## Proposal 5A-1 Map (Technical Corrections)



## Proposal 5A-1 Map



This map is of the original submission before technical corrections were made to eliminate unpopulated city splits.

## PROPOSAL 5A-Revision 1 with Technical Corrections County of Orange 2021 Redistricting

Table 1. Adjusted 2020 Census Total Population by Race/Ethnicity

| District | Total Population | Hispanic or Latino of any Race | Hisp Hispanic White | Non-Hispanic Black or AfricanAmerican | Non-Hispanic American Indian or Alaska Native | NonHispanic Asian | Non-Hispanic Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | Hisp Some Other Race | Non- <br> Hispanic Two or More Races |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 608,672 | 139,812 | 233,285 | 8,077 | 1,068 | 195,989 | 2,188 | 2,811 | 25,442 |
|  | 100.0\% | 23.0\% | 38.3\% | 1.3\% | 0.2\% | 32.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 4.2\% |
| 2 | 618,939 | 413,617 | 100,205 | 8,375 | 1,022 | 79,828 | 1,576 | 2,324 | 11,992 |
|  | 100.0\% | 66.8\% | 16.2\% | 1.4\% | 0.2\% | 12.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 1.9\% |
| 3 | 665,832 | 112,355 | 311,444 | 10,085 | 863 | 191,478 | 933 | 3,461 | 35,213 |
|  | 100.0\% | 16.9\% | 46.8\% | 1.5\% | 0.1\% | 28.8\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 5.3\% |
| 4 | 629,713 | 290,184 | 152,733 | 14,800 | 1,287 | 147,575 | 1,988 | 2,836 | 18,310 |
|  | 100.0\% | 46.1\% | 24.3\% | 2.4\% | 0.2\% | 23.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 2.9\% |
| 5 | 669,854 | 134,313 | 402,461 | 8,606 | 1,085 | 84,397 | 1,052 | 3,655 | 34,285 |
|  | 100.0\% | 20.1\% | 60.1\% | 1.3\% | 0.2\% | 12.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 5.1\% |
| COUNTY TOTAL | 3,193,010 | 1,090,281 | 1,200,128 | 49,943 | 5,325 | 699,267 | 7,737 | 15,087 | 125,242 |
|  | 100.0\% | 34.1\% | 37.6\% | 1.6\% | 0.2\% | 21.9\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 3.9\% |

Table 2. Difference from 2021 Target Supervisorial District Population of 638,602

| District | Number | Percent |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $-29,930$ | $-4.69 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $-19,663$ | $-3.08 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 27,230 | $4.26 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $-8,889$ | $-1.39 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 31,252 | $4.89 \%$ |

Percentage Spread (Largest - Smallest)

## Split Cities/Census Designated Places (CDPs) -

Anaheim (3)
Garden Grove (2)
Irvine (2)
North Tustin CDP (2)
Orange (2)
Tustin (2)

Percent shares calculated using unrounded numbers, but table displays only to tenths; therefore, percents displayed may not sum to $100 \%$. Number in ( ) indicates number of Supervisorial Districts the city/CDP falls within. In line with previous board direction and in order to expedite proposal packages for consideration by the board, Proposal 5A-Revision 1 with Technical Corrections includes the technical correction of city splits in unpopulated areas. The U.S. Census Bureau misassigned three (3) census blocks to the City of Tustin that are actually in the City of Santa Ana with a total of 260 population. Since the affected area is fully assigned to District 2 , no correction is necessary.

## PROPOSAL 5A-Revision 1 with Technical Corrections County of Orange 2021 Redistricting

Table 3. Adjusted Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP) by Race/Ethnicity, 2015-2019 5-Year Estimates

| District | Total <br> Estimated $\qquad$ | Hispanic or Latino of any Race | NonHispanic White | Non-Hispanic Black or AfricanAmerican | Non-Hispanic American Indian or Alaska Native | NonHispanic Asian | Non-Hispanic Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | Non- Hispanic Two or More Races |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 433,147 | 73,545 | 211,298 | 7,074 | 1,161 | 127,291 | 1,284 | 10,297 |
|  | 100.0\% | 17.0\% | 48.9\% | 1.6\% | 0.3\% | 29.5\% | 0.3\% | 2.4\% |
| 2 | 340,779 | 177,081 | 97,547 | 6,086 | 574 | 53,677 | 975 | 3,708 |
|  | 100.0\% | 52.1\% | 28.7\% | 1.8\% | 0.2\% | 15.8\% | 0.3\% | 1.1\% |
| 3 | 434,246 | 60,429 | 261,471 | 7,194 | 613 | 92,357 | 518 | 10,975 |
|  | 100.0\% | 13.9\% | 60.3\% | 1.7\% | 0.1\% | 21.3\% | 0.1\% | 2.5\% |
| 4 | 385,143 | 133,487 | 142,193 | 11,844 | 789 | 87,149 | 1,815 | 6,918 |
|  | 100.0\% | 34.7\% | 37.0\% | 3.1\% | 0.2\% | 22.7\% | 0.5\% | 1.8\% |
| 5 | 472,361 | 66,373 | 334,052 | 7,357 | 796 | 50,918 | 975 | 11,155 |
|  | 100.0\% | 14.1\% | 70.8\% | 1.6\% | 0.2\% | 10.8\% | 0.2\% | 2.4\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { COUNTY } \\ \text { TOTAL } \end{gathered}$ | 2,065,676 | 510,915 | 1,046,561 | 39,555 | 3,933 | 411,392 | 5,567 | 43,053 |
|  | 100.0\% | 24.8\% | 50.8\% | 1.9\% | 0.2\% | 20.0\% | 0.3\% | 2.1\% |

