pdf>.

³ Orange County Registrar of Voters: Election Data Central, Current Registration Counts, <https://www.ocvote.com/datacentral/?tab=registration> (last accessed Nov. 15, 2021).

⁴ *See, e.g.,* Orange County November 6, 2018 Official Results, Registrar of Voters, <https://www.ocvote.com/fileadmin/live/gen2018/results.htm> (showing that Orange County voters consistently favor Democratic candidates in statewide races, including the races for Governor, Secretary of State, Controller, Treasurer, and Attorney General).

⁵ *See, e.g., Supervisors Approve GOP-Friendly Political Boundaries*, Voice of OC (Aug. 24, 2011), <https://voiceofoc.org/2011/08/supervisors-approve-gop-friendly-political-boundaries/>.

⁶ Ahn Do, *O.C. Supervisor Andrew Do Moves Closer to Reelection in Hotly Contested Race*, L.A. Times (Nov. 9, 2016), <https://www.latimes.com/local/lanow/la-me-ln-oc-supervisor-20161109-story.html>.

testimony indicates that this map was originally proposed, or at least supported, by Supervisor Do,⁷ and written testimony also shows that this proposal is supported by individuals affiliated with the Lincoln Club,⁸ confirming that some Republican leaders believe this map creates a safe seat for Supervisor Do even in a district that slightly leans Democrat. Proposal 2 achieves this Republican advantage by breaking up communities of interest and cities. It divides the cities of Irvine and Costa Mesa and draws the Irvine, Costa Mesa, and Tustin community of interest into three districts. Finally, proposal 2A-1 unnecessarily draws an incumbent into the majority-Latinx district, making it more difficult for Latinx voters to elect candidates of their choice.

While most versions of Proposal 4 create two districts where Republicans represent the plurality of registered voters, these maps contain an additional district, District 1, that includes incumbent Supervisor Do and only narrowly leans Democrat, again likely locking in Republican control. Proposals 4C and 4C-1 are more egregious, with three districts with voter registration numbers that clearly lean Republican. Proposal 4C-1 in particular draws Democratic incumbent Supervisor Katrina Foley in with Supervisor Do in Republican leaning District 1. Similarly, Proposals 5A-1 and 5B-1 create three districts where Republicans represent most of the voters and achieve this goal by dividing the City of Irvine and thereby cracking Democratic voters into two districts.

These maps appear to be drawn with the intent to entrench Republican control of the Board for the next decade, will no doubt achieve this result, and cannot be explained by other non-partisan considerations. These proposals flout the clear commands of the Fair Maps Act and the California Constitution by grouping many voters into districts predominantly based on partisan criteria and packing and cracking Democratic voters to dilute their collective voting strength. The proposed maps draw three to four seats to favor Republicans and thus do not correspond with voters' preferences as manifested by the voter registration of the two major parties. If any of these maps are adopted, the map, and not the will of voters, will dictate election outcomes. We saw this precise result in 2011. The Board cannot make the same mistake again.

* * *

We urge the Board to reject all versions of Proposals 2 and 4 as well as Proposals 5A-1 and 5B-1. These proposals discriminate against Democratic voters for the benefit of the Republican Party. We further urge the Board and County staff to immediately conduct a review of all proposals to ensure that they do not improperly discriminate or favor any political party. To fairly represent your constituents and avoid unnecessary and costly litigation, the Board must adopt a map that complies with federal and state laws. Proposals 5, 5A, and 5B do just that. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at jgomez@aclusocal.org.

Sincerely,



Julia A. Gomez
Staff Attorney
ACLU Foundation of Southern California

⁷ Nick Gerda, *What's Orange County Going to Look Like Politically Over the Next Decade?*, Voice of OC (Nov. 3, 2021), <https://voiceofoc.org/2021/11/whats-orange-county-going-to-look-like-politically-over-next-decade/> (noting that to public speakers at the November 2 redistricting hearing identified Supervisor Do as the leader in supporting Proposal 2).

⁸ Various Emails from Members of the Public to the Board of Supervisors re Item 23, Received November 2, 2021 at 4, 7-9, available at http://cams.ocgov.com/Web_Publisher/Agenda11_02_2021_files/images/23_4-11022021_9857022.PDF (showing emails in support of Proposal 2 sent in response to a call to action from Teresa Hernandez, President of the Orange County Lincoln Club, with the subject line "Supervisors have received 50 emails all from Dems, this is our chance please email each of them Map 2 is the winner. Teresa").

