

THE COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF ORANGE

In the Matter of:)
)
Transcription of Taped)
Orange County Board of Supervisors)
November 9, 2021 Meeting)
_____)

TRANSCRIPTION OF TAPE-RECORDED ORANGE COUNTY BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS NOVEMBER 9, 2021 MEETING

Transcribed by:
Nanette Jensen
CSR #9066

Job Number:
TP96666

1 The County Counsel

2 County of Orange

3
4
5 CHAIRMAN DO: Good morning, welcome to the
6 meeting of the Board of Orange County Supervisors for
7 today November 9, 2021.

8 Let me ask, Madam Clerk, how many requests to
9 speak have we received.

10 BOARD OF SUPERVISOR CLERK: We received 40
11 requests and County Counsel has six commence to read
12 into the record, so total of 46.

13 CHAIRMAN DO: Are they all for the public
14 hearing?

15 BOARD OF SUPERVISOR CLERK: We have 30 speakers
16 for the public hearing item and 10 for public
17 comments.

18 CHAIRMAN DO: Mr. County Counsel, are the six all
19 for the public hearing?

20 COUNTY COUNSEL PAGE: I have a total of 10
21 submitted comments today, six of which, yes, are
22 related to Item 1.

23 CHAIRMAN DO: Oh, so you have more for public
24 comments?

25 COUNTY COUNSEL PAGE: I do.

1 CHAIRMAN DO: I got it. So I will allow each
2 speaker two minutes.

3 Okay. And Madam Clerk, please identify any
4 changes to the agenda.

5 BOARD OF SUPERVISOR CLERK: Mr. Chairman, we have
6 no changes to today's agenda.

7 CHAIRMAN DO: Thank you. All right. So we don't
8 have any items either on consent or discussion
9 calendar so we move directly now to public hearing.

10 Madam Clerk, please read Number 1.

11 BOARD OF SUPERVISOR CLERK: Under County
12 Executive Office public hearing to consider input on
13 proposed 2021 redistricting maps and direct staff to
14 make modification to maps as needed to return to the
15 Board on November 16th, 2021.

16 Mr. Chairman, we have received 30 requests to
17 speak on this item.

18 CHAIRMAN DO: As well as six from County Counsel?

19 COUNTY COUNSEL PAGE: Four.

20 CHAIRMAN DO: Okay. So I will open the public
21 hearing at this time. Please call the speakers.

22 BOARD OF SUPERVISOR CLERK: The first three
23 speakers are Mark A., Emily H., and Harem L.

24 CHAIRMAN DO: Good morning. Go ahead, sir.

25 MARK ANG: Hi, this is Mark Ang, you know, I've

1 talked to you guys last week in support of Proposal
2 2. So thank you, you know, for letting me address
3 you guys again.

4 Um, thank you also for, you know, reviewing the
5 maps and my -- I guess, we've whittled it down to
6 proposals 2, 4, and 5.

7 Um, I first want to say um Proposal 5 would make
8 absolutely no sense because the Asian community which
9 is, you know, in Orange County we're one-third, one-
10 third, one-third, right? One-third white, one-third
11 Latino, one-third Asian.

12 For us to not have a plurality district or any --
13 anyone with our voice can diluted again is a real
14 issue.

15 So I strongly say please did not move forward
16 with Proposal 5. With Map 4 I know that is something
17 that you guys are considering but even then -- even
18 Map 2 is, like, 38 percent Asian and that doesn't
19 give us a strong enough voice like the Latinos will
20 be getting in -- in their district.

21 Um, but at the same time Proposal 2 compared to
22 Proposal 4 is a significant difference. There's 16
23 thousand less Asian voters in Proposal -- Proposal 4
24 than 2. And that's a significant about three percent
25 difference there with 16 thousand vote differential.

1 So I really strongly encourage you guys to go with
2 Proposal 2.

3 And one other thing is, you know, with all the
4 people I've dealt with around the county, Irvine
5 really should not be part of the coastal district.

6 I think it makes absolutely no sense because the
7 community there is very different. And I know
8 because, you know, Irvine is a big Asian community
9 and Map 4 and 5 do not recognize that.

10 And also south county should stay together as
11 possible. Connecting Yorba Linda and San Clemente
12 makes absolutely no sense and they're community
13 different communities. So thank you for letting me
14 address you guys.

15 EMILY HIBBARD: Good morning, my name is Emily
16 Hibbard and I'd like to thank you all for all the
17 work you're doing on redistricting. I know that with
18 the census every 10 years there's a lot of extra work
19 that needs to be done. So thank you for all your
20 attention that you're, um, paying to the
21 redistricting.

22 Um, I'm here today on behalf of my Asian
23 neighbors and I oppose Map 5 because the -- the
24 numbers don't make sense. They have no -- it doesn't
25 give them any sort of influence.

1 I live in the district and, um, I wanted to make
2 my voice be heard. And I suggest and I encourage you
3 guys to -- to approve proposal Map Number 2 which
4 gives them the best voice.

5 So thank you for your time.

6 CHAIRMAN DO: Thank you.

7 HARUMI: Hi my name is Harumi and thank you for
8 the opportunity to speak. Um -- um, so there's
9 several points that I would like to make regarding
10 redistricting. There is no Asian district of
11 influence in Proposal 5 and therefore it is
12 unconstitutional.

13 The Proposal 2 is the best proposal that complies
14 with all the legal requirements. It also creates the
15 strongest and best majority district in compliance
16 with Latino voting act and all other legal
17 requirements. It also has the best and strongest
18 influence of Asian community.

19 Again, Proposal 2 best to reflect democrat --
20 demographic of the three majority racial
21 representation in Orange County. Now, Map 4 has way
22 too many splits including splitting Fullerton and
23 that it should not be done.

24 So please vote for Proposal Map 2. Um, we are
25 imploring you to please pick Proposal Map 2. And

1 thank you very much for the opportunity to speak.

2 CHAIRMAN DO: Thank you.

3 BETTY CHU: Hello, my name is Betty Chu I spoke
4 to you before and I hand delivered letters to you as
5 well as e-mailed letters to you yesterday.

6 And the most important part is that Map 5 is
7 clearly unconstitutional in terms of compliances with
8 the laws.

9 There is absolutely no district of influence for
10 Asians. They split us up so that we could not have
11 district of influence. If you take a look at the
12 highest percentage of Asians in that district,
13 there -- it's overwhelmed by the other majorities,
14 particularly one majority that is higher than the
15 percentage of Asian.

16 I continue to support Map 2 in addition to all
17 reviews of all the other maps. Support of Map 2 is
18 it complies best with the regulations.