Source: Statewide Database 2015-2019 Citizen Voting Age Population, adjusted to reflect reallocated incarcerated persons, on 2020 Census Blocks, Revised 9/27/2021 https://statewidedatabase.org/redistricting2021/counties.html

Notes: Percentages are calculated from sum of individual categories, not Total Estimated CVAP.
Because this is a special tabulation of data and not part of the standard data products shown on the Census Bureau's data.census.gov website, these estimates are rounded. Therefore, individual categories may not exactly add to the total.
For example, the sum of each of the race groups for non-Hispanics may not be the same as the estimate given for non-Hispanics. These estimates will not match counts from the 2020 Census.

The original data source for the Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP) is the American Community Survey (ACS). The ACS is an ongoing survey by the U.S. Census Bureau sent to approximately 250,000 households each month.
The ACS estimates used to develop these data were collected from January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2019 utilizing the 2010 Census block groups, which were disaggregated to the 2020 Census blocks by the Statewide Database.

For more information about the CVAP products, visit https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/decennial-census/about/voting-rights/cvap.html and https://www2.census.gov/programs-surveys/decennial/rdo/technical-documentation/special-tabulation/CVAP_2015-2019_ACS_documentation.pdf

## PROPOSAL 5A-Revision 1 with Technical Corrections County of Orange 2021 Redistricting

Table 4. Adjusted 2020 Census Population by District and 2020 Census Place

DISTRICT 1

| Place | Total <br> Population | Percent of <br> District |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Cypress | 50,235 | $8.3 \%$ |
| Fountain Valley | 57,120 | $9.4 \%$ |
| Garden Grove* | 135,704 | $22.3 \%$ |
| Huntington Beach | 199,033 | $32.7 \%$ |
| La Palma | 15,597 | $2.6 \%$ |
| Los Alamitos | 11,795 | $1.9 \%$ |
| Midway City CDP | 8,845 | $1.5 \%$ |
| Rossmoor CDP | 10,634 | $1.7 \%$ |
| Seal Beach | 25,283 | $4.2 \%$ |
| Unincorporated | 3,343 | $0.5 \%$ |
| Westminster | $\mathbf{9 1 , 0 8 3}$ | $15.0 \%$ |
| District 1 Total | $\mathbf{6 0 8 , 6 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

DISTRICT 2

| Place | Total <br> Population | Percent of <br> District |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Anaheim* | 102,211 | $16.5 \%$ |
| Garden Grove* $^{*}$ | 36,642 | $5.9 \%$ |
| North Tustin CDP* | 7,618 | $1.2 \%$ |
| Orange* $^{*}$ | 106,837 | $17.3 \%$ |
| Santa Ana | 311,393 | $50.3 \%$ |
| Tustin* | 49,457 | $8.0 \%$ |
| Unincorporated | 4,781 | $0.8 \%$ |
| District 2 Total | $\mathbf{6 1 8 , 9 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

*City/CDP split by proposed district.
Percent shares calculated using unrounded numbers, but table displays only to tenths; therefore, percents displayed may not sum to $100 \%$.

In line with previous board direction and in order to expedite proposal packages for consideration by the board, Proposal 5A-Revision 1 with Technical Corrections includes the technical correction of city splits in unpopulated areas.

DISTRICT 3

|  | Total <br> Population | Percent of <br> District |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Place | 56,099 | $8.4 \%$ |
| Anaheim* $^{\text {Irvine* }}$ | 216,560 | $32.5 \%$ |
| Lake Forest | 85,965 | $12.9 \%$ |
| Mission Viejo | 93,760 | $14.1 \%$ |
| Modjeska CDP | 632 | $0.1 \%$ |
| North Tustin CDP* | 18,131 | $2.7 \%$ |
| Orange* | 33,354 | $5.0 \%$ |
| Rancho Santa Margarita | 48,000 | $7.2 \%$ |
| Silverado CDP | 932 | $0.1 \%$ |
| Trabuco Canyon CDP | 1,020 | $0.2 \%$ |
| Tustin* | 30,955 | $4.6 \%$ |
| Unincorporated | 6,066 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Villa Park | 5,850 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Williams Canyon CDP | 93 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Yorba Linda | 68,415 | $10.3 \%$ |
| District 3 Total | $\mathbf{6 6 5 , 8 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