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 8:18:08 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 20:18

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Manoj Kaplinghat

Phone Number

[9498722462](tel:9498722462)

Email

kaplinghat@yahoo.com

City/County

Irvine

My ideas/comments are as follows

As a resident of Irvine, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the API and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 8:15:39 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 20:15

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Cathy Han

Organization

n/a

Phone Number

[9498420147](tel:9498420147)

Email

drcathyhan@yahoo.com

City/County

Newport Coast

My ideas/comments are as follows

As a resident of Newport Beach since 1976, I would like to express my objection to the proposed Map 4C-1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. The proposed map also dilutes the API and Latino representation much more than other maps. Finally, this map splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that cities should be in the same supervisor district if possible. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C-1.

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 8:13:07 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 20:13

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Crista Martin

Phone Number

[9494295463](tel:9494295463)

Email

cristamartin13@gmail.com

City/County

San Clemente/Orange

My ideas/comments are as follows

As a resident of San Clemente and an investor in a company headquartered in Costa Mesa, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the API and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.

From: [Beth Daley Ullem](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: Redistricting Comments
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 8:11:37 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Chairman Do,

I am a resident of Orange County for many years and I live in San Juan Capistrano. I would like to voice my concerns about the transparency of this process to the public and note my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1.

I believe map 4C1 clearly violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate and will provide legal challenge in Orange County which costs us as taxpayers money and does not encourage trust in our government and its processes. I also think that this map dilutes the API and Latino representation much more than other maps and it is important for the ethnic communities in Orange County to be able to have a connected voice in our county government. Lastly, Map 4C1 map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1 and to consider how to keep cities together and not target specific candidates in the redistricting process.

I favor map 5 as I think it is the most fair, fully representative of all groups and does not split many cities.

Thank you for your consideration. The Board of Supervisors is essential to our community and I hope that its redistricting can be managed in a transparent and fair process.

**Beth Ullem
30631 Hunt Club Drive
San Juan Capistrano CA 92675**

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 8:09:01 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 20:08

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Sean Duby

Organization

Costa Mesa homeowner

Phone Number

[7144122884](tel:7144122884)

Email

sean.duby@pacpress.com

City/County

Orange

My ideas/comments are as follows

I am a homeowner in Newport Beach. I am writing in support of Revised Map Proposal 2A (2A-1) because it:

- Meets all of the criteria with state and federal law
- Keeps the City of Costa Mesa intact
- Ensures that the cities directly impacted by John Wayne Airport remain within a contiguous supervisorial district. It is imperative that the cities most impacted by pollution, noise and traffic from JWA – Newport Beach, Costa Mesa, Laguna Beach, Irvine and Huntington Beach -- remain in the same supervisorial district.

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 8:04:29 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 20:04

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Erin Crane

Email

erinbcrae@yahoo.com

City/County

Corona del Mar, Orange County

My ideas/comments are as follows

I am writing in support of Revised Map Proposal 2A (2A-1) because it:

- Meets all of the criteria with state and federal law
- Keeps the City of Costa Mesa intact
- Ensures that the cities directly impacted by John Wayne Airport remain within a contiguous supervisorial district. It is imperative that the cities most impacted by pollution, noise and traffic from JWA – Newport Beach, Costa Mesa, Laguna Beach, Irvine and Huntington Beach -- remain in the same supervisorial district.

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 7:59:30 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 19:59

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Jim Mosher

Phone Number

[9495486229](tel:9495486229)

Email

jimmosher@yahoo.com

City/County

Newport Beach

My ideas/comments are as follows

Of the 13 or so maps under consideration on November 16, Map 4a is the only one that, by the drawing of a line that seems quite arbitrary and unnatural, completely separates the County's John Wayne Airport property from all residents impacted by the typical southbound departures from it.

In November 8 comments, the UCLA Voting Rights Project provided reasons unrelated to this for rejecting this map.

In the unlikely event that Map 4a becomes a finalist, the fact that there is no population on the JWA property would seem to make a change in the problematic line around the JWA property eligible for consideration as a technical correction.

So, should it come to that, please move the Map 4a line so the JWA property is in Map 4a's proposed District 5, thereby placing JWA within the province of the Supervisor representing those most impacted by it.