19 Proposition 4 does not because it has too many
20 splits and splits us off from Fullerton to create a
21 smaller Asian influence. And the best map that
22 reflects the proportions of demographics here of the
23 three major races here in, um, in Orange County is
24 actually Map 2 with the least division -- deviation
25 and the most compliance with not only all the laws

1 but the intent of the Voting Rights Act, the
2 Constitution, and the elections code. I thank you.

3 All Asians need to get up and support. Don't be
4 fooled by the other maps that claim to have Asian
5 influence area because they have split us off from
6 Fullerton in that regard. We need to be united all
7 Asians support --

8 CHAIRMAN DO: Thank you. Your time is up.

9 BETTY CHU: -- for and all Asian friends
10 support.

11 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: For all the Yutes out
12 there, here's a news flash your vote does not count.
13 The guy who counts the votes that's who determines
14 who the election wins.

15 I believe the Russian dictator said that. And
16 for the record regarding this redistricting, there's
17 no difference between a Democrat supervisor and a
18 Republican supervisor. When you get elected you guys
19 get pasteurized. You tell the public that, you know,
20 blank you and the horse you came in on.

21 So let's set the record straight on what
22 redistricting is all about. It's about the shifting
23 of power. That's all it is, people. It's a
24 political game they play. They need to polarize you
25 minorities out there. They play with you like a

1 football. They need you -- it's the old adage,
2 divide and concur. That's why they have these -- all
3 these maps, people. This is a bait and switch.
4 They're meaningless because the only guy that gets
5 elected who -- who is grim. Your vote doesn't count.
6 These districts are meant to polarize the minorities.

7 Let me ask you a question, when is the last time
8 a Latino was a supervisor? When is the last time a
9 black man or woman was a supervisor?

10 Um, Latinos and blacks, you -- your count -- your
11 vote doesn't matter in Orange County. It's rigged,
12 people. It's time to wake the blank'n up.

13 Elections -- you -- your vote does not count. I
14 got news for you. It doesn't count for diddly squat.
15 It's a big shell game. They got their little yes man
16 by the special interest and that's the guy who gets
17 voted and all these redistricting is to make sure
18 those skids are greased so you can't get your guy in
19 office and they get their guy. And THEY need to
20 preserve their little cabal it's a political --

21 CHAIRMAN DO: Thank you.

22 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- cabal.

23 CHAIRMAN DO: Supervisor Foley?

24 SUPERVISOR FOLEY: Yeah, I have a question, um,
25 just to get some clarification. Are we considering

1 the original maps today or are we just looking at the
2 revised maps?

3 CHAIRMAN DO: We have nine maps on the table, 2,
4 4, 5 adopted and then the additional six that were
5 modified and submitted. So on the table today is
6 nine maps.

7 SUPERVISOR FOLEY: Okay. Thank you for that
8 clarification because I think I -- I thought that we
9 had withdrawn the other maps and we were moving
10 forward to look at the maps that we submitted. I
11 thought that's what we said at the last meeting.

12 CHAIRMAN DO: No. We adopted 2, 4, 5 as a Board
13 to move ahead with. And then I invited the Board to
14 make modifications based on 2, 4, 5.

15 So we back here with the three maps that were
16 approved by the Board to consider and then the
17 five -- sorry -- six modified ones.

18 SUPERVISOR FOLEY: Okay. Thank you for that
19 clarification. I hope the community knows that.

20 CHAIRMAN DO: Good morning, sir, go ahead.

21 JONATHAN PAKE: All right. Good morning Members
22 of the Board, my name is Jonathan Pake. I was born
23 in Fullerton, live in Brea, and am a life-long
24 resident of Orange County.

25 I serve as an executive director of the Orange

1 County Civic Engagement Table or OCCEET non partisan
2 Asian American, Pacific Islander, Latinx labor
3 environmental justice alliance based in Garden Grove
4 that is dedicated to engage in county residents year
5 around to help build in Orange County that serves
6 everyone equitably and with justice.

7 Our Orange County Board of Supervisor
8 redistricting proposal, Proposal 5, centers Federal
9 Voting Rights Act compliance and communities of
10 interest that reflect the needs of the county's most
11 impacted residents.

12 Our map, one, draws an effective federal vote --
13 Voting Rights Act district around cohesive Latinx
14 communities in and around Santa Ana; two, keeps low
15 income immigrant communities with common needs and
16 concerns together in south Fullerton and west
17 Anaheim; three, maintains the integrity of Little
18 Arabia and west Anaheim; four, keeps predominantly
19 low income refugee communities in west Garden Grove,
20 Westminster, and north Fountain Valley together;
21 five, keeps Pacific Islander communities whole in
22 Garden Grove; six, and finally Proposal 5 maintains
23 the integrity of the disproportionately immigrant
24 cities of Irvine and Costa Mesa, draws them together
25 in the same district, and separates them from more

1 affluent communities in Yorba Linda and Anaheim
2 Hills.

3 It's important to note that our map does not
4 fracture Latinx communities outside Santa Ana where
5 adjacent Latinx communities of interest can be drawn
6 together, they are.

7 For example Latinx communities in east Garden
8 Grove and parts of Tustin are drawn into the new
9 federal Voting Rights Act district with the adjacent
10 city.

11 To the north Latinx communities and north Buena
12 Park are drawn together with west Anaheim and south
13 Fullerton. This we do to allow fair representation
14 for one of Orange County's largest communities.

15 Proposal 5A makes minor modifications to our
16 original map because these changes maintain the
17 integrity of our communities of interest and do not
18 compromise the spirit of our work, we also support
19 Proposal 5A.

20 To ensure a fair map that reflects the interest
21 of all Orange County residents we ask that you
22 support proposals 5 and 5A. Thank you.

23 CYNTHIA VALENCIA: Hello, my name is Cynthia
24 Valencia. I am a resident of Huntington Beach. I'm
25 a senior policy advocate with the ACLU of Southern

1 California. Our goals in reviewing these map
2 proposals are ensuring legally compliant maps that
3 prioritize communities of interest that have been
4 marginalized for too long and correct the partisan
5 gerrymandering of 2011.

6 I'm here to express continued support of Proposal
7 5. The VRA requires you not to just adopt a map
8 where Latinx voters constitute the majority of a
9 district but requires you to provide Latinx voters
10 for a complete remedy by creating a district where
11 they have a real opportunity to elect candidates of
12 choice.

13 Courts have sometimes placed incumbents in
14 remedial VRA districts to avoid pairing them but that
15 is not necessary here. And that is why we oppose
16 proposals 4, 4A and 4C.

