THE COUNTY COUNSEL COUNTY OF ORANGE

In the	Matter of:	
Orange	ription of Taped County Board of Superviso er 16, 2021 Meeting	ors

TRANSCRIPTION OF TAPE-RECORDED ORANGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS NOVEMBER 16, 2021 MEETING

Transcribed by: Nanette Jensen CSR #9066

Job Number: TP96687

1	THE COUNTY COUNSEL
2	COUNTY OF ORANGE
3	
4	
5	
6	In the Matter of:)
7	Transcription of Taped) Orange County Board of Supervisors)
8	November 16, 2021 Meeting)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	Transcription of digitally recorded
16	Orange County Board of Supervisors
17	November 16, 2021 Meeting
18	Transcribed by Nanette Jensen
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	2

1	The County Counsel
2	County of Orange
3	
4	
5	CHAIRMAN DO: So let's go to the public hearing
6	at this time, item number 37. Madam Clerk, please go
7	ahead and read the item.
8	CLERK OF THE BOARD: For the County Executive
9	Office public hearing to consider input on proposed
10	2021 redistricting maps. Select map proposal to be
11	published on November 17th, 2021 for formal adoption
12	on November 22nd, 2021.
13	CHAIRMAN DO: Thank you. Let me get situated
14	here. We got a lot of paperwork on this item so I
15	don't want to get all mixed up up here.
16	For the public, we have had four modifications
17	submitted by myself and three of my colleagues. And
18	so what I um, we're going to open up the public
19	hearing in a minute here.
20	The process is after public hearing, I'm sorry,
21	public comments, um, then I and in closing of the
22	public hearing then I will turn to you,
23	colleagues, to make the appropriate as you see fit.
24	I will, um, go by order of district, about
25	numerical order. I will go last just to take the any

3

1 and unfair advantage I may have out of the equation. 2 Okay. So we will then -- when we entertain motions 3 we'll start with district 2 and 3 and then so on from 5 there. Okay. So that's how we will proceed. Did you 6 7 read the item already, Madam Clerk? CLERK OF THE BOARD: Yes, I did. 9 CHAIRMAN DO: Okay. So I'm going to open public 10 hearing at this time. Please call the speakers. How 11 many we have? 12 CLERK OF THE BOARD: Mr. Chairman, we have 13 received 40 requests to speak and County Counsel has 14 two comments to read into the record. 15 CHAIRMAN DO: Did you say 40? 16 CLERK OF THE BOARD: Uh-huh. CHAIRMAN DO: So I give each speaker three 17 18 minutes, um, so we are now 10 to 11:00 that will take 19 us to 1:00. Okay. Let's go ahead and start. 20 CLERK OF THE BOARD: The first three speakers are 21 Mayor Fred Minegar, Councilwoman Janine Heft, 22 Councimember Don Sedgwick. 23 FRED MINEGAR: Good morning, Chairman Do, 24 Supervisor Chaffee, Supervisor Wagner, Supervisor 25 Bartlett, and Supervisor Foley. I'm Mayor Fred

4

Minegar representing 66,400 residents of the beautiful city of Laguna Niguel.

Before I start talking about the specific item I wanted to thank and appreciate what you do as be -- as representing people of Orange County. It's a tough job for all of you. I've been sitting here listening to you and I wish you all the best.

Um, last month and again this month on November 12, 2021 on behalf of the entire City Council of Laguna Niguel I submitted letters to Orange County Board of Supervisors expressing my concerns about redistricting.

I'm here to follow up on my previous letters expressing my concerns about the proposed redistricting maps.

Several of these proposed maps break up South
Orange County into two or more districts. There are
11 cities in South Orange County, Aliso Viejo, Dana
Point, Laguna Beach, Laguna Niguel, Laguna Hills,
Laguna Woods, Lake Forest, um, Mission Viejo, Rancho
Santa Margarita, San Clemente, and San Juan
Capistrano.

All these 11 cities that I mentioned they have a lot of commonalities that should preclude them from being split into separate supervisorial districts.

For example, many of these South Orange County cities contract with Orange County Fire Department, Fire Authority, Orange County Sheriff's Department for emergency services, all these 11 cities have the same kind of school system.

For example, we are a part of Capo Unified School
District. Other cities in South Orange County are
part of Saddleback Valley Unified School District.
And the City of Laguna Beach is a part of Laguna
Beach Unified School District.

Additionally all the 11 South Orange County cities fall within the jurisdiction of Orange County community college district.

Furthermore, all these 11 cities that I have mentioned are general law cities and have comparable ideologies to the management of public services.

The demographics of South Orange County cities are relatively homogeneous throughout the region and the communities will be best served by remaining in the same supervisorial district.

I urge you to consider the similarities of all these 11 cities that I mentioned and continue to have them remain together in a cluster in a collective district.

Thank you very much for all of your hard work on

the redistricting process and for always being mindful of the needs of the entire county.

Sincerely, Fred Minegar, mayor city of Laguna Niguel. And thank you for giving me time to talk about this --

CHAIRMAN DO: Thank you, your time is up.

FRED MINEGAR: -- item. Thank you.

JANINE HEFT: Good morning, supervisors. Most of you already know me. I'm Laguna Hills Councilwoman Janine Heft, and I'm speaking today on behalf of both my city as well as South Orange County cities that were not able to be here to save South Orange County's representation and keep the fifth the fifth by adopting either proposal 2A1 or 2A.

The 11 South Orange County cities south of the El Toro Y have always been considered a community of interest. And after talking to over a dozen of my South Orange County colleagues no one wants to see the fifth be torn into pieces which is many of the options that have been presented effectively do.

There are many reasons we need to keep South
Orange County together as one community of interest
and here are just a few: One, all cities and maps in
2A1 and 2A are contract cities for the Orange County
Sheriff's Department and the Orange County Fire

Authority; two, all cities in these two maps are served by just two public schools, Capistrano and Saddleback Valley; three, all these cities are located in a contiguous area between -- between the 5, 405 Y and the San Diego County border; four, all these cities have only one freeway as their main art material, the 5 Freeway; and, five, all these cities are small to medium size communities. My city is only 32,000 people composed mostly of residential neighborhoods.

If they are split in half and thrown in with large cities like Huntington Beach, Newport Beach, or Irvine, they will be completely marginalized.

Also according to the redistricting criteria for drawing supervisorial district lines, the County of Orange lists its second priority and I quote, "That the geographical integrity of local neighborhoods or community of interest shall be respected in a manner that minimizes its division."

But several proposals especially 4C1 would split not only South Orange County but split my little city of Laguna Hills into three parts and my neighboring city of Aliso Viejo into two parts.

Sometimes you to have make the maps bigger to see these divisions and how they really, you know, tear

apart my city and other cities in Orange County,
South Orange County.

Proposals 2A1 or A would preserve the integrity of these cities and ensure that South Orange County residents would maintain their voice with the Board of Supervisors so that their specific needs including fire protection, public safety, and other central services can be effectively addressed.

All of you were once local city leaders yourself and I know you understand how important it is to have a voice in county government.

So I will you will agree that the voters of South Orange County should not be disenfranchised by breaking them into two or more districts as proposed by the other maps.

Please support either proposal 2A1 or 2A to make sure that South County does not lose its representation and that my city of Laguna Hills is not cut into pieces. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN DO: Thank you.

CLERK OF THE BOARD: The next three speakers are Councimember Don Kaski, Councimember Thai Phan, and Diane Harkey.

DON KASKI: Good morning. Um, I'm Don Kaski and
I'm a newly appointed City Council person from the

city of Laguna Hills.

And last two speakers I think spoke very plainly and succinctly about why this is so important to us not to break up these cities. We have 11 cities.

I've live there for 40 years, by the way. And those contract cities all work together almost as if we were one city in many respects.

And we we contract with the sheriff. We contract with the fire department. Janine -- Counselman Heft just mentioned to you our relationship with two school districts.

The fact is and in many respects it's all worked very well and yell you're -- you're going to be making decisions here that will affect every district. And we -- we really implore you to let our cities stay together in one district.

And so I also will just re-echo we ask you to select scheme 2, 2A, or 2Al because they do essentially keep -- it keeps us mostly together, and that's what we're asking. Thank you so much.

CHAIRMAN DO: Thank you.

THAI PHAN: Good morning, Chairman Do, supervisors, I am a little nervous here more nervous than I am in court. My name is Thai Viet Phan. I am a councimember representing ward 1 in the city of

Santa Ana.

I'm here today to urge you to support map A, 2A1.

I was the first Vietnamese American elected to represent residents in Santa Ana. And we did that because we settled a California Voting Rights Act lawsuit showing that Vietnamese, specifically Asian residents in Santa Ana, were not being represented.

Similarly the City of Garden Grove also set a California Voting Rights Act lawsuit going to districts because Latino residents there were not being represented.

Maps 2A1 is the only map that's ensures that the Vietnamese community in Santa Ana who historically belong with the Little Saigon community will be with an Asian plurality district.

Map 2A1 is the only map that ensures that the Latino residents in Garden Grove will be represented in the Latino majority district.

I am real excited because it's not often that when we come to redistricting that there is bipartisan support for a map.