## DISTRICT 4

| Place | Total <br> Population | Percent of <br> District |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Anaheim* | 189,443 | $30.1 \%$ |
| Brea | 47,397 | $7.5 \%$ |
| Buena Park | 84,187 | $13.4 \%$ |
| Fullerton | 143,930 | $22.9 \%$ |
| La Habra | 63,234 | $10.0 \%$ |
| Placentia | 51,925 | $8.2 \%$ |
| Stanton | 38,067 | $6.0 \%$ |
| Unincorporated | 11,530 | $1.8 \%$ |
| District 4 Total | $\mathbf{6 2 9 , 7 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

DISTRICT 5

| Place | Total <br> Population | Percent of <br> District |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Aliso Viejo | 52,222 | $7.8 \%$ |
| Costa Mesa | 112,139 | $16.7 \%$ |
| Coto de Caza CDP | 14,723 | $2.2 \%$ |
| Dana Point | 33,144 | $4.9 \%$ |
| Irvine* | 91,398 | $13.6 \%$ |
| Ladera Ranch CDP | 26,188 | $3.9 \%$ |
| Laguna Beach | 23,061 | $3.4 \%$ |
| Laguna Hills | 31,399 | $4.7 \%$ |
| Laguna Niguel | 64,417 | $9.6 \%$ |
| Laguna Woods | 17,658 | $2.6 \%$ |
| Las Flores CDP | 6,004 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Newport Beach | 85,338 | $12.7 \%$ |
| Rancho Mission Viejo CDP | 10,385 | $1.6 \%$ |
| San Clemente | 64,384 | $9.6 \%$ |
| San Juan Capistrano | 35,271 | $5.3 \%$ |
| Unincorporated | 2,123 | $0.3 \%$ |
| District 5 Total | $\mathbf{6 6 9 , 8 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

## PROPOSAL 5A-Revision 1 with Technical Corrections

County of Orange 2021 Redistricting

Table 5. Adjusted 2020 Census Population 18 Years and Over by Race/Ethnicity

| District | Total <br> Population 18 and Over | Hispanic or Latino of any Race | NonHispanic White | Non- <br> Hispanic Black or AfricanAmerican | Non-Hispanic American Indian or Alaska Native | NonHispanic Asian | Non-Hispanic Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Non- } \\ \text { Hispanic } \\ \text { Some } \\ \text { Other } \\ \text { Race } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Hispanic Two or More Races |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 492,851 | 101,722 | 201,837 | 6,649 | 934 | 160,998 | 1,775 | 2,208 | 16,728 |
|  | 100.0\% | 20.6\% | 41.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.2\% | 32.7\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 3.4\% |
| 2 | 474,045 | 297,479 | 88,989 | 7,137 | 865 | 68,152 | 1,241 | 1,602 | 8,580 |
|  | 100.0\% | 62.8\% | 18.8\% | 1.5\% | 0.2\% | 14.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 1.8\% |
| 3 | 521,046 | 80,692 | 259,493 | 8,076 | 666 | 147,766 | 757 | 2,511 | 21,085 |
|  | 100.0\% | 15.5\% | 49.8\% | 1.5\% | 0.1\% | 28.4\% | 0.1\% | 0.5\% | 4.0\% |
| 4 | 491,691 | 208,051 | 133,272 | 12,057 | 1,082 | 121,344 | 1,598 | 2,052 | 12,235 |
|  | 100.0\% | 42.3\% | 27.1\% | 2.5\% | 0.2\% | 24.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 2.5\% |
| 5 | 546,046 | 99,573 | 339,812 | 7,548 | 955 | 71,603 | 833 | 2,757 | 22,965 |
|  | 100.0\% | 18.2\% | 62.2\% | 1.4\% | 0.2\% | 13.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 4.2\% |
| COUNTY TOTAL | 2,525,679 | 787,517 | 1,023,403 | 41,467 | 4,502 | 569,863 | 6,204 | 11,130 | 81,593 |
|  | 100.0\% | 31.2\% | 40.5\% | 1.6\% | 0.2\% | 22.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.4\% | 3.2\% |

Percent shares calculated using unrounded numbers, but table displays only to tenths; therefore, percents displayed may not sum to $100 \%$.

Source: Statewide Database; Adjusted, incarcerated persons reallocated P.L. 94-171 2020 Redistricting Data, Revised 9/27/2021

# PROPOSAL 5A-Revision 1 with Technical Corrections 

| Contact Name: | LaShe Rodriguez |
| :--- | :--- |
| Organization: | OC Board of Supervisors, 4th District |
| Submitted Description: | "Keep communities of interest whole." |

Notes:
In line with previous board direction and in order to expedite proposal packages for consideration by the board, Proposal 5A-Revision 1 with Technical Corrections includes the correction of city splits in unpopulated areas. Three unpopulated census blocks in the City of Mission Viejo were reassigned from District 5 to District 3 to eliminate unpopulated split for the City of Mission Viejo: 060590423152014, 060590423151001, and 060590423073000.