17 With Supervisor Bartlett's term go out in 2023
18 there is no need to place a current supervisor in the
19 VRA district to avoid pairing of incumbents in other
20 districts.

21 Proposal 5 shows that it's possible to leave the
22 VRA district without an incumbent allowing voters a
23 fair and reasonable opportunity to place a candidate
24 of their choice on the Board.

25 Additionally the Fair Maps Act prohibits the

1 Board from adopting a map for the purpose of favoring
2 or discriminating against a political party.

3 The 2011 map was explicitly drawn to discriminate
4 against Democratic voters and favor Republican
5 incumbents at the time. Multiple versions of
6 proposals 2 and 4 appear to do just that.

7 Proposals 2, 2A, 4A and 4B target Democratic
8 voters in north county that are in similar
9 communities by diluting their vote and placing them
10 with dissimilar heavily Republican communities.

11 Proposals 2, 2A, 4, 4A, 4C and 5B splits the
12 concentrations of Democratic voters in Irvine. This
13 not only breaks up cohesive communities of interest
14 but appears it was done to make it hard for
15 Democratic voters to -- in that area it elect
16 candidates of voice.

17 With these blatant attempts to disenfranchise
18 democratic voters in the county which now make up a
19 plurality of registered voters, their current size
20 merits the opportunity for these voters to elect
21 candidates of choice and at least two supervisors --
22 supervisorial districts.

23 You must release a Fair Maps analysis with
24 partisan heat map and a GIS shape files. I urge you
25 vote for Proposal 5. Thank you.

1 SONNY WOKNENE: Hello, my name is Sonny Woknene
2 I'm the managing attorney at the UCLA Voting Rights
3 Project.

4 On November 1, 2021 the UCLA voting Rights
5 Public -- Project published a report analyzing the
6 redistricting for Orange County -- for the Orange
7 County Board of Supervisors.

8 Following the release the UCLA Voting Rights
9 Project revise -- reviewed other original and revised
10 mapping plans by Orange County Board of Supervisors
11 to assess whether or not that these maps would comply
12 with the federal law.

13 It is the view of the UCLA Voting Rights Project
14 experts that Proposal 5 and 5A should be adopted by
15 the county.

16 These maps comply with Section 2 of the Voting
17 Rights Act and perform for Latino preferred
18 candidates. Both of those maps create a Latino
19 majority district and have a second minority
20 opportunity district which perform for two elect
21 candidates of choice.

22 In contrast we found problems with other maps.
23 Proposal 4C does not create a Latino majority
24 district. It's a 49 percent CVAP which would violate
25 Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act.

1 Other maps all have similar problems with
2 diluting and cracking the Latino communities
3 including 2, 2A, 4, and 4B.

4 It is the view of the UCLA -- again it is the
5 view of the UCLA Voting Right Project that Proposal 5
6 in and its progenies comply with Section 2 of the
7 Voting Rights Act.

8 We also want to note that compliance with the
9 Voting Rights Act is foremost redistricting principle
10 but respecting communities of interest is also a
11 redistricting principle that you all have to respect.

12 We also urge the -- we also urge the Board to did
13 an analysis on Fair Maps Act and release that
14 publicly along with partisan heat maps and GIS shape
15 files to ensure that there's public transparency and
16 all the maps that you do.

17 Please release a fair Acts analysis. Thank you
18 and please adopt maps 5 and 5A.

19 ANNA CHARCO: Good morning Members of the Board
20 my name is Anna Charco, and I am here on behalf of
21 Latino Health Access. Latino Health Access has been
22 proudly working alongside community residents for
23 over 27 years to improve the social determines of
24 housing in our city.

25 We provide services that address immediate health

1 needs while providing information and facilitating
2 opportunities to increase civic participation and
3 impact policies that have improve those social
4 determinants in the long term.

5 I first want to take the time to thank you for
6 your team and dedication in taking our testimony into
7 consideration.

8 I am here in support of Proposal 5 and 5A. We
9 support prop -- we support 5 and 5A because they not
10 only draw federal Voting Rights Act districts around
11 communities of interest in Santa Ana but they do so
12 in a way that ensures all Latinx communities in
13 Orange County have a voice.

14 Santa Ana is primarily composed of low income and
15 mixed immigration status, Latinx families, meaning
16 that their family include undocumented US residents
17 and citizens and family members.

18 This community has a lot in common with nearby
19 Latinx communities such as east Garden Grove and
20 parts of Tustin and should be in the same district as
21 them.

22 Similarly Latinx communities in south Fullerton
23 have a lot in common with those in Buena Park and
24 west Anaheim.

25 Those communities are also in the same -- should

1 also be in the same district. Many of other maps
2 leave Latinx communities outside of Santa Ana outside
3 Santa Ana without a voice.

4 Proposal 2 and 2A isolate Latinx communities in
5 Buena Park, south Fullerton, east Garden Grove, and
6 Tustin rather than draw them together, um, with
7 similar Latinx communities nearby who share the same
8 interest.

9 Proposal 4, 4A, and 4B isolate Latinx communities
10 in east Garden Grove. Once again, all these maps
11 should, um, all these communities should be kept, um,
12 in the same district which include those in Buena
13 Park, west Anaheim, and east Garden Grove. Thank you
14 for your time.

15 CARMEN ALVARADO: Hello, good morning, my name is
16 Carmen Alvarado. I represent Orange County
17 Congregation Community Organization also known as
18 OCCO. Um, and OCCO works to develop grass roots
19 leaders across the county to bring forward systemic
20 change, um, that will in turn, um, benefit their
21 communities.

22 Um, and today I'm here to support Proposal 5 and
23 5A. Um, we support 5 and 5A because, um, they not
24 only draw Federal Voting Rights Act districts around
25 communities of interest in Santa Ana, um, but they do

1 so in a way that ensures all Latinx communities in
2 Orange County has a voice.

3 Um, as you all know Santa Ana has the largest and
4 most established Latinx community in Orange County,
5 um, and this community has a lot in common with
6 nearby Latinx communities. Therefore, we believe
7 these communities should also be in the same
8 district.

9 Many of the other maps leave the Latinx
10 communities outside of Santa Ana without a voice.
11 Proposals 2 and 2A isolate Latinx communities in
12 Buena Park, south Fullerton, east Garden Grove, and
13 Tustin rather than draw them together with similar
14 Latinx communities nearby.

15 Proposals 4 and 4A, um, and 4B isolate Latinx
16 communities in east Garden Grove. And to ensure fair
17 representation for the Latinx community, please
18 support Proposal 5 and 5A. Thank you so much.