And today 2A1 is that map. It ensures that we have an Asian plurality district that brings together immigrant communities to ensure that we have a voice on this dais that Little Saigon is not jammed packed

into a district with Beach Cities.

I -- I love my colleagues in Huntington Beach, however, Vietnamese refugees trying to make it work, we're a working class community and we don't belong in a Beach City district.

Moreover, those other maps will dilute the Asian American vote here in Orange County. Santa Ana settled that Voting Rights Act lawsuit and we are not going back.

The only map before you that ensures that south county cities are fairly represented, that the Asian American communityis fairly represented, and that the Latino community is fairly represented is Map 2A1.

So I hope that all of you can support a map that ensures communities of interest stay together, that ensures that we meet the requirements of Section 2 and the Federal Voting Rights Act, the California Voting Rights Act and is honestly the right thing to do.

And with that, um, I hope you support Map 2A1. Thank you.

DIANE HARKEY: Good morning. Dianne Harkey, resident of Dana Point, former elected but nothing anymore.

I -- I appreciate the work you've gone through.

This is really the tough -- a tough decision and it will be your legacy decision for the County of Orange make no bones about it. There's nothing else you will do that will effect and impact our county more.

I am here to support Map 2A1 because it keeps south county together. It's very important.

As you've already heard we have OCFA and the sheriff is contract cities our waters -- with the exception of Lake Forest -- we are all governed by Region 9, San Diego Regional Water Quality Board, not Santa Ana. We get our water supply -- we can't get it from ground water. Ground water is north of the Y for the most part, central and northern Orange County.

So our transportation, some of these maps would split it so that part of South Orange County would have virtually no representation on OCTA. And I don't think that works because we do have a lot of issues down there.

Our streets are not grid pattern. They can't be.

They're hilly. They're like spaghetti. And so to

try to fit these north/south routes and east/west

routes is very, very difficult.

We formed a south Orange County major investment study that keeps South Orange County on the map for

funding for future needs. We also have an economic coalition for South Orange County that has been there really to be the voice of those areas south of the Y.

It's really important to us that we have representation, and I know you're doing the best you can. You've already heard about our school districts. You know, there's just so many commonalities.

So I hope that you will support Map 2A1, keep our cities together, allow us to have a voice in South Orange County and, um, thank you very much. I know, like I said, this has been a very tough decision and it's been grueling for all of you but I'm hoping my voice will help to move you a little bit in the way to support my area.

I've been there 40 years living in the same city.

I love the city. I've served it on the assembly -or the -- the area. I've served it in the assembly.

I've served it on Board of Equalization. I was a
mayor.

So I -- I know the issues, and I know them backwards and forwards and I really hope you will keep us together. Thank you.

CLERK OF THE BOARD: The next three speakers are Mel Beale, Ed Choy, and Kathy Hahn.

MEL BEALE: Good morning, Chairman and Council
members -- supervisors -- excuse me -- um, my name is
Mel Beale. Sorry. Thank you. From Newport Beach
and I also represent the Airport Working Group of
Orange County who most of you are familiar with.

I come today not to look at a particular map because there are dozens of other interests here and I can't speak to all those. And you have many issues to balance and redistricting. I understand that and I respect that.

That being said, regardless of the politics and issues that you address and I've detailed this in a letter which has been distributed to you, the needs of the various local communities a most critical requirement for Orange County redistricting is to ensure that the John Wayne Airport remains in the same district as the citizen of those communities of interest most impacted by its operations including residents of Newport Beach, Costa Mesa, and other corridor cities.

For almost 40 years the Airport Working Group of Orange County, AWG, if you know it as that way, the city administration of Newport Beach and other community groups have worked closely with the county and John Wayne Airport management to build a truly

collaborative framework to address issues which arise and which must be dealt with a fair and equitable manner. And I appreciate the work we've all done together with the county and with the airport.

The only way this model can continue to be successful is for the political boundaries of any new district include the physical airport and those local communities, Newport Beach, et al, which AWG supports as one of the most important real community of interest entities serving the broader population.

Please ensure this remains the case for the final approved district plan. I repeat, we must, we must keep John Wayne in the same district as the departure corridor cities Newport Beach, Costa Mesa and others.

I appreciate your time and please consider that as you look at the other options available. Thank you so much.

ED CHOY: Good morning, um, the Board of Supervisors. Um, always appreciate the hard work you're putting in for our Orange County area.

My name is Ed Choy, Pastor Ed Choy, um, I serve at a church in Thanksgiving, a church in the city of Buena Park. I also live in the city of Fullerton. I also serve on the advisory board on the Navigation Center that which is a homeless shelter, um, in the

city of Buena Park. And, um, I also volunteer my
time as a Police -- Buena Park police chaplain since
I was a retired Army chaplain.

And so I come before you today on behalf of the Korean American Federation of the Orange County that represents the Korean American community in Orange County.

I have lived in Orange County area for almost all my life, and I write this letter in support of plan -- or the map of 5A or 5B, um, and because it reflects the concept of equality and the community of interest that best represents the Korean American community.

Today many people say that Buena Park is now the second K Town or Korean Town. For those who know in L.A. there's a Korean Town but because of businesses, residents of Koreans who live and work there, um, Buena Park has become now what we call it Second K Town.

Um, and the reason why I'm bringing this up is because the proposal for Map 2 has a significant flaw particularly because it separates Buena Park from the other as, you know, the North Orange County cities including Fullerton, Brea, La Habra and Anaheim. And instead it puts Buena Park with Garden Grove,

1 Westminster, and Fountain Valley where my deep love 2 and care and support for the Vietnamese American 3 community. Um, you know, when the Army -- I served with many those who are Vietnamese Americans and I have the 5 utmost respect, love, and care for the Vietnamese 6 7 people, the Vietnamese community, um, and much affection towards them, um, because we're both people who struggle with war against communism in North 10 Korea and with North Vietnamese. 11 But that being said, as you know not all Asians 12 are the same. Okay. We share -- even though we 13 might be Asian in descent but we don't share the same 14 kind of community, language, even political climate, 15 or cultural views, even religious backwards. 16 But in the past 10 years most of the Korean 17 Americans in Garden Grove have moved their residency 18 and businesses to North Orange County which includes, 19 like I said, Buena Park --20 CHAIRMAN DO: Your time is up, sir. Please wrap 21 it up. 22 ED CHOY: Can I get one more minute, sir? 23 CHAIRMAN DO: No, you can't. 24 ED CHOY: So my --

Please wrap it

CHAIRMAN DO: One more sentence.

25

1	up.
2	ED CHOY: So let me wrap it up. Okay. Again,
3	thanks for your time. I'll wrap it up. Okay, sir.
4	All right. So, um, what I'm proposing
5	CHAIRMAN DO: No, you cannot
6	ED CHOY: (Inaudible)
7	CHAIRMAN DO: Sir.
8	ED CHOY: community
9	CHAIRMAN DO: Wrap it up.
10	ED CHOY: supervisors would you please vote
11	for plan or map 5A or 5B?
12	CHAIRMAN DO: Thank you.
13	ED CHOY: Which best represents the Korean
14	American community.
15	CHAIRMAN DO: Thank you. Your time is up.
16	ED CHOY: Thank you for your time and have a
17	KATHERINE HAHN: Hi, my name is Katherine Hahn
18	and I have been a Korean American resident of Newport
19	Beach for 40 years.
20	I would like to voice my strong objection to the
21	proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the
22	California Fair Maps Act in politically targeting a
23	sitting candidate.
24	This map also dilutes the API and Latino, um,
25	representation much more than the other maps.

Lastly, Map 4C1 unnecessarily splits 14 cities across different supervisor districts. When possible, I believe cities should be kept intact in the same supervisor district.

I would strongly encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote no on Map 4C1. I would encourage the Board of Supervisors to vote yes on any of the following maps, 2A1, 5, 5A, or 5B. Thank you.

CHARLENE KASNER: Good morning. I would like to thank you for all your hard work and dedication that you are doing for this redistricting effort for all of us in Orange County.

My name is Charlene Kasner. I am a resident of Garden Grove and I am with Pacific Islander Health Partnership. We advocate for good health, well-being for the native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander communities.

I am here in support of proposals 5, 5A, or 5B.

We support 5, 5A, or 5B because they respect all

Asian American and native Hawaiian and Pacific

Islander communities including Vietnamese Americans
in Garden Grove, Westminster; native Hawaiians and

Pacific Islanders, um, excuse me -- Korean Americans
in Buena Park, Fullerton and diverse Asian American
populations in Irvine.

As a reminder by law the maps should not center around partisanship. To ensure fair representation for all Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders, please support proposals 5, 5A, or 5B. Thank you very much.

KIMBERLY HALL BARLOW: Good morning, Supervisors. Kimberly Hall Barlow here on behalf of the City of Costa Mesa. I am its city attorney. You have received letters from, I think -- I know the mayor and I believe most of the other council members, um, advocating for keeping Costa Mesa together. That is a critical point for the City of Costa Mesa that no districts, um, be adopted that would split the city in any way even with seven residents in one districts since that is an area of upcoming of growth in the City of Costa Mesa. So that's number one priority.