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 7:30:30 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 19:30

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Beverly Moosmann

Email

bblaisesq@yahoo.com

City/County

Newport Beach

My ideas/comments are as follows

I am a resident of Newport Beach and am writing in support of Revised Map Proposal 2A (2A-1) on the basis that it:

- Satisfies all state and federal laws
- Keeps the City of Costa Mesa intact
- Ensures that the cities directly impacted by John Wayne Airport remain within a contiguous Supervisorial District. It is imperative that the cities most impacted by JWA – Newport Beach, Costa Mesa, Laguna Beach, and Huntington Beach -- remain in the same Supervisorial District.

From: [Ali Monge](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: Public Comment
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 7:25:57 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

As a resident of Orange County, **I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1.** I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the API and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 7:15:16 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 19:15

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Susan Duby

Organization

Newport home owner

Phone Number

[7149825604](tel:7149825604)

Email

kduby@sbcglobal.net

City/County

Corona del Mar

My ideas/comments are as follows

I am a homeowner in Newport Beach. I am writing in support of Revised Map Proposal 2A (2A-1) because it:

- Meets all of the criteria with state and federal law
- Keeps the City of Costa Mesa intact
- Ensures that the cities directly impacted by John Wayne Airport remain within a contiguous supervisorial district. It is imperative that the cities most impacted by pollution, noise and traffic from JWA – Newport Beach, Costa Mesa, Laguna Beach, Irvine and Huntington Beach -- remain in the same supervisorial district.

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 7:15:09 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 19:15

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Kathleen Treseder

Phone Number

[6194599493](tel:6194599493)

Email

treseder@stanfordalumni.org

City/County

IRVINE

My ideas/comments are as follows

As a resident of Irvine, I would like to voice my strong objection to the proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a sitting candidate. This map also dilutes the API and Latino representation much more than other maps. Lastly, this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities among different supervisor districts and I believe that, where possible, cities should be in the same supervisor district. I strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote NO on Map 4C1.

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 7:04:45 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 19:04

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Nicole Nelson

City/County

Newport Beach/OC

My ideas/comments are as follows

I support revised map 2A(2A-1) because it keeps Costa Mesa together and it keeps the cities impacted by JWA within the same contiguous district.

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 6:50:55 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 18:50

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Anna-Marie Claassen

Phone Number

[9493751751](tel:9493751751)

Email

claassenam@gmail.com

City/County

Corona del Mar/Orange

My ideas/comments are as follows

I am a property owner of Newport Beach and own a business in Costa Mesa. I am writing in support of Revised Map Proposal 2A (2A-1) because it:

- Meets all of the criteria with state and federal law
- Keeps the City of Costa Mesa intact
- Most importantly, it ensures that the cities directly impacted by John Wayne Airport remain within a contiguous Supervisorial District. It is imperative that the cities most impacted by JWA – Newport Beach, Costa Mesa, Laguna Beach, Irvine and Huntington Beach -- remain in the same Supervisorial District. I do not support splitting Newport and Costa Mesa as they share a school district. That would make no sense and any map that shows otherwise is for blatant political gain.

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 6:49:20 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 18:49

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Gialisa Gaffaney

Organization

EMG

Phone Number

[3109227911](tel:3109227911)

Email

gialisa@gmail.com

City/County

Corona del Mar

My ideas/comments are as follows

I am a homeowner in Newport Beach. I am writing in support of Revised Map Proposal 2A (2A-1) because it:

- Meets all of the criteria with state and federal law
- Keeps the City of Costa Mesa intact
- Keeps the cities directly impacted by John Wayne Airport within a contiguous Supervisorial District. The cities most impacted by the noise and pollution from JWA – especially Newport Beach and Costa Mesa!--need to remain in the same supervisorial district.

From: [Nancy Scarbrough](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: Redistricting Comments
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 6:14:16 PM
Attachments: [Redistricting Comments 11.15.2021.pdf](#)

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please find the attached comments regarding Orange County Redistricting.

Thank you

Nancy Scarbrough
Nancy@NancyforNewport.com
Cell: 949-887-6974

November 15, 2021

Honorable Orange County Board of Supervisors
333 West Santa Ana Blvd.
Santa Ana, CA 92701

RE: Letter of Support for Proposed Map 2A-1

I am an involved resident of Newport Beach, a member of Still Protecting Our Newport (SPON) and a member of the Newport Beach City Finance Committee.