19 KRISTINE NGUYEN: Hello my name is in Kristine
20 Nguyen. I am a resident of Irvine and I am with the
21 Orange County Asian Pacific Islander Community
22 Alliance, OCAPICA, an organization based in Garden
23 Grove which serves the broader Orange COUNTY
24 community with community based programs suggesting
25 public health, economic in -- inequity and civil

1 rights.

2 I am here in support of Proposal 5 and 5A. We
3 support 5 and 5A because it respects all Asian
4 American and Pacific Islander communities including
5 Vietnamese Americans in Garden Grove and Westminster,
6 native Hawaiians and Pacific Islanders in Garden
7 Grove, Korean Americans in Buena Park and Fullerton
8 and diverse Asian American populations in Irvine.

9 Proposal 2A divides Asian American communities in
10 Irvine not once but twice. Proposals 4C and 5B also
11 divide Asian American communities in Irvine.

12 To ensure fair representation of Asian Americans
13 and Pacific Islanders, please support Proposal 5 and
14 5A. Thank you.

15 MANNY DELEON: Hello good morning my name is
16 Manny Deleon and I am a resident of Irvine and I am
17 with Orange County Asian Pacific Islander Community
18 Alliance, OCAPICA, an organization based in Garden
19 Grove dedicated to enhancing the health and social
20 and economic well-being of Asian and Pacific
21 Islanders in Orange County.

22 I am here today in support of Proposal 5 and 5A.
23 We support 5 and 5A because it respects all Asian
24 American and Pacific Islander communities including
25 Vietnamese Americans in Garden Grove and Westminster,

1 native Hawaiians and Pacific Islanders in Garden
2 Grove, Korean Americans in Buena Park and Fullerton
3 and the diverse Asian American population in Irvine.

4 Proposal 2A divides Asian American communities in
5 Irvine not once but twice. Proposal 4C and 5B also
6 divide Asian American communities in Irvine.

7 To ensure fair representation for all Asian
8 Americans and Pacific Islanders, please support
9 Proposal 5 and 5A. Thank you.

10 FIAZ NOWABI: Hey, there, my name is Fiaz Nowabi
11 and I serve as the policy and advocacy manager at
12 CARE. I am also a long time resident of Orange
13 County. I live in the city of Orange.

14 CARE predominantly serves the AMEMSA community
15 and that is the Arab, Middle Eastern, Muslim, South
16 Asian community.

17 I'm here in support of proposals 5 and 5A. We
18 support proposals 5 and 5A because they respect all
19 API communities including the Vietnamese Americans
20 that reside in Garden Grove and Westminster,
21 native -- native Hawaiians and Pacific Islanders in
22 Garden Grove, Korean Americans residing in Buena Park
23 and Fullerton and the diverse Asian American
24 populations in Irvine.

25 We also recognize that proposals 5 and 5A keep in

1 intact the Little Arabia which is very important to
2 our organization.

3 Proposals 2A divides Asian American communities
4 in Irvine not once but twice. Proposals 4C and 5B
5 also divide Asian American communities in Irvine.

6 To ensure fair representation for all API
7 communities please support proposals 5 and 5A. Thank
8 you.

9 BOARD OF SUPERVISOR CLERK: Mr. Chairman, the
10 next speaker Irene Bautista is requesting a
11 translator in Spanish. So her time will be doubled
12 to four minutes.

13 CHAIRMAN DO: Thank you.

14 IRENE BAUTISTA: God morning, for all the
15 supervisors in the County of Orange. My name is
16 Irene Bautista. I'm the leader of the community of
17 OCCO. I live in Garden Grove and I -- I -- I attend
18 the municipal church in Santa Ana. I have been part
19 of this community for the last 20 years and I'm very
20 interested in the redistribution of the district
21 because it will affect my community in the next 10
22 years.

23 In the time that I lived here, the Hispanic
24 community, the Latino community has increased and we
25 deserve respect in this county. That is why I'm here

1 to support Map 5 and 5A. And I did support map 5A
2 and 5 because they represent the Hispanic community
3 not only in the Santa Ana area but the surrounding
4 areas also because people like me will be mostly
5 affected.

6 Other maps like 2A, 2, 4A, and 4 will leave --
7 will be left out in the communities in Buena Park,
8 southern and the part of Fullerton, east part of
9 Garden Grove and also Tustin without any voice to
10 separate from the communities next -- next to them
11 which they do have some interest in common.

12 So I please ask you to support proposals 5 and
13 5A. Thank you so much and God bless you.

14 CHAIRMAN DO: Thank you.

15 BOARD OF SUPERVISOR CLERK: Time will be reset
16 for two minutes.

17 CHAIRMAN DO: Thank you.

18 BLANDY MORALES: Hello, my name is Blandy
19 Morales, um, I live in Fullerton. I've been part of
20 Orange County my whole life. Um, I'm also a
21 community organizer with Orange County Congregations
22 Community Organization who works to develop grass
23 root leaders.

24 I'm here in support -- support of Proposal 5 and
25 5A. These maps not only draw Federal Voting Rights

1 Act districts around communities of interest in Santa
2 Ana but do so in -- in a way that ensures that all
3 the Latinx communities in Orange County have a voice.

4 Many of the other maps leave the Latinx
5 communities, um, outside of Santa Ana without --
6 without a voice. So I support 5 and 5A because they
7 respect all the Latinx communities. Thank you.

8 KEN CERVANTE: Ken Cervante, concerned citizen.
9 I'm here to speak about these radical plans to
10 redistrict. They seem kind of extreme. I'd like to
11 see Orange County maintain what it's had and not go
12 off the deep end.

13 Things are pretty out of hand lately.

14 This is organic right here. What you're seeing
15 is not a community organizer. What you're seeing is
16 a concerned citizen that came to speak on behalf of
17 the plan 2.

18 Um, there's no unions involved, no -- no
19 extracurricular organization happening here. This is
20 an one man show because I'm a concerned citizen and I
21 believe in this experiment we have in the United
22 States about representation. One man, one voice.

23 I want to tell you this, what's going on with
24 this new plan to take Irvine and connect it to the
25 beach cities, I believe in Proposal 4, that's

1 radical.

2 These communities aren't the same. There's no
3 cohesion there. I believe there's mountain range or
4 a slit hill range between these areas. This is not
5 connected. These are not people that are connected.
6 These are not homogenous. This is a different
7 district.

8 So I would like you to keep in mind that we want
9 moderation as far as not going too far with what
10 you're planning. Keep Orange County the way it's
11 been. And right now we've already experienced enough
12 tumultuous times with -- just in the shadow of Los
13 Angeles where I was at yesterday, you need to have a
14 passport and if you're not -- if you're only on your
15 second booster shot, you're not going to get in to
16 eat at a restaurant. Like all around Orange County
17 is in turmoil. Keep Orange County a strong hold of
18 freedom and stability, please. Thank you.