Number two is to maintain Costa Mesa together with Huntington Beach and Newport Beach. You heard earlier about the -- the common interests of cities of Newport Beach and Costa Mesa with respect to the airport. They share school districts. They have many, um, long-standing contractual and border relationships with Newport Beach and Huntington Beach. And to be divided into different districts would be a disservice not only to Costa Mesa but to Newport Beach and to Huntington Beach as well.

Um, I notice that the recommended action is to select a map or maps for publication so that you can then select one.

It really would not be proper to select a single map today even though there's only one that we support because you are required to publish for seven days before you adopt. Leaving one map on the table is is essentially adopting a map today.

Um, it also appears or could appear to many that moving Costa Mesa out of District 2 in many of the configurations that are offered by the other maps would be done for a -- to favor or discriminate against a political party, that would be very inappropriate.

Um, finally I want to note that Costa Mesa is in the central SPA. It's not in the southern SPA. So it's not part of the south county cities who understandably want to maintain their integrity in one district.

So on behalf of the City of Costa Mesa we respectfully request that when you do select a map that it be Map 2A1. Thank you very much.

JONATHAN PAKE: Good morning, Members of the Board, my name is Jonathan Pake. I was born in Fullerton, live in Brea and am a life-long resident

of Orange County.

I serve as the executive director of the Orange County Civic Engagement Table or OCCET, a non-partisan Asian American, Pacific Islander, Latinx, Labor Environmental Justice Alliance based in Garden Grove that is dedicated to engaging county residents year around to build and to help build an Orange County that serves everyone equitably and with justice.

OCCET helped establish the People's Redistricting Alliance, a coalition of 16 community based organizations whose goal is to center low-income communities of color and working families in both state wide and local redistricting processes.

Our proposal, Proposal 5, centers federal voting rights at compliance and communities of interest that reflect the needs of the county's most impacted residents. Our map 1 draws an effective Federal Voting Rights Act district around cohesive Latinx communities in and around Santa Ana. Two, keeps low income immigrant communities with common needs and concerns together in South Fullerton and West Anaheim.

Three, maintains the integrity of Little Arabia and West Anaheim. Four, keeps predominantly low

income refugee communities in West Garden Grove, Westminster, and North Fountain Valley together.

Five, keeps Pacific Islander communities whole in Garden Grove. Six, maintains the integrity of the disproportionately immigrant cities of Irvine and Costa Mesa, draws them together in the same district and separates them from more affluent communities, Yorba Linda and Anaheim Hills.

And finally, complies with the California Fair
Maps Act and the California Constitution that would
ensure that no district specifically favors or
discriminates against a particular political party.

It's important to note that our map does not fracture a Latinx communities outside Santa Ana where adjacent Latinx communities of interest can be drawn together, they are.

For example, Latinx communities in east Garden Grove and parts of Tustin are drawn into the new Federal Voting Rights Act district with the adjacent -- with the adjacent city of Santa Ana.

To the north Latinx communities in north Buena
Park are drawn together with west Anaheim and south
Fullerton. This allows for fair representation for
one of Orange County's largest communities.

Proposal 5A and 5B makes minor modifications to

our original map. Because these changes maintain the integrity of our communities of interest and do not compromise the spirit of our work.

We also support proposals 5A and 5B. To ensure a fair map that reflects the interest of all Orange County residents we ask that you support proposals 5, 5A, or 5B. Thank you.

JULIA GOMEZ: Good morning, Members of the Board of Supervisors. My name is Julia Gomez and I'm a staff orange at the ACLU of Southern California.

Yesterday the ACLU sent the Board a letter raising serious concerns with the extreme partisanship of some of the draft supervisorial maps and we urge you to review the letter if you haven't already before taking a vote on a final map and reject all versions of Proposals 2 and all versions of proposal 4 as well as reject proposals 5A, dash, 1 and 5A, dash, B1.

We urge you to instead support proposal 5, 5A or 5B. As we've continuously emphasized to the Board throughout this process, the Fair Maps Act explicitly prohibits partisan jerrymandering and provides that the Board, quote, "Shall not adopt a supervisorial map that discriminates" -- excuse me -- "shall not adopt supervisorial district boundaries for the

purpose of favoring or discriminating against a political party. The California Constitution also prohibits partisan gerrymandering. It prohibits the Board from discriminated against Democratic voters because of their party affiliation. It prohibits the Board from, um, impeding on fair and free elections such that the Board should not adopt a map that is —that determines who will be elected for the Board of Supervisors and instead allows the will of the voters to decide.

And it prohibits the Board from infringing on the free speech and association rights of voters including Democratic voters who have chosen to associate with the Democratic party and express their free will by voting for Democratic candidates.

For at least the past decade Democratic voter registration in the county has been turning upward. And Democratic voters now make up 37.1 percent of all active registered voters. They are the largest amount of voters by Democratic by party in the county.

Nonetheless, as we highlight in detail in our letter all versions of proposal 2 and all versions of proposals 4 include a partisan advantage for Republican voters in at least three of the five

districts, when again they only constitute 33 percent of all registered voters and that is turning downwards.

Um I also want to highlight that sips I see that my time is running out that it's not just about voter registration. If you look at past state-wide elections including the 2018 election and even the most recent recall election, the highest share of voters in the county continues to be and is increasing to be in the preference of Democratic candidates. And we urge you to reject maps. Again approve all versions of Proposal 2, all versions of Proposal 4 as well as proposals 5A, dash, 1 and 5A, dash, B.

Um, and one last point I make is that the Supreme Court of the United States has made clear that state courts are fairly well suited to adjudicate these types of cases.

These are not illusory rights. These are rights that can be enforced in court, and we urge you to do what's right and not make the same mistake as in 2011. Thank you.

SUSAN CHANG: Good afternoon. My name is Susan Chang and I'm speaking on behalf of AHRI for Justice.

Our organization serves low-income individuals and

families, undocumented workers, young people, and survivors of domestic violence through our many programs such as civic engagement, youth organizing, and direct legal services.

In addition, we're a member of the People's
Redistricting Alliance, a coalition of 15 communitybased organizations established to promote a greater
community voice in state wide and local redistricting
processes here in Orange County.

The communities of interest we serve consist of Asian American, Latinx, Pacific Islander undocumented and immigrant communities, LGBTQ folks and low-income communities of color.

Many of these communities face similar issues due to the lack of resources provided such as lack of affordable housing due to gentrification and lack of rent control and even lack of resources and support when cities were shut down due to stay-at-home orders back in March last year.

Many of our base members come asking for housing assistant services and mutual aid fund.

Residents want nothing more than to have their fair share of resources so their communities can thrive. Many of the students we work with want to see ethnic studies implicated -- implemented in K

through 12 schools. They want community gardens, affordable housing, and accessible mental health resources. And yet resources are spent on creating more unaffordable housing, new parking structures, and businesses.

Due to how the lines are currently drawn, resources are not being allocated to the communities in need. I'm worried about some of the maps that are submitted and concerned that some of these maps have not been drawn in good faith and are drawn to favor political parties rather than community needs.

Again and again the needs of our underserved communities such as Asian American, Latinx, Pacific Islander, immigrant and low-income communities of color are not addressed.

In order to ensure that our communities are represented, I urge the Board to adopt Map 5, 5A, or 5B thank you for your consideration in making Orange County residents feel represented.

KRISTINA NGUYEN: Hello, and good morning. My
name is Kristina Nguyen. I am a resident of Irvine
and I am with the Orange County Asian of Pacific
Islander Community Alliance, OCAPICA, an organization
based in Garden Grove.

We serve the broader Orange County community with

community-based programs aimed to improve and expand the community's opportunity through service, education, advocacy, organizing, and research.

We are also dedicated to enhancing the health and social and economic well-being of Asians and Pacific Islanders in Orange County, California.

I am here in support of Proposal 5, 5A, and 5B. We support -- we support Proposals 5 and 5A because it puts forth a cohesive and whole Irvine.

Proposal 5B is a compromise that citizens of Irvine are willing to support.

These proposals consider the Asian American and Pacific Islander communities in Orange County such as the Vietnamese Americans in Garden Grove and Westminster, native Hawaiians and Pacific Islanders in Garden Grove, Korean Americans in Buena Park and Fullerton and the diverse American -- Asian American population in Irvine.

We ask the commission to consider the Fair Maps

Act when choosing a final map as we have concerns

over partisan maps.

The redistricting process is one that should prioritize the community and their voices first. As a resident of Irvine we urge you to keep the communities of Irvine whole and together as possible.

To ensure fair representation for Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders, please support Proposal 5, 5A, or 5B. Thank you.

Sydney PANDIS: My name is Sydney Pandis and I a program coordinator for the Orange County Asian and Pacific Islander Community Alliance, OCAPICA, an organization dedicated to serving the broader Orange County community by addressing public health, economic inequity, and social justice.

I am here in support of Proposal 5, 5A or 5B. We support proposals 5 and 5A because it puts forth a cohesive Irvine. Proposal 5B is a compromise that the citizens of Irvine are willing to support.

These proposals consider the Asian American and Pacific Islander communities in Orange County such as the Vietnamese Americans in Garden Grove and Westminster, the native Hawaiians and Pacific islanders in Garden Grove, Korean Americans in Buena Park and Fullerton and the diverse Asian American population in Irvine.

Proposals 5A and 4C divide Asian communities within Irvine.