After reviewing the new Redistricting Proposals provided today, I have revised my thoughts on the proposed maps.

I **support Proposed Map 2A-1** because it seems to provide the most fair and equitable option for all of Orange County.

1. Proposal 2A-1 complies with the Federal Voting Rights Act by creating a Latino Minority Majority District in Central Orange County.
2. Proposal 2A-1 creates a Non-Hispanic Asian Plurality District in Western Orange County.
3. Proposal 2A-1 does not bypass areas of population to favor more distant populations
4. Proposal 2A-1 is contiguous and compact.
5. Proposal 2A-1 unites communities of interest (social, cultural, economic, environmental, and educational).
6. Proposal 2A-1 reduces city splits and only considers city splits when bringing together a community of interest or balancing population.
7. Proposal 2A-1 appears not to be drawn for purposes of favoring or discriminating against political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.

I strongly **oppose Proposed Map 4C-1** because it:

1. Separates Costa Mesa and Newport Beach who share a school district, a Homeless Shelter and concerns about John Wayne Airport.
2. Separates Laguna Beach from contiguous coastal communities of Newport Beach and Costa Mesa (Communities of Interest)
3. Puts Costa Mesa in an API district
4. Isolates a corner of Huntington Beach and includes it in District 5.

Thank you for your consideration.

Nancy Scarbrough
nscarbrough@me.com

From: [Suzanne Gauntlett](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: Support of Redistricting Proposed Map 2A-1
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 6:05:27 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Orange County Board of Supervisors,

After reviewing the 133 page redistricting document posted this morning, I am writing you to support Proposal Map 2A-1.

The law requires that a number of criteria must be met and Proposal 2A-1 provides, in my opinion, the fairest option that creates an inclusive, equitable, and defensible Voting Rights Act and Fair Maps Act compliant map that ensures all regions of Orange County are justly represented.

Please support Proposal 2A-1 at your Board of Supervisors meeting tomorrow November 16, 2021 and again on Monday November 22, 2021.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Gauntlett

From: [Charles Klobe](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: SPON Redistricting Comment Letter
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 5:48:12 PM
Attachments: [SPON-BOS Redistricting Ltr 2021-11-15.pdf](#)

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Good Day,

Please find attached a letter from Still Protecting Our Newport (SPON).

Thank You,
SPON
Charles Klobe
President



P O B o x 1 0 2 | B a l b o a I s l a n d , C A 9 2 6 6 2 | 9 4 9 . 8 6 4 . 6 6 1 6

OFFICERS

November 15th, 2021

PRESIDENT

Charles Klobe

Honorable Orange County Board of Supervisors

VICE PRESIDENT

Susan Dvorak

333 West Santa Ana Blvd.

Santa Ana, CA 92701

TREASURER

Dennis Baker

RE: Letter of Support of Proposed Map 2A-1

SECRETARY

Jeff Herdman

We have been studying all the 133 pages of the redistricting document published today. We are surprised by the need to vote on one of these as item 37 on Tuesday 11/16 which does not allow sufficient time for public consideration and input.

BOARD MEMBERS

We believe that the redistricting needs to be implemented as fairly as possible considering all legal requirements put forth.

Dennis Baker

Tom Baker

Bruce Bartram

Susan Dvorak

Jeff Herdman

Jo Carol Hunter

Max Johnson

Charles Klobe

Donald Krotee

Andrea Lingle

Bobby Lovell

Marko Popovich

Sharon Ray

Nancy Scarbrough


Nancy Skinner

Jean Watt

Portia Weiss

Several proposals have merit, but one: Proposal 2A-1 seems to provide the most fair and equitable option for Orange County.

1. Proposal 2A-1 complies with the Federal Voting Rights Act by creating a Latino Minority Majority District in Central Orange County.
2. Proposal 2A-1 creates a Non-Hispanic Asian Plurality District in Western Orange County.
3. Proposal 2A-1 does not bypass areas of population to favor more distant populations
4. Proposal 2A-1 is contiguous and compact.
5. Proposal 2A-1 unites communities of interest (social, cultural, economic, environmental, and educational).
6. Proposal 2A-1 reduces city splits and only considers city splits when bringing together a community of interest or balancing population.
7. Proposal 2A-1 appears as not drawn for purposes of favoring or discriminating against political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.



A 501(c)(3) non-profit public education organization working to protect and preserve the residential and environmental qualities of Newport Beach.