19 CHARLENE KASNER: Good morning. My name is
20 Charlene Kasner, a resident of Garden Grove. I am
21 with Pacific Islander Health Partnership. We
22 advocate for good health and well-being for native
23 Hawaiians and Pacific Islander communities.

24 I am here in support of proposals 5 and 5A. We
25 support 5 and 5A because they respect all Asian

1 American and native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander
2 communities including Vietnamese Americans in Garden
3 Grove and Westminster, native Hawaiians, and Pacific
4 Islanders in Garden Grove, Korean Americans in Buena
5 Park and Fullerton and diverse Asian American
6 populations in Irvine.

7 Proposal 2A divides Asian American communities in
8 Irvine not once but twice. Proposals 4C and 5B also
9 divide Asian American communities in Irvine.

10 To ensure fair representation for all Asian
11 Americans and native Hawaiians and Pacific Islanders,
12 please support proposals 5 and 5A. Thank you very
13 much.

14 CLONNY SILK: My name is Clonny Silk and I am
15 with the Pacific Island Health Partnership. We
16 advocate for good health and well-being of the native
17 Hawaiian and Pacific island community. I'm here to
18 support 5 and 5A.

19 Please support 5 and 5A because they are respect
20 the community of interest in Irvine and Costa Mesa
21 and help keep the two cities whole and together.

22 Irvine dramatic growth has been fueled by
23 immigrant communities with growing numbers of Asian
24 America Pacific Islander and AMEMSA residents.

25 The city is also home to an emerging low income

1 population with needs similar to those of Latinx
2 communities in Costa Mesa.

3 Recognizing common needs related to affordable
4 housing, language access, and other concerns public
5 programs providing rental assistance and work force
6 development target communities in both Irvine and
7 Costa Mesa.

8 These two cities seem under attack in most of
9 their other proposals. Proposal 2A divides Irvine in
10 into three different districts. Proposal 4C divides
11 both Irvine and Costa Mesa. Proposal 4A and 5B
12 divide Irvine and Costa Mesa once. It's a -- it's a
13 particular confusing that Proposal 2A claims to be
14 the most pro Asian American map but then divides
15 Asian American communities in Irvine not once but
16 twice.

17 To ensure fair representation of Irvine and Costa
18 Mesa please support Proposal 5 and 5A. Thank you.

19 AMAN NASH: Good morning, my name is Aman Nash
20 and I work in the city of Anaheim with Arab American
21 civic council. We do civic engagement work for the
22 city Arab American broader west Anaheim community and
23 advocate for proper representation for these
24 citizens.

25 I'm here in support of Proposal 5 the People's

1 Redistricting Alliance map. And I also support
2 Proposal 5A which keeps low income residents together
3 and uninterrupted by developments in the area.

4 First and foremost we support the map it respects
5 the integrity of Little Arabia which is in west
6 Anaheim. Located in Anaheim on Brookhurst Street
7 between Crescent Avenue and Katella, Little Arabia is
8 home to more than one hundred small businesses
9 serving Orange County's diverse communities including
10 different restaurants grocery stores, cafes, Halal
11 butcher shops, and what not.

12 Um, District -- District 4 and Proposal 5 keeps
13 our community whole and while the map also respects
14 numerous other important communities of interest
15 throughout the community.

16 Second, we support the map because it's in
17 compliance with the Federal Voting Rights Act. We
18 understand that a district must be drawn in and
19 around Latinx communities in Santa Ana to comply with
20 the federal law.

21 The map allows representation and supports
22 interest of Asian, Latinx, and many other resident
23 who reside in the area. And I want to echo the
24 previous speakers and stress the importance to not
25 split and further disenfranchise vulnerable voters.

1 The map is the product of months of community
2 engagement which includes -- and includes districts
3 that are equal in size, they're contiguous, compact,
4 and respect city lines as much as possible.

5 So please support Proposal 5 and 5A. And thank
6 you for all your work and all your interest. Thank
7 you.

8 SONYA RODRIGUEZ: Good morning, Board members, my
9 name is Sonya Rodriguez and I am the call center and
10 data manager for Coalition for Humane Immigrant
11 Rights, CHIRLA. We have been working with the
12 immigrant community in Orange County since 1997. And
13 in 2019 we opened our office in San Juan Capistrano.

14 In addition we are a member of the People's
15 Redistricting Alliance, a coalition of 16 community
16 based organizations established to promote a greater
17 opportunity voice in state wide and local
18 redistricting processes here in Orange County.

19 Um, I'm here in support of Proposal 5 and 5A.
20 For 35 years it's been CHIRLA's mission of achieving
21 a just society but full inclusion of immigrants,
22 CHIRLA organizes individuals, communities, and
23 coalitions in order to build power policies.

24 Congratulations on all the work, Board members
25 and staff, that have done so far to create

1 opportunities for public engagement.

2 We commend you on your sense of duty to serve and
3 your commitment to uphold a transparent district line
4 drawing process that includes all residents in Orange
5 County.

6 Today we are here to provide feedback on Orange
7 County district in -- in and around Santa Ana. We
8 support 5 and 5A because they respect all Latinx
9 communities not just in Santa Ana.

10 Other maps like 2, 2A , 4, 4A, and 4B leave
11 Latinx communities in Buena Park, south Fullerton,
12 east Garden Grove, and Tustin without a voice but
13 separating them from nearby communities with which
14 they have shared interest.

15 Please support Proposal 5 and 5A. Thank you.

16 KAREN DIAZ: Good morning Board members my name
17 is Karen Diaz and I am the electoral (Inaudible)
18 manager for the coalition of Humane immigrant Rights,
19 CHIRLA. I'm also an immigrant, and I've been working
20 in Orange County for the last four years. Our
21 mission is to achieve a just society full inclusive
22 of immigrants.

23 We want to congratulate you on all the work that
24 you have done so far to create opportunities for
25 public engagement.

1 I'm here in support of map Proposal 5 and 5A. We
2 support 5 and 5A because they only -- they not only
3 draw federal voting rights districts around
4 communities of interest in Santa Ana but they do so
5 in a way that enters all Latinx community in Orange
6 County have a voice.

7 I should deliberate on the draft map options
8 CHIRLA members and staff would like to remind the
9 Board that of the needs of the proportional immigrant
10 representation with approximately 153,000 immigrants
11 residing in Santa Ana, 46 percent of the population.
12 These immigrants have a lot in common with that
13 Latinx communities in east Garden Grove, parts of
14 Tustin, and should be in the same district.