We have concerns over partisan maps and urge the commission to consider the Fair Maps Act. In order to ensure fair representation for all Asian Americans

and Pacific Islanders and to keep Irvine whole please support Proposal 5, 5A or 5B. Thank you.

MANNY DELEON: Hello, good morning. My name is Manny Deleon. I am a resident of Irvine and I'm with Orange County Asian Pacific Islander Community Alliance or OCAPICA, an organization based in Garden Grove dedicated to enhancing the health, social, and economic well-being of Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders in Orange County.

I am here today in support of Proposal 5, 5A, and 5B. We support proposals 5 and 5A because it puts forth a cohesive and whole Irvine.

Proposal 5B is a compromise citizens of Irvine are willing to support. These proposals consider Asian American and Pacific Islander communities in Orange County such as the Vietnamese Americans in Garden Grove and Westminster, native Hawaiians and Pacific Islanders in Garden Grove, Korean Americans in Buena Park and Fullerton, and the diverse Asian American population in Irvine.

In effort to understand community needs over concerns over partisan maps, please consider the Fair Maps Act. To ensure fair representation for all Asian American and Pacific Islanders and to keep Irvine whole, please support Proposal 5, 5A or 5B.

Thank you.

KAYLA: Hello. My name is Kayla, and I am a staff member with OC Environmental Justice. We are part of the People's Redistricting Alliance and as an environmental justice organization, we are explicitly concerned about the maps proposed and how they break up environmental justice communities throughout Orange County.

These configurations break up the influence in environmental justice concerns in south Fullerton and west Anaheim and even some of the environmental justice interests in Irvine around the airport.

In a case our communities across the county are heavily impacted by housing and security, rent, police brutality and health concerns from soil led poisoning of our kids, water quality poisoning our families or air quality poisoning us at our work place.

This needs to stop and we need to have adequate representation which leads us to our concerns over the proposed and altered maps.

These partisan maps are breaking our communities and breaking the law for all reasons mentioned by the ACLU. Please comply with federal and state laws and follow the community maps 5, 5A, or 5B. These are

the only maps that preserve our communities. Thank you.

CLERK OF THE BOARD: Mr. Chairman, the next three speakers have requested a translator so their time will be doubled to six minutes.

The next three speakers are Blanca Rueles, Alma Chavez, and Irene Bautista.

CARMEN ALVARADO: Hello, good morning, Board of Supervisors. My name is Carmen Alvarado and I represent Orange County Congregation Community Organization. Our work is centered in organizing low-income communities of color and OCCCO works to develop grass roots leaders across the county to bring forward systemic change to the most marginalized communities.

Today I'm here in support of Proposal 5, 5A, and 5B. We support proposals 5 and 5A because it puts a cohesive and whole Irvine. And Proposal 5B is a compromise that the citizens of Irvine are willing to support.

Um, in addition not only do these maps draw federal Voting Rights Act districts around communities of interest, um, in Santa Ana but they do it in a way that ensures all Latinx communities in Orange County have a voice.

Um, as you know Santa Ana is the home of the largest most established Latinx community in Orange County. Um, and this community has a lot in common with nearby Latinx communities in east Garden Grove and parts of Tustin and should be in the same direct as the similarly Latinx communities in south Fullerton have a lot in common with those in Buena Park and west Anaheim.

So they should also be within that same district.

Um, many of the other maps leave the Latinx

communities outside of Santa Ana without a voice.

And proposals 2 and 2A isolate Latinx communities in

Buena Park, south Fullerton, east Garden Grove, and

Tustin rather than draw them together with similar

Latinx communities nearby.

Proposal 4, 4A and 4B isolate Latinx communities in east Garden Grove.

So please take into account the Fair Maps Act when making your decision to ensure fair representation for Latinx community via fair map that is compliant with state and federal law.

So please support proposals 5, 5A, 5B. Thank you so much.

CLERK OF THE BOARD: Okay. Mr. Chairman, now the timer will be doubled for the next three speakers.

1	BLANCA RUELES: (Spanish spoken)
2	THE INTERPRETER: Good
3	BLANCA RUELES: (Spanish spoken)
4	THE INTERPRETER: Good
5	BLANCA RUELES: (Spanish spoken)
6	THE INTERPRETER: Good morning, Orange County
7	Board of Supervisors. My name is
8	BLANCA RUELES: (Spanish spoken)
9	THE INTERPRETER: Blanca Rueles. And I go to the
10	congregation in one of the local churches.
11	BLANCA RUELES: (Spanish spoken)
12	THE INTERPRETER: I've been part of this
13	community for the past 34 years and this
14	redistribution redistricting is very important to
15	${\tt me}$.
16	BLANCA RUELES: (Spanish spoken)
17	THE INTERPRETER: Because it will affect my
18	community in the next 10 years.
19	BLANCA RUELES: (Spanish spoken)
20	THE INTERPRETER: And the time that I have lived
21	here the community Latin has grown and we need
22	representation in this county.
23	BLANCA RUELES: (Spanish spoken)
24	THE INTERPRETER: And to have a voice through our
25	vote without dividing us.

1	BLANCA RUELES: (Spanish spoken)
2	THE INTERPRETER: That's why I'm here to support
3	the proposals of maps 5, and 5A, and 5B.
4	BLANCA RUELES: (Spanish spoken)
5	THE INTERPRETER: Because they not only represent
6	the Santa Ana communities but every other Latin
7	community in the surrounding areas.
8	BLANCA RUELES: (Spanish spoken)
9	THE INTERPRETER: Which are very similar to maps
10	2A, 4A, and 4B.
11	BLANCA RUELES: (Spanish spoken)
12	THE INTERPRETER: Because they leave communities
13	like North Tustin, east Garden Grove, south
14	Fullerton, and Buena Park divided without any voice
15	for the Latino community.
16	BLANCA RUELES: (Spanish spoken)
17	THE INTERPRETER: Please I ask you to support
18	proposals propositions 5, 5A, and 5B to protect the
19	democracy of the community of the Latin community.
20	Thank you again.
21	ALMA CHAVEZ: (Spanish spoken)
22	THE INTERPRETER: Good morning, Orange County
23	Board of Supervisors.
24	ALMA CHAVEZ: (Spanish spoken)
25	THE INTERPRETER: My name is Alma Chavez and I'm

1	leader of the OCCCO organization.
2	ALMA CHAVEZ: (Spanish spoken)
3	THE INTERPRETER: I have lived in the city of
4	Fullerton for the past 21 years.
5	ALMA CHAVEZ: (Spanish spoken)
6	THE INTERPRETER: And I attend the Santa Maria
7	Church in Fullerton.
8	ALMA CHAVEZ: (Spanish spoken)
9	THE INTERPRETER: It is very important to me the
10	redistricting issue.
11	ALMA CHAVEZ: (Spanish spoken)
12	THE INTERPRETER: Which could affect my community
13	for the next 10 years.
14	ALMA CHAVEZ: (Spanish spoken)
15	THE INTERPRETER: For the time that I have lived
16	in this community, the Latin community has grown.
17	ALMA CHAVEZ: (Spanish spoken)
18	THE INTERPRETER: That's why I'm here supporting
19	the proposal of maps 5, 5A, and 5B.
20	ALMA CHAVEZ: (Spanish spoken)
21	THE INTERPRETER: I support these proposals
22	because they represent all the Latin all the
23	communities especially the Latin community.
24	ALMA CHAVEZ: (Spanish spoken)
25	THE INTERPRETER: Not only in Santa Ana but the

1	surrounding cities which could be the most affected
2	ones.
3	ALMA CHAVEZ: (Spanish spoken)
4	THE INTERPRETER: These maps will be the most
5	fair of maps.
6	ALMA CHAVEZ: (Spanish spoken)
7	THE INTERPRETER: Other maps such as map 2A, 4A,
8	and 4B will leave the Latin communities
9	ALMA CHAVEZ: (Spanish spoken)
10	THE INTERPRETER: Buena Park, south Fullerton
11	will be the most affected ones.
12	ALMA CHAVEZ: (Spanish spoken)
13	THE INTERPRETER: And in south Fullerton I will
14	be and we will be, um, mostly affected by this.
15	ALMA CHAVEZ: (Spanish spoken)
16	THE INTERPRETER: And we will have no voice to
17	represent our communities in the City of Garden Grove
18	and Tustin.
19	ALMA CHAVEZ: (Spanish spoken)
20	THE INTERPRETER: If we are to be separated by
21	nearby communities with which we have common
22	interest.
23	ALMA CHAVEZ: (Spanish spoken)
24	THE INTERPRETER: I'm not only asking this as a
25	community leader but also