P O B o x 1 0 2 | B a l b o a I s l a n d , C A 9 2 6 6 2 | 9 4 9 . 8 6 4 . 6 6 1 6

Proposal 2A-1 ensures that the cities directly impacted by John Wayne Airport (JWA) remain within a contiguous Supervisorial District. It is imperative that the cities most impacted by JWA – Costa Mesa, Huntington Beach, Irvine, Laguna Beach, and Newport Beach -- remain in the same Supervisorial District.


Proposal 2A-1 creates an inclusive, equitable, and defensible Voting Rights Act and Fair Maps Act compliant map that ensures all regions of Orange County are fairly represented.

SPON hopes that the entire Orange County Board of Supervisors can support Proposal 2A-1 on Tuesday 11/16/21 and Monday 11/22/21.

Respectfully,

Charles Klobe

President



A 501(c)(3) non-profit public education organization working to protect and preserve the residential and environmental qualities of Newport Beach.

SPONNB.org | Info@SPON-NewportBeach.org
Social Media @SPONNB & @SPONNewport

From: Response@ocgov.com on behalf of [OC Clerk of the Board](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: 2021 Redistricting Idea Form - ONLINE FORM SUBMISSION
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 4:55:04 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Submitted on Mon, 11/15/2021 - 16:54

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Name

Susan Dvorak

Phone Number

[239-580-7788](tel:239-580-7788)

Email

susan_Dvorak@hotmail.com

City/County

Newport Beach and Costa Mesa/Orange County

My ideas/comments are as follows

I am a resident of Newport Beach and own residential property in Costa Mesa. I am writing in support of Revised Map Proposal 2A (2A-1) because it:

- Meets all of the criteria with state and federal law
- Keeps the City of Costa Mesa intact
- Most importantly, it ensures that the cities directly impacted by John Wayne Airport remain within a contiguous Supervisorial District. It is imperative that the cities most impacted by JWA – Newport Beach, Costa Mesa, Laguna Beach, Irvine and Huntington Beach -- remain in the same Supervisorial District.

Thank you,

Susan Dvorak

From: [Domer, Ken ACM](#)
To: [Wangsaporn, Chris](#); [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#); [Rodriguez, LaShe](#); [Anas, Nick](#); [Wagner, Donald](#); [Dinwiddie, James](#); cob.response@hoa.ocgov.com
Cc: [Dupuis, Shohreh CM](#)
Subject: City of Laguna Beach Opposition to Map 4C-1
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 2:55:43 PM
Attachments: [11.15.21 Mayor Letter BOS Map 4C-1 Rejection.pdf](#)

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please find the attached letter signed by Mayor Bob Whalen regarding Map 4C-1 which dissects the City of Laguna Beach between two proposed districts. Thank you.

Ken Domer
Assistant City Manager

City of Laguna Beach
Office: (949) 497-0754

Bcc: City Council



November 15, 2021

The Honorable Andrew Do
Chairman, Orange County Board of Supervisors
333 W. Santa Ana Boulevard
Santa Ana, California 92701

RE: Letter of Opposition to Map 4C-1 of the Orange County 2021 Redistricting Plan

Dear Chairman Do:

As transmitted on November 12, 2021, the City of Laguna Beach City Council voted unanimously on November 10, 2021, to communicate our desire to keep the entirety of the City of Laguna Beach within a contiguous coastal community of interest to include Newport Beach, Costa Mesa, and portions, if not all, of Huntington Beach, and portions of Irvine.

I strongly urge you and your colleagues to reject Map 4C-1 depicted in Attachment B, Redistricting Proposals, Revisions, and Technical Corrections, as submitted as part of the Staff Report for the Board's consideration on November 16, 2021. Map 4C-1 disconnects the northernmost portion of Laguna Beach, north of State Highway 73, from the remainder of the City and segregates those 1,437 residents. This dissection also places the James Dilley Greenbelt Preserve into a wholly separate supervisorial district. This dismemberment of our residents from the rest of our City is not conducive to our community of interest and is a disservice to their representation at the Board of Supervisors.

The Board of Supervisors' consideration to keep Laguna Beach wholly within the same supervisorial district is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Bob Whalen

Bob Whalen
Mayor

C: County of Orange, Board of Supervisors
City Council
Shohreh Dupuis, City Manager
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

From: mail@awgoc.com
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: Public comment on OC redistricting proposals
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 1:13:04 PM
Attachments: [IDEA_C~2.pdf](#)

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

See attached "Supervisory District Idea and Comment Form".