15 Many of the other districts map leave Latinx
16 communities outside of Santa Ana without a voice.

17 Proposal 2 and 2A isolate Latinx communities in
18 Buena Park, south Fullerton, east Garden Grove, and
19 Tustin, rather than draw them together with similar
20 already Latinx communities nearby.

21 Proposals 4 and 4A and 4B isolate Latinx
22 communities in east Garden Grove.

23 To ensure fair representation for the Latinx
24 communities, support Map 5 and 5A. Thank you so much
25 for the time today.

1 KAYLA OSOTO: Hello, my name is Kayla Osoto and I
2 am a resident of the city of Orange and I am with
3 Orange County Environmental Justice. Orange County's
4 foremost organize that does work on environmental
5 justice and environmental racism.

6 I am here in support of proposals 5 and 5A. We
7 support the maps because they keep communities of
8 interest together in south Fullerton and west
9 Anaheim.

10 Low income immigrant communities in south
11 Fullerton and west Anaheim share common challenges
12 like environmental justice and affordable housing.
13 Fullerton south of Chapman Avenue and Anaheim west of
14 the 55 Freeway should be drawn together in a district
15 and apart from where affluent communities in Yorba
16 Linda and Anaheim Hills.

17 We also wanted to express concerns that Proposal
18 2A divides the community of interest in our -- in our
19 environmental justice community.

20 While Proposal 2A, 4A, and 4B draws in the same
21 district as Yorba Linda and the Anaheim Hills.
22 Affluent communities with whom we have nothing in
23 common. I repeat, nothing in common.

24 To ensure fair representation for south Fullerton
25 and west Anaheim please support Proposal 5 and 5A.

1 Thank you.

2 LESLIE VELASCO: Sorry, hopefully everyone can
3 hear me. My name is Leslie Velasco and I'm with ADI
4 for Justice. We're a community based organization
5 serving low income youth and immigrant communities
6 all around L.A. and Orange County.

7 I'm here in support of proposals 5 and 5A. I ask
8 that you support those maps because they keep
9 communities of interest in south Fullerton and west
10 Anaheim together. These low income immigrant
11 communities in south Fullerton and west Anaheim share
12 common challenges like environmental justice and
13 access to affordable housing.

14 The Proposal 5 and 5A keep these communities of
15 interest together rather than the other proposals
16 that either divide our communities or puts us in a
17 different district with very separate and very
18 different needs.

19 Again I ask that you please support proposals 5
20 and 5A. Thank you for your time.

21 MIN: My name is Min. I'm -- I'm the resident of
22 the city of Westminster. We here to support -- I'm
23 here to support the proposal 5 and 5A.

24 So we support them because we have respect on the
25 Asian American and Pacific Islander community which

1 in Orange County including Vietnamese American in
2 Garden Grove, Westminster, native Hawaiian and
3 Pacific Islander in Garden Grove and Korean American
4 in Buena Park.

5 So Proposal 2A divides Asian American community
6 in Orange County not once but twice. Proposal 4C and
7 5B also divide Asian American community in Irvine.

8 To ensure the fair representation for Asian
9 American community and Pacific Islander please
10 support Proposal 5 and 5A. Thank you so much for
11 your time.

12 VINCENT TRAN: Good morning, Board of Supervisors
13 my name is Vincent Tran. I am a resident of Fountain
14 Valley and a part of VietRISE a non-profit
15 organization based in Little Saigon. We've worked
16 with local residents in the cities of Garden Grove
17 and Westminster throughout 2021 to ensure that our
18 communities are represented and heard within state,
19 county, and city redistricting efforts.

20 I'm here to support proposals 5 and 5A. We
21 support 5 and 5A because they respect all Asian
22 American and Pacific Islander communities including
23 Vietnamese Americans in Garden Grove and Westminster,
24 native Hawaiians and Pacific Islanders in Garden
25 Grove, Korean Americans in Buena Park and Fullerton,

1 diverse Asian American communities in Irvine.

2 In addition a large population of Vietnamese
3 seniors live in mobile home parks in Westminster,
4 Midway City, and Garden Grove which should be kept
5 within the same district rather than separate.

6 This population is becoming more and more
7 vulnerable to displacement each year due to the
8 rising cost of rent and living expenses.

9 This community has a long history of organize
10 organizing together to maintain the sustainability,
11 their housing, longevity of mobile home parks.

12 The map should reflect districts that unite our
13 communities who are facing similar system -- systemic
14 issues and require the same language needs and
15 maximize the representatives accountability to them
16 such as addressing sky rocketing rent increases and
17 the displacement of immigrant and refugee
18 communities.

19 Proposal 2A divides -- divides Asian American
20 communities in Irvine not ones but twice.

21 Proposals 4C and 5B also divide Asian American
22 communities in Irvine.

23 To ensure fair representation of all Asian
24 Americans and Pacific Islanders, please support
25 Proposal 5 and 5A. Thank you.

1 TONY TELO: Good morning, my name is a Tony Telo
2 and I'm resident of Anaheim. I'm with United
3 Domestic Workers union and the in-home supportive
4 services providers union. We have a significant
5 number of IHSS providers who belong to the Asian
6 American communities in Buena Park and Garden Grove.

7 And I'm here to support the proposals of 5 and
8 5A. We support 5 and 5A because they respect all
9 Asian American and Pacific Island communities
10 including Vietnamese Americans in Garden Grove and
11 Westminster, native Hawaiians and Pacific Islanders
12 in Garden Grove, Korean Americans in Buena Park, and
13 Fullerton and diverse Asian American population in
14 Irvine.

15 Proposal 2A divides Asian Americans in Irvine and
16 Proposal 4C and 5B also divides Asian American
17 communities in Irvine.

18 So -- to ensure the fair representation to all
19 Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders, please support
20 proposal 5 and 5A. Thank you.

21 TOVY COSGROVE: Hello, good morning, my name is
22 Tovy Cosgrove. I am a resident of Garden Grove and I
23 am with United Domestic Workers the IHSS provider
24 union. The IHSS program relies on funding from the
25 state and county so UDW is watching the redistricting

1 process closely.

2 A significant number of IHSS providers in Orange
3 County planning to Asian American, Pacific Islander,
4 AMEMSA, and Latinx communities.

5 I am here in support of proposals 5 and 5A. We
6 support 5 and 5A because they respect the communities
7 of interest in Irvine and Costa Mesa and keep the two
8 cities whole and together.

9 The demographics of Irvine has been changing
10 significantly with an increase of Asian Americans,
11 Pacific Islanders and AMEMSA community presence.