1	ALMA CHAVEZ: (Spanish spoken)
2	THE INTERPRETER: as a mother of five I'm here
3	fighting for the rights of my five children.
4	ALMA CHAVEZ: (Spanish spoken)
5	THE INTERPRETER: I am here as a mother,
6	grandmother, and citizen of the community. This is -
7	- that is why
8	ALMA CHAVEZ: (Spanish spoken)
9	THE INTERPRETER: I'm here asking you to
10	please support Proposition 5, 5A and 5B.
11	ALMA CHAVEZ: (Spanish spoken)
12	THE INTERPRETER: Thank you for listening to me.
13	IRENA BAUTISTA: (Spanish spoken)
14	THE INTERPRETER: Good morning, Orange County
15	Board of Supervisors.
16	IRENA BAUTISTA: (Spanish spoken)
17	THE INTERPRETER: My name is Irena Bautista. I'm
18	a community leader with OCCCO.
19	IRENA BAUTISTA: (Spanish spoken)
20	THE INTERPRETER: I live in Garden Grove and I
21	attend Episcopal Del Macia church in Santa Ana.
22	IRENA BAUTISTA: (Spanish spoken)
23	THE INTERPRETER: I've been part a member of this
24	community for the past 20 years.
25	IRENA BAUTISTA: (Spanish spoken)

1 THE INTERPRETER: And I'm very it's very	
2 important to me this redistricting because it could	
affect my community in the following 10 years.	
4 IRENA BAUTISTA: (Spanish spoken)	
5 THE INTERPRETER: In the time that I have lived	
6 here, the Latinx community has grown a great deal and	L
7 we deserve representation at county level.	
8 IRENA BAUTISTA: (Spanish spoken)	
9 THE INTERPRETER: That is why I support	
proposition 5, 5A, and B because we all deserve	
11 respect in the Latinx communities.	
12 IRENA BAUTISTA: (Spanish spoken)	
THE INTERPRETER: Not only in the city of Santa	
14 Ana but the surrounding cities.	
15 IRENA BAUTISTA: (Spanish spoken)	
16 THE INTERPRETER: Because people like me which	
will be the most affected ones.	
18 IRENA BAUTISTA: (Spanish spoken)	
THE INTERPRETER: Other maps such as 2A, 4A, and	
20 4B	
21 IRENA BAUTISTA: (Spanish spoken)	
22 THE INTERPRETER: leave communities like the	
ones in Buena Park, south Fullerton	
24 IRENA BAUTISTA: (Spanish spoken)	
25 THE INTERPRETER: east Garden Grove and	
4	1

1	Tustin
2	IRENA BAUTISTA: (Spanish spoken)
3	THE INTERPRETER: without a voice with the
4	communities which we have shared interest.
5	IRENA BAUTISTA: (Spanish spoken)
6	THE INTERPRETER: I ask you please to support
7	proposals
8	IRENA BAUTISTA: (Spanish spoken)
9	THE INTERPRETER: 5, 5A, and 5B.
10	IRENA BAUTISTA: (Spanish spoken)
11	THE INTERPRETER: Thank you and God bless you.
12	CLERK OF THE BOARD: Mr. Chairman, the timer will
13	be reset for three minutes.
14	CHAIRMAN DO: Thank you.
15	BETTY CHU: My name is Betty Chu, and I live in
16	the, um, District 3.
17	I urge all of you to vote for the Map Proposal 2
18	and I have sent each of you by e-mail this time my
19	comments regarding the unconstitutionality of
20	Proposition 5, 5A, and 5B as well the reasons why
21	Proposition 2 meets the criteria of all the
22	Constitutions and the laws and the particularly the
23	Voting Rights Act.
24	And, um, Proposition 5, 5A, and 5B have has
25	reduced the district of influence of the Asians from

38.4 percent to 32.2 percent.

But in that same district, the Hispanic area of influence is over 80 -- 38 percent. So you see.

That is no area of influence if you're influence is overcome by someone else's influence.

The, um, District 5 which is the minority
Hispanic, um, majority Hispanic minority voting
district, the highest percentages also appear in
District 5 of propositions 5, 5A, and 5B.

Um, but -- however, District 2 in Proposition 2 also has that 67.9 percent.

So we do not in any way hamper the rights of other minorities in respect to having a majority/minority district nor do we hamper any of the rights of the majority voting districts.

The spread of the five maps is all over 9.5 percent which is a great number of times over the 1.95 percent of Proposition 2 which is the lowest.

And if you talk about the splits of the cities, the splits are about the same. The Proposition -- Proposal 5 have splits of -- of cities up to 15 and 16 parts similar to that of Proposal 2.

However, Proposal 2 only splits singly in all of the cities that are split, whereas in Proposal 5 they are split sometimes three times and sometimes four 1 times -- I'm sorry -- into three parts or four parts.

It is to be noted that the reason 32.2 percent is so low is in your packet. And therefore it's unconstitutional.

CHAIRMAN DO: Thank you. Your time is up.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: First of all, I urge support of Proposal Number 2.

Second of all, um, two weeks ago I also asked the question, um, whether a public hearing had to be after 6:00 o'clock on a week night or on a weekend.

I was actually given the answer by Lisa

Bartlett's office the other day that, um, the public

hearings in August satisfied that requirement of

afternoon -- of having district meetings.

But, however, when I look at AB849 and again I just am looking what I read -- it says that the public hearings have to adhere to the standards after the maps were drawn, is my interpretation of AB849 and the maps weren't drawn that I remember. I think November 2nd is when it was -- they were produced for the council -- for the, um, public to see.

So that's November 2nd. I don't see a meeting that takes place on a weekend or after 6:00.

Again, I'm only looking at what I'm reading and that would be great to have somebody now give me the

answer to that.

Also I'm not sure about how all the people in that room over there are there, um, and they're able to go there but we cannot sit in there or even sit up here.

Other people came in today. I know there were mayors and dignitaries that were able to sit in that room. Did they not have COVID? I'm just asking the question if we have more germs than everybody there.

So I think you're smiling at me, Don.

Um, just asking the question why we can't be up here. There's a whole room full of people over there sitting close together. Maybe we just have more infection rate, the public people.

VINCENT TRAN: All right. Good morning, Board of Supervisors. My name is Vincent Tran. I am a resident of Fountain Valley and a part of VietRISE, a non-profit based in Little Saigon.

I'm here to support maps 5, 5A, and 5B. These maps are the only maps proposed that have been received extensive feedback and was created by diverse communities and maintains communities of interest together.

They respect all Asian American and Pacific

Islander communities including Vietnamese Americans

in Garden Grove and Westminster, native Hawaiians, and Pacific Islanders in Garden Grove, Korean Americans in Buena Park and Fullerton and diverse Asian American populations in Irvine.

In addition, a large population of Vietnamese seniors live within mobile home parks in Westminster, Midway City, and Garden Grove. And they should be kept together within the same district rather than separated.

The maps to reflect districts that unite our communities who are facing similar systemic issues and require the same language needs and maximize the representatives accountability to them such as addressing skyrocketing rent increases and the displacement of immigrants and refugees.

I'm concerned that as we reach the end of this process the voices of interest of politicians are outweighing the needs of Orange County residents.

For the redistricting process for the people to decide who they want to elect rather than elect it's choosing who they want to represent.

Please choose maps 5, 5A, or 5B. Thank you

STEVE (Inaudible): Good morning, Orange County
Supervisors, my name is Steve (Inaudible). I'm
president of Korean American Federation of Orange

County.

On behalf of the Korean American Federation of Orange County that's represents the Korean American community in Orange County.

I -- I write this letter in support of Map 5A or B more specifically Map 5A or B, Map 5A or B reflects the concept of equity and the community of interest best and it represents the position of the Korean American community in Orange County.

Map 2 has significant flaw particularly because separate Buena Park from the other north Orange County cities including Fullerton, Brea, La Habra, and Anaheim.

Instead it put Buena Park with Garden Grove,
Westminster, and Fountain Valley where the Vietnamese
American community predominantly is. Although we
love and have the greatest affection to our fellow
Vietnamese American community we do not share the
same mother language or home countries have different
political climate and we have different cultural
views and we have different religious background.

In the past 10 years most of the Korean American in Garden Grove have moved their residences to the north Orange County area including Buena Park was largely left in Garden Grove, Korean American

communities and some community organizations for (Inaudible) elections residents count but not the location of businesses or work place. Why that's make 5A or B reflect the community of interest from the Korean American perspective.

The Korean American in Fullerton, Brea, La Habra, and Anaheim all go to major Korean supermarkets in Buena Park. Korean American in Buena Park are sending their children to the Fullerton Joint High School district.

Korean American in Buena Park go to churches in La Habra, Fullerton, Brea, and Anaheim, and the Korean American in Fullerton and Brea and Anaheim go to the churches in Buena Park.

On the other hand there's a very little commonality that we share with the Vietnamese American in Garden Grove, Westminster, or Fountain Valley. Therefore the Korean American in Orange County would like to urge the County Supervisors to select Map 5A or B. Thank you so much.

JOYCE AHN: Good morning everyone. My name is Joyce Ahn. I'm the president of the Orange County Korean US Citizens league and I'm also a city commissioner for Buena Park for cultural and fine arts.

As a long-time Orange County resident, I came here today to speak my voice. I oppose Map 2 or its variations, but support Map 5A or 5B.

Um, Map 2 separates Buena Park from the other north Orange County cities Fullerton La Habra or Brea, for example.

Buena Park shares the Navigation Center, a homeless shelter with Brea, La Habra, Fullerton, and other north Orange County cities. Buena Park High School consists of two-thirds of Buena Park students and one third of Fullerton resident students.

The north end of Buena Park children go to Fullerton Joint Union High School district that covers Fullerton, La Habra, Brea, portions of Anaheim, and Placentia.