Name: (required) Mel Beale

Optional Information¹

City/County: Newport Beach / Orange County

Phone Number: _____

Email: mail@awgoc.com

Organization (if any): Airport Working Group of Orange County

Please write any ideas or comments you would like to share with the County of Orange on redistricting. If you are sharing ideas about proposed district boundaries or communities of interest (including, but not limited to information on boundaries of your community whether defined by city, school area or other criteria, and what bonds your community, please include descriptive information about the area in mind, such as streets or landmarks. The comments you provide will be posted online as part of the public comment and public input process.

A “community of interest” is a population that shares common social or economic interests that should be included within a single supervisorial district for purposes of its effective and fair representation. Communities of interest do not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.

Please use additional pages if you require more room to write.

My ideas/comments are as follows:

To: Honorable Orange County Supervisors.

Regardless of politics or issues of the needs of various local contiguous populations, a most critical requirement for Orange County redistricting is to ensure that John Wayne Airport remains in the same district as the citizens of those communities of interest most impacted by daily operations, including residents of Newport Beach and Costa Mesa. For almost 40 years, the Airport Working Group of Orange County (AWG), the city administration of Newport Beach, and other community groups, have worked closely with the County and John Wayne Airport management to build a collaborative framework to address all issues which arise, and which must be dealt in a fair and equitable manner. The only way this model can continue to be successful is for the political boundaries of any new district include the physical airport and these local communities - Newport Beach, et al- which AWG supports as one of the most important real “community of interest” entities serving the broader population.

Please ensure this remains the case for the final approved district plan.

¹ If this information is provided, the County may use it to contact you with questions. The information may be subject to disclosure under the Public Records Act

From: [Elizabeth Kiley](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Subject: Redistricting maps
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 12:50:58 PM
Attachments: [mapping.pdf](#)

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

Please see my attached comments
Elizabeth M. Kiley
949-468-7163

Name: (required) Elizabeth Kiley

Optional Information¹

City/County: Newport Beach

Phone Number: 949-468-7163

Email: Emkiley53@gmail.com

Organization (if any): _____

Please write any ideas or comments you would like to share with the County of Orange on redistricting. If you are sharing ideas about proposed district boundaries or communities of interest (including, but not limited to information on boundaries of your community whether defined by city, school area or other criteria, and what bonds your community, please include descriptive information about the area in mind, such as streets or landmarks. The comments you provide will be posted online as part of the public comment and public input process.

A "community of interest" is a population that shares common social or economic interests that should be included within a single supervisorial district for purposes of its effective and fair representation. Communities of interest do not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.

Please use additional pages if you require more room to write.

My ideas/comments are as follows:

I am very concerned about the proposed redistricting maps that will split up the coastal cities.

All of Costa Mesa should stay in one district. JWA should always be in the same district as Newport Beach and Costa Mesa. It affects those 2 cities the most. Also, the coastal cities have interests in common, "Communities of Interest" and should be kept together.

I live in CDM where air traffic noise increased have been a huge recent problem. It is imperative that the airport remain in the district it impacts.

Please hear my voice and concerns. Thank you, E. Kiley

¹ If this information is provided, the County may use it to contact you with questions. The information may be subject to disclosure under the Public Records Act

From: [Susan Guilford](#)
To: [2021 Orange County Redistricting](#)
Cc: [Do, Andrew](#); [Foley, Katrina](#); [Wagner, Donald](#); [fourth.district@ocgov.info](#); [lisa.bartlett@ocgov.info](#)
Subject: Redistricting comments from League of Women Voters
Date: Monday, November 15, 2021 12:38:55 PM

Attention: This email originated from outside the County of Orange. Use caution when opening attachments or links.

The League of Women Voters of Orange County, an Inter-League Organization which represents all three local Leagues in the County of Orange, urges the Board to pay particular attention to the following factors when approving the maps for our supervisorial districts:

- Avoid dividing cities into more than one District
- Be sure that the great diversity of Orange County is a factor in choosing maps, so that no voices are "lost," as they can be if neighborhoods are divided in such a way that the voice and interests of our many ethnic, linguistic and religious minority groups have, in effect, no representation.

Thank you,

Sue Guilford, President
League of Women Voters of Orange County