12 Irvine is seeing an emerging low income
13 population that is similar needs to the Latinx
14 communities in Costa Mesa.

15 These concerns include affordable housing,
16 language access, public programs, rental assistance
17 and work force development. These two cities seem
18 under attack in most of the other proposals.

19 Proposal 2A divides Irvine into three different
20 districts. Proposal 4C divides both Irvine and Costa
21 Mesa. Proposals 4A and 5B divide Irvine or Costa
22 Mesa at least once.

23 It is particularly confusing. The Proposal 2A
24 claims to be the most pro American Asian map but then
25 divides the Asian American communities in Irvine

1 three times.

2 To ensure fair representation for Irvine and
3 Costa Mesa please support proposals 5 and 5A. Thank
4 you.

5 BOARD OF SUPERVISOR CLERK: Mr. Chairman, after
6 our next speaker Luce, the two last speakers will be
7 Brian K and Elias Seglund and then County Counsel
8 will have comment to read into the record.

9 CHAIRMAN DO: Thank you.

10 LUCE SEDENIO: My name is Luce Sedenio. I'm
11 resident of Anaheim, and I am with the United
12 Domestic Workers, the union that represents in-home
13 support of service providers.

14 IHSS providers are critical to California's
15 infrastructure because we take care of family and
16 friends who have disabilities in their own homes.

17 This program allows folks with disabilities to
18 continue to live with dignity and respect in their
19 communities.

20 I am here in support of map proposals 5 and 5A.
21 We support the maps because they keep communities of
22 interest in south Fullerton and west Anaheim
23 together. Low income immigrant communities in south
24 Fullerton and west Anaheim face challenges with
25 environmental just justice and access to affordable

1 housing.

2 An ideal district combines parts of Fullerton,
3 south of Chapman Avenue and Anaheim west of the 55
4 Freeway. We do not want to see affluent communities
5 in Yorba Linda and Anaheim hills in the same
6 districts.

7 We also wanted to express concerns that Proposal
8 2A divides our community of interest while proposals
9 2A, 4A, and 4B draws into the same district as Yorba
10 Linda and Anaheim hills affluent areas with whom we
11 have nothing in common.

12 To ensure fair representation for south Fullerton
13 and west Anaheim, please support proposals 5 and 5A.
14 Thank you.

15 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Happy day. So we're
16 discussing redistricting and the idea behind this is
17 to curb the abuses by the leaders and increase the
18 efficiency and the financial well-being of the
19 communities.

20 I realize that part of that's done through
21 voters, getting the voters to vote for the leaders in
22 those communities.

23 Part of it again as I mentioned the abuses.
24 Andrew Do's been very abusive to the other leaders,
25 very abusive to the public. And the hope is by

1 changing this and redistricting, that that will curb
2 some of his power and give some of the power back to
3 the other leaders who want to hear what speakers have
4 to say, who want to participate in the government.

5 You know, the city of Anaheim has a similar
6 issue. They did a big redistricting. They changed
7 how everything was formatted Harry Sidhu came in sold
8 the stadium out and pretty much destroyed the city.

9 So if you live in Anaheim, you're probably going
10 to be a couple hundred thousand in taxes and fees to
11 help the city pay for whatever Harry Sidhu's done.

12 And Andrew Do is running the same format. He
13 doesn't want to hear what the public has to say. He
14 doesn't want to participate in government. He just
15 wants to do what he wants it do. There's laws in
16 place and he ignores them. And when people challenge
17 him, he actually has his wife, who's a judge file
18 letters and send letters out in an attempt to
19 intimidate innocent members of the public and try to
20 silence them.

21 Even now Andrew Do doesn't have the courage to
22 look at members of the public who are here to speak
23 to help increase the quality of life not just for the
24 other members of the public, but for Andrew Do
25 himself.

1 Andrew Do's committed to things but he won't
2 share what they are. It's a shame because this is an
3 opportunity. This is what Andrew Do did what he did,
4 ran an election and got into office.

5 If you don't want to be here, Andrew Do, go. All
6 these speakers came down here because we want to be
7 here.

8 CHAIRMAN DO: Your time is up.

9 BOARD OF SUPERVISOR CLERK: Last speaker is Elise
10 Seglund.

11 ELISE SEGLUND: Good morning, Honorable Chairman
12 Do, the Board of supervisor. My name is Elise
13 Seglund regarding the meeting today for this agenda
14 number 1, I disappointed, you that coming from every
15 Board meeting for 10 years, talking about my innocent
16 son kidnapped, isolated, poisoned, being tortured and
17 murdering for the sake of corrupted judges and your
18 attorneys pleasing the chief and murder con artist
19 and public money laundering for personal profiteering
20 director of Orange County regional center (Inaudible)
21 and director of DDS Nancy Bargman human and drug
22 trafficking for the sake of personal profiteering of
23 big farmer, mafia, denominated as a country. You did
24 not have time for 10 years.

25 Instead of special meeting you vote for the

1 public sake of discussing despicable corruption in
2 court and the rest of the county department. The
3 public is limited to support the only agenda meeting
4 regarding redistricting and redefining map that has
5 nothing to do with the public interest.

6 The Board alienating behavior and coercive
7 control over the public is not beneficial for
8 endorsing the public trust and therefore the vote can
9 come time for the choice we will different. I'm set
10 not only for me and my family and other innocent
11 families who became the victim of the corrupted court
12 and corrupted judges bureaucracy of your department
13 but said for your supervisor who allows alleged
14 criminal such as Larry and Nancy be in the power.
15 Thank you.

16 CHAIRMAN DO: Thank you. County Counsel, please
17 go ahead.

18 COUNTY COUNSEL PAGE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
19 Kirby Crowskill and Lauren Husted both submitted the
20 same comment, writing, quote, "I am writing in favor
21 in support of maps 5 and/or 2A. I'm in favor of
22 keeping Costa Mesa united."

23 An anonymous Newport Beach voter writing from the
24 e-mail address name redacts on privacy grounds at
25 gmail dot com writes, "As a member of supervisor

1 Katrina Foley's current district I believe any
2 attempt to split Costa Mesa would have extremely
3 deleterious effects as a form of Republican
4 gerrymandering intended to reconsolidate recently
5 lost power in the form of a supervisor seat awarded
6 to Foley back into republican party's hands despite
7 the clear will of the voters showing a desire for the
8 opposite. In redistricting I believe it is in a role
9 to keep Costa Mesa united in order to prevent this
10 partisan gerrymandering. I support the adoption via
11 the propose Map 5 or proposed Map 2A."