Us Buena Park residents go to Trader Joes in La Habra. We gas up at Costco in Fullerton. We grab some coffee in Buena Park, and we also, um, shop in Brea Mall.

Now, the other north Orange County cities are neighbors who share the community of interest, they come to Buena Park to the three Korean supermarkets at the corner of La Mirada and Beach Boulevard.

Therefore, we -- I oppose Map 2 and support Map 5A or 5B. Um, 5B seems to represent fair equity and

equal representation for all people. Map 5A or B not only protects the Latin community but also protects

Korean American community and Vietnamese American community.

Therefore, I urge you to please choose 5A or 5B. Thank you.

SOYLA RODRIGUEZ: Good morning, Board members.

My name is Soyla Rodriguez and I am the call center

and data manager for the Coalition for Humane

Immigrant Rights also known as CHIRLA.

We have been working with the immigrant community in Orange County since 1997. And in 2019 we opened our office in San Juan Capistrano.

In addition we are a member of the People's
Redistricting Alliance, a coalition of 16 communitybased organizations established -- um, state wide and
local redistricting process here in Orange County.

I am here in support of Proposal 5 and 5A or 5B.

For 35 years it's been CHIRLA's mission of achieving a just society fully inconclusive of immigrants.

CHIRLA organizes individuals, communities, and coalitions in order to build power, transfer public opinion, and change policies.

Today we are here to provide feedback on Orange County districts in and around Santa Ana. Immigrant

communities in Santa Ana, Buena Park, Fullerton, and other parts of Orange County where the global epicenters of COVID 19 last year with many residents who could not work from home.

This community lacks access to healthcare and affordable housing which have been further exacerbated by the pandemic.

We support 5, 5A, 5B because they respect all
Latino communities not just those in Santa Ana.

Other maps are concerning due to the partition nature which includes 2, 2A, 4, 4A, and 4B. These maps
leave Latinx communities in Buena Park, south
Fullerton, and east Garden Grove, and Tustin without a voice by separating them from nearby communities which they have shared interest.

Please support proposals 5, 5A, and 5B. Thank you.

KAREN DIAZ: Good afternoon, Board members. My name is Karen Diaz and I'm the electoral field manager for the Coalition for Humane Immigrant Rights, CHIRLA.

Our mission is to achieve a just society full inconclusive for immigrants. And today we're here to support maps 5, 5A, and 5B.

I work as a community organizer knocking on doors

and talking to community voters all across Orange County.

Um, we saw the need for engaging the community in helping them get out the vote, fill in the census, and now we're working with them on redistricting.

And through that we seen that the community supports map -- the maps 5A, 5B, and 5. They not only draw federal voting rights acts around communities of interest in Santa Ana but does so in a way that ensures all Latinx communities in Orange County have a voice.

As you deliberate on this maps, please take into consideration the proportional representation of immigrant communities. We have over 153,000 immigrants just residing in Santa Ana. That's 46 percent of the population.

These immigrant communities have a lot in common with communities in south Fullerton and west Anaheim and should be in the same district.

Our immigrants should -- our immigrant members faced intimidation last year when the Trump administration, um, to fill out the census which led to an undercount.

These communities that rely so heavily on solutions from the local government, um, to address

affordable housing, healthcare, and education are relying on -- on maps that represent them.

Additionally, I want to address my concerns over partisan maps that leave Latinx communities outside of Santa Ana without a voice.

These proposals are 2 and 2A which isolate communities in Buena Park, south Fullerton, east Garden Grove, and Tustin rather than draw them together with similar communities that are Latinx nearby.

Proposals 4 and 4A, and 4B also isolate these communities in east Garden Grove.

Please, um, ensure fair representation for the Latinx communities and immigrant communities and by supporting and adopting proposals 5, 5A and 5B.

Thank you for your time today.

FAEZ NOWABI: Hello, my name is Faez Nowabi and I serve as the policy and advocacy manager at the council on American Islamic relations office.

I am a resident of the City of Orange and our organization predominantly serves the AMEMSA community that is the Arab, Middle Eastern, Muslim, South Asian, and North African communities in Orange County.

We are here as part of the People's Redistricting

1 Alliance. And I want to urge the Board of 2 Supervisors -- the Board to -- to support maps 5, 5A, or 5B. 3 We find these maps are very inconclusive of all of our communities of interest. This includes the 5 Arab, Middle Eastern, Muslim, South Asian, North 6 African communities. 7 8 These maps they keep Little Arabia intact. They also highlight the importance of keeping Irvine a 10 predominantly immigrant community together. 11 Also our community immigrant -- community 12 immigrant and refugee communities in Garden Grove, 13 Westminster, and Santa Ana are kept together also. 14 We also want to highlight the importance of 15 making sure that the maps that we support are non-16 partisan maps and keep in line with the 17 California's -- California Fair Maps Act. 18 Once again we urge the Board to support maps 5, 19 5A, or 5B. Thank you very much. 20 CLERK OF THE BOARD: Mr. Chairman, the next two 21 speakers request a Spanish translator. Their time 22 will be doubled to six minutes. Areseli Robles and 23 Alejandra Luciano. 24 ARESELI ROBLES: (Spanish spoken)

Yes.

Good morning.

THE INTERPRETER:

1	ARESELI ROBLES: (Spanish spoken)
2	THE INTERPRETER: My name is Areseli Robles.
3	ARESELI ROBLES: (Spanish spoken)
4	THE INTERPRETER: I've been a resident of the
5	in the City of Santa Ana for the past 20 years.
6	ARESELI ROBLES: (Spanish spoken)
7	THE INTERPRETER: I have been working for Latino
8	(Inaudible) for the past 16 years.
9	ARESELI ROBLES: (Spanish spoken)
10	THE INTERPRETER: Part of my job is to organize a
11	group of, um, mothers of families.
12	ARESELI ROBLES: (Spanish spoken)
13	THE INTERPRETER: And our purpose is to
14	understand the systems.
15	ARESELI ROBLES: (Spanish spoken)
16	THE INTERPRETER: And to participate in civic
17	events.
18	ARESELI ROBLES: (Spanish spoken)
19	THE INTERPRETER: I thank you for taking in
20	consideration our petition.
21	ARESELI ROBLES: (Spanish spoken)
22	THE INTERPRETER: For proposals on maps 5, 5A,
23	and 5B.
24	ARESELI ROBLES: (Spanish spoken)
25	THE INTERPRETER: Presented by the Coalition of

```
1
        People's Redistricting Alliance.
2
             ARESELI ROBLES:
                               (Spanish spoken)
             THE INTERPRETER: We would like for you to take
 3
        into consideration the most vulnerable communities.
 5
             ARESELI ROBLES:
                               (Spanish spoken)
             THE INTERPRETER: People with mental
 6
7
        discapacities.
 8
             ARESELI ROBLES:
                               (Spanish spoken)
 9
             THE INTERPRETER: Adult and, um, people of older
10
        ages.
11
             ARESELI ROBLES:
                               (Spanish spoken)
12
             THE INTERPRETER: As well as juveniles and
13
        children in the City in Santa Ana.
14
             ARESELI ROBLES:
                               (Spanish spoken)
15
             THE INTERPRETER: We support 5A -- 5, 5A, and 5B.
16
             ARESELI ROBLES:
                               (Spanish spoken)
17
             THE INTERPRETER:
                               Because not only they drove
        districts for the federal law --
18
19
             ARESELI ROBLES: (Spanish spoken)
20
             THE INTERPRETER:
                              -- these guarantees that all
21
        the communities in the Orange County --
22
             ARESELI ROBLES:
                               (Spanish spoken)
23
             THE INTERPRETER: -- will have a voice.
24
             ARESELI ROBLES:
                               (Spanish spoken)
25
             THE INTERPRETER: And we could remain united.
```

1	ARESELI ROBLES: (Spanish spoken)
2	THE INTERPRETER: The community where I live
3	ARESELI ROBLES: (Spanish spoken)
4	THE INTERPRETER: we cannot keep thinking as
5	we did in the past.
6	ARESELI ROBLES: (Spanish spoken)
7	THE INTERPRETER: Before COVID.
8	ARESELI ROBLES: (Spanish spoken)
9	THE INTERPRETER: My community
10	ARESELI ROBLES: (Spanish spoken)
11	THE INTERPRETER: We are essential workers.
12	ARESELI ROBLES: (Spanish spoken)
13	THE INTERPRETER: That we showed our face in
14	effort during this pandemic.
15	ARESELI ROBLES: (Spanish spoken)
16	THE INTERPRETER: We're putting at risk our own
17	lives.
18	ARESELI ROBLES: (Spanish spoken)
19	THE INTERPRETER: To better the lives of all and
20	every one of you, each one of you.
21	ARESELI ROBLES: (Spanish spoken)
22	THE INTERPRETER: As well as of this great county
23	and this great country.
24	ARESELI ROBLES: (Spanish spoken)
25	THE INTERPRETER: We need

```
ARESELI ROBLES: (Spanish spoken)
1
2
             THE INTERPRETER: -- for you to take the best
        decision --
 3
             ARESELI ROBLES: (Spanish spoken)
 5
             THE INTERPRETER: -- when you consider the
        redistricting of these maps.
 6
 7
             ARESELI ROBLES: (Spanish spoken)
             THE INTERPRETER: -- and keep in mind that we
 9
        are --
10
             ARESELI ROBLES: (Spanish spoken)
11
             THE INTERPRETER: -- entire -- we are entire
        families and we need --
12
13
             ARESELI ROBLES: (Spanish spoken)
             THE INTERPRETER: -- to have a better quality of
14
15
        life.
16
             ARESELI ROBLES: (Spanish spoken)
             THE INTERPRETER: Probably in the next two,
17
18
        three, four or five years --
19
             ARESELI ROBLES: (Spanish spoken)
20
             THE INTERPRETER: -- you might not be sitting in
21
        those chairs.
22
             ARESELI ROBLES: (Spanish spoken)
23
             THE INTERPRETER: -- but we as a community we
        will continue to live here.
24
25
             ARESELI ROBLES: (Spanish spoken)
                                                             58
```