12 Felicity Figueroa of Irvine writes, "The People's
13 Redirecting Alliance of Orange County, PRA, is a
14 multi-racial coalition of community based
15 organizations and residents. They've drawn a
16 redistrict map that centers the lived experiences and
17 priorities, the needs of our most vulnerable
18 communities those that have been historically
19 marginalized by local government. This map will
20 empower low income communities and working families
21 sectors of our population that have been ignored and
22 denied representation for far too long. The OCPRA
23 map has made communities of interest its top priority
24 when drawing lines considering the important
25 testimonies of residents from communities that have

1 been harmed by the current configuration. For this
2 reason I wholeheartedly support proposals 5 or 5A.
3 These are the only maps that have made communities of
4 interest the top priority when drawing lines and have
5 taken in the important testimonies of residents from
6 communities that have been historically marginalized
7 by the county while the other proposals unnecessarily
8 split up many communities of interest. Proposals 5
9 or 5A will correct the problems with the current
10 configuration while complying with the legal
11 requirements of Voting Rights Act and the Fair Maps
12 Act. The current map configuration has
13 disinfranchised communities of color by prioritizing
14 the interest of one political party over all the
15 rest. It is finally time to rectify this unjust,
16 undemographic and illegal imbalance. Please adopt
17 the proposals 5 or 5A today."

18 And Pat Davis of Anaheim writes, "Once again I
19 urge you to approve the redistricting map Proposal 5
20 prepared by the People's Redistricting Alliance that
21 helps demonstrate the importance of having elected
22 officials who understand and respond to community
23 needs. For too long folks have opinion
24 disenfranchised not seeing or hearing themselves in
25 leadership roles nor having their needs adequately

1 addressed, if even heard. With population growth and
2 shifts this map centers the lived experiences and
3 needs of low income communities and working families,
4 the very communities more often scapegoated for all
5 of the, quote, ills of society, not the welcoming nor
6 compassionate and informed approach of critical
7 decision making. And so our population has changed,
8 fair representation needs to improve and I believe
9 the map offered by the work of the People's
10 Redistricting Alliance will accomplish that. With
11 new legislation criteria for compliance, this plan
12 centers federal voting rights at compliance and
13 communities of interest that reflect the needs of the
14 county's most impact residents with districts drawn
15 to establish population and quality requirements.
16 The plan also respects other redistricting criteria
17 established under state law such as contiguity,
18 maintaining integrity of city boundaries and
19 compactness but not conflicting with core principles.
20 These are the only maps that have made communities of
21 interest the top priority when drawing lines and
22 taking in the important testimonies of residents from
23 communities that have been historically marginalized
24 by the county. The input process was vied wide and
25 varied. In participating I learned so much about the

1 process and from so many concerned citizens. I
2 oppose the other proposals that unnecessarily split
3 up many communities of interest. Please support
4 proposals 5 or 5A. And that's all I have. Thank
5 you, Mr. Chairman.

6 CHAIRMAN DO: Thank you, Mr. Page.

7 Um, before I open the floor up for discussion and
8 then discuss our next steps in this process, I would
9 like to first respond to some of public comments.
10 Um, we have in particular one speaker that repeatedly
11 make comments to the effect of questioning my loyalty
12 to the law in this country or things to the effect
13 that I don't like it, go, with the implication that
14 somehow I'm here as a guest or a dilettante and that
15 somehow other people can judge when they disagree
16 with me um whether or not I should be here.

17 I -- I -- I'm caught in a difficult position in
18 that I don't want to pond to every gadfly that utters
19 any stupid things here in the meetings. But if I
20 don't address some of these at some point, then it
21 becomes an implicit acceptance of the merit of what
22 is said and I want to be clear on the record that I
23 am an American and we may disagree but I'm not going
24 anywhere.

25 So, um, I know that this will not register with

1 the speaker, um, but there may be from time to time
2 public -- members of the public who may come across
3 this broadcast on their TV and I want them to at
4 least hear from me, my reaction to it.

5 As a county supervisor and certainly as Chair, I
6 also have to weigh heavily my responsibility to be
7 here on the dais and conduct business and not be
8 chased out of -- of my meeting to avoid some speakers
9 no matter how repugnant their comments may be.

10 Um, so I will stay here and I will continue to
11 conduct business.

12 Um, but that's in no way kowtowing to that kind
13 of rhetoric. And we will be discussing the different
14 maps and the different iterations and what we can do
15 to -- to meet our, um, legal requirements as a Board
16 in this redistricting process.

17 But an Asian American I have to -- to point out
18 some things because I cannot let the public, you
19 know, be subjected to gaslighting when it comes to
20 Asian American interest that somehow certain maps
21 protect Asians others and others do not because when
22 those assertion fly in the face of facts, and I'm
23 talking written down on a sheet of paper, then it --
24 we're talking about misleading the public and
25 manipulating the public, which I will not tolerate

1 just sitting by and letting it happen unaddressed.

2 I am not commenting on specific maps. But I'm
3 going to group them together in progenies 2, 4 and 5.
4 There are different iterations of each.

5 But I'm going to take them as groups. Um, let me
6 start first the progeny of 5, 5A -- 5, 5A and 5B. I
7 find that it's so interesting that 5B is excluded the
8 one submitted by our esteemed colleague supervisor
9 Wagner was excluded from -- from being protective of
10 Asian Americans when they have the exact same
11 percentage of CVAP, for Asian, Pacific Islander which
12 is 29.5 percent.

13 So if you look at the breakdown for 5, 5A, 5B,
14 they all have the identical percentage.

15 Okay. So the idea that one is is more protective
16 of Asians than the others, that is just simply not
17 true.

18 Okay. So then let's go to the next progeny group
19 of four, 4A, 4B, 4, 4A, 4B and 4C. The highest in
20 that group is 34.6 percent and the lowest is 30.2
21 percent.

22 Last time I checked my math, 34.6 is higher than
23 29.5. Okay. So that's the next group of progeny.

24 Then let's go to the progeny of Map 2, 2 and 2A.
25 2 is 36.8 percent and 2A is 37.4 percent Asian,

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Pacific Islander.

So, yes, advocate for your map but please don't come to this Board and mislead the public when it come and use under the name of protecting Asian Americans. Because as I sit right now, I'm offended by that kind of of misleading obvious misrepresentation of fact.

And if I'm wrong, next time I'm sure somebody will come and tell me why my numbers here are wrong.

But right now based on what I'm looking at here, those statements are completely falls.

Okay. So I just wanted to put it out there to the public that just because we have people that coordinated to come here and -- and speck a certain talking point that are consistent, that doesn't make it fact.

Okay. So that's it. Yes. I will close the public hearing at this time. Thank you.

///
///