1 THE INTERPRETER: And we need	
2 ARESELI ROBLES: (Spanish spoken)	
THE INTERPRETER: for you to leave a legacy	
4 ARESELI ROBLES: (Spanish spoken)	
5 THE INTERPRETER: And you can make some histor	у.
6 ARESELI ROBLES: (Spanish spoken)	
7 THE INTERPRETER: To	
8 ARESELI ROBLES: (Spanish spoken)	
9 THE INTERPRETER: to represent and to leave	a
10 community that is well represented.	
11 ARESELI ROBLES: (Spanish spoken)	
12 THE INTERPRETER: And with all the resources t	hat
we need.	
14 ARESELI ROBLES: (Spanish spoken)	
THE INTERPRETER: We do not wish for the City	of
16 Santa Ana	
17 ARESELI ROBLES: (Spanish spoken)	
18 THE INTERPRETER: continue to be	
19 ARESELI ROBLES: (Spanish spoken)	
20 THE INTERPRETER: like a city that is not	
21 respected.	
22 ARESELI ROBLES: (Spanish spoken)	
THE INTERPRETER: And we are looked often as t	he
24 last city in the county.	
25 ARESELI ROBLES: (Spanish spoken)	
	59

```
1
                               I ask my representative in
             THE INTERPRETER:
2
        District 1 --
                               (Spanish spoken)
 3
             ARESELI ROBLES:
             THE INTERPRETER: -- to represent us in a
 4
 5
        dignity --
             ARESELI ROBLES:
                               (Spanish spoken)
 6
 7
             THE INTERPRETER: -- with dignity and equality.
             ARESELI ROBLES:
 8
                               (Spanish spoken)
 9
             THE INTERPRETER: This is the time --
10
             ARESELI ROBLES:
                               (Spanish spoken)
11
             THE INTERPRETER: -- for all the people that came
12
        here today to make our opinion.
13
             ARESELI ROBLES:
                               (Spanish spoken)
             THE INTERPRETER: And we wish --
14
15
             ARESELI ROBLES:
                               (Spanish spoken)
16
             THE INTERPRETER: -- that our petitions are
17
        heard.
18
             ARESELI ROBLES:
                               (Spanish spoken)
19
             THE INTERPRETER: Because we are the ones that
20
        live here day after day.
21
             ARESELI ROBLES:
                               (Spanish spoken)
22
             THE INTERPRETER: I thank you for your time.
23
             ARESELI ROBLES:
                               (Spanish spoken)
24
             THE INTERPRETER: And I hope that God gives you -
        - shows you the light for you guys to do something
25
```

```
historical in Santa Ana.
1
2
             ARESELI ROBLES:
                               (Spanish spoken)
             THE INTERPRETER: And that my next comment --
 3
             ARESELI ROBLES:
                               (Spanish spoken)
 4
             THE INTERPRETER: -- will be to celebrate --
 5
             ARESELI ROBLES:
                               (Spanish spoken)
 6
 7
             THE INTERPRETER: -- that you guys have taken the
        best decision.
 8
 9
             ARESELI ROBLES:
                               (Spanish spoken)
10
             THE INTERPRETER: And that you guys are sitting
11
        in that position --
12
             ARESELI ROBLES:
                               (Spanish spoken)
13
             THE INTERPRETER: -- representing people --
             ARESELI ROBLES:
14
                               (Spanish spoken).
15
             THE INTERPRETER: -- for which you swore.
16
             ARESELI ROBLES:
                               (Spanish spoken)
17
             THE INTERPRETER: -- support -- to support.
18
             ARESELI ROBLES:
                               (Spanish spoken)
19
             THE INTERPRETER: Thank you.
20
             ARESELI ROBLES:
                               (Spanish spoken)
21
             THE INTERPRETER: Have a great day.
22
             ALEJANDRA:
                         (Spanish spoken)
23
             THE INTERPRETER: Hi, good morning.
24
             ALEJANDRA: (Spanish spoken)
25
             THE INTERPRETER: My name is Alejandra.
```

```
1
             ALEJANDRA: (Spanish spoken)
2
             THE INTERPRETER: I'm a resident of Orange
 3
        County.
             ALEJANDRA: (Spanish spoken)
 5
             THE INTERPRETER: I'm a resident of Santa Ana.
             ALEJANDRA: (Spanish spoken)
 6
7
             THE INTERPRETER: I belong to the group of women
        for Latino (Inaudible).
 8
 9
             ALEJANDRA: (Spanish spoken)
             THE INTERPRETER: And I am here --
10
11
             ALEJANDRA: (Spanish spoken)
             THE INTERPRETER: -- to support --
12
13
             ALEJANDRA: (Spanish spoken)
14
             THE INTERPRETER: -- proposals --
15
             ALEJANDRA: (Spanish spoken).
16
             THE INTERPRETER: -- A --
17
             ALEJANDRA: (Spanish spoken)
             THE INTERPRETER: -- 5 --
18
19
             ALEJANDRA: (Spanish spoken)
20
             THE INTERPRETER: -- 5, 5A, and 5B.
21
             ALEJANDRA: (Spanish spoken)
22
             THE INTERPRETER: -- because --
23
             ALEJANDRA: (Spanish spoken)
24
             THE INTERPRETER: Not only do they draw or they
25
        paint federal districts --
```

```
1
             ALEJANDRA: (Spanish spoken)
2
             THE INTERPRETER: -- for the electoral rights --
 3
             ALEJANDRA: (Spanish spoken)
             THE INTERPRETER: -- around the community -- the
 4
 5
        Latin community --
             ALEJANDRA: (Spanish spoken)
 6
 7
             THE INTERPRETER: -- and Orange County --
 8
             ALEJANDRA: (Spanish spoken)
 9
             THE INTERPRETER: Please have the voice.
10
             ALEJANDRA: (Spanish spoken)
11
             THE INTERPRETER: Because it's important.
12
             ALEJANDRA: (Spanish spoken)
13
             THE INTERPRETER: To have a voice in the Latin
14
        community --
15
             ALEJANDRA: (Spanish spoken)
16
             THE INTERPRETER: -- and to keep the community
17
        united --
18
             ALEJANDRA: (Spanish spoken)
19
             THE INTERPRETER: -- to have accessible living --
20
             ALEJANDRA: (Spanish spoken)
21
             THE INTERPRETER: -- to have the same
22
        resources --
23
             ALEJANDRA: (Spanish spoken)
24
             THE INTERPRETER: -- that other cities --
25
             ALEJANDRA: (Spanish spoken)
                                                             63
```

```
1
             THE INTERPRETER: And to have a voice --
2
             ALEJANDRA: (Spanish spoken)
             THE INTERPRETER: -- in the county decisions.
 3
             ALEJANDRA: (Spanish spoken)
 4
 5
             THE INTERPRETER: -- and to have --
             ALEJANDRA: (Spanish spoken)
 6
7
             THE INTERPRETER: -- and to not be affected as
        residents.
 8
             ALEJANDRA: (Spanish spoken)
10
             THE INTERPRETER: -- and we are contributing
11
        working people --
12
             ALEJANDRA: (Spanish spoken)
13
             THE INTERPRETER: -- for the taxes and to have a
14
        guarantee --
15
             ALEJANDRA: (Spanish spoken)
16
             THE INTERPRETER: -- just fair representation --
17
             ALEJANDRA: (Spanish spoken)
18
             THE INTERPRETER: -- and to support Proposal --
19
             ALEJANDRA: (Spanish spoken)
20
             THE INTERPRETER: -- 5 --
21
             ALEJANDRA: (Spanish spoken)
22
             THE INTERPRETER: -- 5A --
23
             ALEJANDRA: (Spanish spoken)
24
             THE INTERPRETER: -- and 5B.
25
             ALEJANDRA: (Spanish spoken)
```

LYNDEN J. AND ASSOCIATES, INC. (800) 972-3376

1 THE INTERPRETER: Thank you.

CLERK OF THE BOARD: Mr. Chairman, the timer will be reset to three minutes.

ANNA CHARCO: Let me turn this on. Ready, set, go.

Hello, my name is Anna Charco. I am here on behalf of Latino Health Access. Latino Health Access have been probably working alongside, um, the community residents for over 27 years providing services that address immediate health needs while providing information and facilitating opportunities to increase civic participation and impact policies that will help the social determinants they experience.

I first want to thank you for your time and hard work and dedication for your listening to our testimonies and we are very appreciative of the -- of your commitment to acknowledging our input.

I am here to support the Proposal 5, 5A, or 5B which are supported by the supported by the People's Redistricting Alliance map.

As you know Santa Ana is home to the largest and most (Inaudible) Latinx community in Orange County.

And it is a major force contributing to and reshaping the economic, social and cultural fabric of our

county.

All in all, we support 5, 5A, and 5B because it respects the communities of interest in Santa Ana which have not been taken into consideration in the previous years.

Santa Ana is primarily composed of low-income and mixed immigration status Latinx families. They are extremely hard working families with many employed in essential jobs that unfortunately often overlooked and underpaid. This makes affordable housing difficult as more than 80 percent of residents in Santa Ana are tented -- are tenants spending the majority of the incomes on rent.

Yet they share a strong sense of community with a large number of community organizations and resident-led groups that have come together over the years to promote the Latinx culture.

Therefore, we strongly believe the redistricting process should not center around, um, partisanship but should center around communities of interest based on the Fair Maps Act.

All in all, we support the adaptation of 5, 5A, or 5B because not only do they draw Federal Voting Rights Act district around communities of interest in Santa Ana but they also do so in a way that ensures

Latinx communities in Orange County have a voice, are accurately represented, and are toply captured.

Thank you and have a great day.

MELANI DEFEFETA: Malo e lelei. Greetings. My name is Melani Defefeta, I am a resident of Huntington Beach and I am with Pacific Islander Health Partnership a non-profit organization based in Garden Grove. Our organization has served the native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander communities by working closely with Asian American non-profit organizations to serve low-income communities through patient navigation and programs to reduce health disparities in native Hawaiian and Pacific Islanders residing in Southern California.

I'm here in support of proposals 5, 5A, or 5B.

We support 5, 5A, or 5B because they respect all

Asian American, native Hawaiian, and Pacific Islander
communities including Vietnamese Americans in Garden

Grove and Westminster, native Hawaiians and Pacific

Islanders in Garden Grove and Westminster, Korean

Americans in Buena Park and Fullerton and diverse

Asian American populations in Irvine.

The partisan maps are concerning because those maps make it harder for us to serve our native

Hawaiian and Pacific Islander communities by

providing health access and resources to them.

To guaranty fair representation for all Asian American, native Hawaiian, and Pacific Islanders, please support proposals 5, 5A or 5B. Thank you for your time.

EUSENIA TALMIRANO: Good afternoon Board members, my name is Eusenia Talmirano and I'm the Orange County organizer for the Coalition for Humane Immigrants Rights also known as CHIRLA.

I have been a resident of Anaheim, the city of Anaheim for over 30 years. And I'm here in support of proposals 5, 5A, or 5B.

As an Anaheim resident working throughout Orange County I've noticed the imbalance of resources not only in education and in healthcare but we also lack, um, imbalances within our low-income communities where they lack access to higher quality education, low-income clinics, and language assistance.

We're also lacking community classes to help lowincome community families not only thrive economically but thrive physically and emotionally as they feel safe in the welcoming community.

I'm here to express concern over partisan maps that leave Latinx communities without representation.

I urge the Board to adopt map -- Map 5, 5A, or 5B to

give our communities an opportunity to thrive.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

KAYLA VILLEGAS: Hello, good afternoon, my name is Kayla Villegas. I am the lead organizer for Orange County Environmental Justice. We are part of the People's Redistricting Alliance.

As an environmental justice org we are explicitly concerned about the maps proposed and how they break up environmental justice communities throughout Orange County.

These configurations break up the influence of environmental justice concerns in south Fullerton, west Anaheim, and even some of the environmental justice interests in Irvine near the airport.

In any case, our communities across the county are heavily impacted by housing, insecurity rent, police brutality, and health concerns from soil led, poisoning our kids, um, and water quality poisoning our families or air quality impacting our work place. This needs to stop and we need to have adequate representation which leads to our concerns over the proposed and altered maps. These partisan maps are breaking our communities and breaking the law for all of the reasons mentioned by the ACLU.

Please comply with federal and state laws and

follow the community's maps 5, 5A, or 5B. These are the only maps that preserve our communities. Thank you.

ELIZABETH: Good afternoon, my name is Elizabeth, and I'm with Orange County Environmental Justice. We are part of the People's Redistricting Alliance. And as an environmental justice organization we are explicitly concerned about the maps proposed and how they break up environmental justice communities throughout Orange County.

These configurations break up the influence of environmental justice concerns in south Fullerton, west Anaheim, and even some of the environmental justice interests in Irvine at the airport.

In any case, our communities across the county are heavily impacted by housing and security, police brutality and health concerns from soil, led poisoning our kids, water quality poisoning our families or air quality impacting our work place.

This needs to stop and we need to have adequate representation which leads us to our concern over the proposed and altered maps. These partisan maps are breaking our communities and breaking the law for all the reasons mentioned by the ACLU.

Please comply with federal -- federal and state

laws and follow -- follow the community maps 5, 5A, or 5B. These are the only maps that preserve our communities.

And I know that you might have been hearing this multiple times, um, during the public comment but it's just really important that our message gets across to you guys and as representatives of our -- of us as elected officials, um, who we put there, it is, um, not only in our best interest but in your best interest to truly hear the community's concerns and needs. Thank you.

CLERK OF THE BOARD: Mr. Chairman, our last speaker is Zachary Gricky, and then County Counsel has two comments to read into the record.

CHAIRMAN DO: Thank you.

ALEJANDRA: Yes. My name Zachary Gricky and I'm a political science student at UC Irvine. I represent over 6000 students in the UCI undergraduate student senate.

I'm here to today speak about UCI's political representation in -- over the next 10 years if these maps get adopted. I'd like to voice my support for maps 5 and 5A as I believe these maps best represent my classmates by keeping the city of Irvine together, keeping our off-campus student populations together

and keeping UC Irvine and Irvine Valley College united in one single district.

I hope the Board will adopt one of these maps as the final map. UCI student are united by shared customs and issues like a lack of affordable housing near campus. And UCI students are not just clustered around the main campus. We have students that live off campus in central Irvine neighbors like

Woodbridge, the Irvine Business Complex in Irvine

Spectrum because they cannot afford to live near campus where rents can be as high as three and a half thousand dollars a month.

My student senate colleagues and I voted unanimously to endorse maps 5 and 5A because they keep Irvine whole which better keeps UCI students together in a single district.

I also want to express my and my classmates' opposition to a handful of maps that I believe will divide UCI students off campus population.

Specifically I want to express my opposition to maps 2, 5A1 and 5B1 because these maps divide Irvine at the 405 which I cannot reiterate enough is a terrible, terrible way to divide Irvine.

If these maps were adopted, they would draw many of UCI's off-campus students into an entirely

separate district from their on-campus classmates.

Using the 405 as a dividing line also separates

Irvine Valley College from the -- from the UCI main

campus which also dilutes the Irvine College

students' ability to influence their elected

officials.

These maps are the wrong way to divide Irvine.

And I hope that the Board will reject any map that
would divide Irvine along the 405.

If you must divide Irvine, please do it at or north of the 5 like map 5B does.

I hope that the Board will consider our feedback and adopt a map that is truly representative of all Irvine and its college students. Please adopt either Map 5, 5A, or if you have to, I can stomach 5B.

Thank you very much for your time and I hope you all have a great day. Thank you so much for your leadership on this. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN DO: Thank you. County Counsel, please go ahead.

COUNTY COUNSEL PAGE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman,
Felicity Figueroa of Irvine writes, "The People's
redistricting Alliance of Orange County, the PRA, is
a multi-racial coalition of a community-based
organization and residence. They've drawn a

redistricting map that centers the lived experiences and priorities and needs of our most vulnerable communities those that have been historically marginalized by local government.

This map will empower low-income communities and working families, sectors of our population that have been ignored and denied representation for far too long. The OCPRA map has made communities of interest its top priority when drawing lines considering the important testimonies of residents from communities that have been harmed by the current configuration.

This map will correct the problems for the current configuration while complying with legal requirements of the Voting Rights Act and Fair Maps Act.

The current map configuration has disenfranchised communities of color by prioritizing the interest of one political party over all the rest. The PRA map is very similar to Proposal 5 which is why I strongly support Proposal 5 and could support Proposal 5A.

While the other proposals unnecessarily split up many communities of interest, proposals 5 and 5A are the only maps that have made communities of interest the top priority when drawing lines and taking in the important testimonies of residents from communities

1 that have been historically marginalized by the 2 county. For this reason I urge you to adopt Proposal 5 or 3 5A." 5 And Naz Hamid of Irvine writes, "As a resident of Irvine I would like to voice my opposition to 6 7 proposed Map 4C1. I believe this map violates the 8 California Fair Maps Act and politically targeting a sitting candidate. 10 This map also dilutes the AAPI and Latino 11 representation much more than other maps. 12 Lastly this map unnecessarily splits 14 cities 13 among different supervisor districts and I believe 14 that were where possible cities should be in the same 15 supervisor district. 16 I strongly support Map 5 and strongly oppose Map 17 4C1." That's all I have. Thank you. 18 CHAIRMAN DO: Thank you. I will close the public 19 hearing at this time. 20 111 21 111 22 23 24 25